

ATTACHMENT 3

3-7 PILE DESIGN DATA FORM (GGL)

| 1 Foundation Testing | Name: GS-FTB Phone: Date: | 2 Geotechnical | Name: GS Phone: Date: | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Anomaly Overview | | Required Nominal Resistance of Shaft (per contract plans) Compression: kips Tension: kips | | |
| Testing Performed X GGL □ CSL | | Lowest Estimated Groundwater Elevation: | | |
| Shaft Diameter: 8 ft Cutoff Elev: -29 ft | | Remaining Required Nominal Resistance To Be Developed Below Each Anomalous Section: Section A-A: CompressionTensionkips | | |
| AA | Section A-A | Soil and/or Rock Type: Shaft is geotechnically A | cceptable Unacceptable | |
| | Elev.: -32 ft to -34 ft Up to 12.5% Affected | Soil and/or Rock Type: | Tensionkips cceptable Unacceptable | |
| Section B-B | | Comments: | | |
| BB | Elev.: -65 ft to -67 ft Up to 25% Affected | 3 Structural | Name: SD Phone: Date: | |
| | | As-Designed | Capacity of Shaft | |
| Tin Flow 442 # | | | ips Moment: 23224 kip-ft ips Moment: 23224 kip-ft | |
| Tip Elev.: -113 ft Anomaly Description | | Maximum Demand of Shaft at Section A-A | | |
| Section A-A: Anomaly was detected in one (1) GGL inspection tube. May affect up to 12.5% of Shaft cross-section at this location. Section B-B: Anomalies were detected in two (2) GGL inspection tubes. May affect up to 25% of Shaft | | Shear: 813 kips Moment: 21500 kip-ft Shaft is structurally □ Acceptable X Unacceptable Maximum Demand of Shaft at Section B-B Shear: 913 kips Moment: 13700 kip-ft Shaft is structurally X Acceptable Unacceptable Comments: | | |
| cross-section at this location. | | | | |
| 4 Corrosion | Name: METS Phone: Date: | Consideration is | □ Required □ Not required | |
| For anomalies between the top of pile and 3 feet below the lowest estimated groundwater level at the site, corrosion results listed in the Geotechnical report are used to assess the need for repair. For situations where results are not available, soil samples may be obtained adjacent to the anomaly and tested in accordance with California Test (CT) 643 (Parts 2, 3 and 4) and if necessary, CT 417 and CT 422 to determine soil corrosivity. For anomalies outside these limits, and where no stray current source can be identified, or for non-corrosive soil conditions, no consideration of corrosion potential is required. | | | | |
| Corrosion Potential at Section A-A: | | | | |
| Corrosion Potential at Section B-B: | | | | |
| 5 Construction Considering parts 2-4 of this form, | | Structure Rep Phone: | Date: | |
| Sec. A-A is: ☐ Acceptable with Administrative Deduction ☐ Unacceptable, Mitigation is Required | | | | |
| Sec. B-B is: ☐ Acceptable with Administrative Deduction ☐ Unacceptable, Mitigation is Required | | | | |
| Bridge: Bridge No.: Dist-CoRoute: EA: | | No.: | Abt./Bent: | |
| Structure Rep.: Phone | | 3 : | Pile: Fax: | |