



### Meeting Agenda - February 11, 2020 (Tuesday)

#### Location: 3390 Lanatt Street, Sacramento, CA

Time	Торіс	Speaker
10:00 -	Welcome and introductions	Jim Nicholls /
10:10		All
10:10- 10:25	<ul> <li>Draft Falsework Manual</li> <li>Draft sent to FWAT members after last meeting in August</li> <li>Additional changes have been made since last FWAT meeting</li> <li>Additional comments and questions?</li> </ul> Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Question was raised by industry, does the Falsework Manual follow the guideline in the AASHTO Construction Handbook for Temporary Works.</li> <li>CT responded that the AASHTO manual is an</li> </ul>	All
	independent document and in the past shared much of the same information as the falsework Manual. The two manuals contain similar information but are independent of each other.	
10:25 – 10:40	<ul> <li>Wood Beam Stiffeners</li> <li>UNR report documents design procedure through experimental and analytical studies</li> <li>Full capacity of blocking may not be effective</li> <li>UNR procedure added to the falsework manual in section 5-4.11 (see attachment 1)</li> <li>Some designers already using this procedure</li> <li>Experimental results suggest effectiveness of blocking is difficult to quantify for web buckling</li> </ul>	Jim Nicholls
	<ul> <li>Meeting Minutes:</li> <li>The information in Attachment 1 was presented</li> <li>Some from industry are aware of the UNR report and already use the findings</li> <li>I was noted that the additional calculations are easily added to a spreadsheet to be checked with other calculations</li> <li>Question was raised if UNR report would be referenced in revised falsework manual <ul> <li>CT replied it would not be referenced in the manual because the additional information in the report may lead to confusion on what variables to use</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	















10:55 -	Lateral Web Buckling	
11:10	• UNR report documents design procedure through	1
	experimental and analytical studies	1
	• Buckling of unbraced, unstiffened beams, where the flange	1
	is loaded with a post load has potential to displace sideways	1
	through buckling of the web and is synonymous with	1
	column buckling. The dimensions of the assumed column	1
	are as follows:	1
	• Column height equal to the clear distance between the beam	1
	tlanges	1
	• Column depth equal to the web thickness	1
	• Column which is trained by the next encoding for interior next	1
	Analyza using clastic buckling formula found in the AISC	1
	• Analyze using elastic buckling formula found in the AISC Manual with an affactive length factor equal to 1.7	l l
	Manual with an enective length factor equal to 1.7.	
	Meeting Minutes:	
	• The information above has been added to the Falsework	
	Manual	l l
	• Discussed the UNR report findings that wood post provided	1
	some rotational restraint which resulted in the value of 1.7	1
	which is between 1.0 and 2.0	1
	• Steel post provide additional restraint so 1.7 was	1
	conservatively used	1
	• The comment was made that some industry members check	l l
	web buckling in their spreadsheet but it never critical	
	• C I commented it was added to the manual to bring attention	
	to it for unusual cases such as neavily loaded deep beams	







11.15 -	Revisions to Standard Specifications Section 48	Jim Nicholls
11.13 - 11.25	• Section 48 1.01 General	
11.55	• Section 40-1.01 General	
	• Definitions	
	• Temporary structure inspection report prepared	
	daily during jacking and adjustment	
	• Adjustment plan shop drawing $(Adj > \frac{1}{2}'')$	
	<ul> <li>Temporary Structure Engineer certify FW during</li> </ul>	
	adjustment, prior to pour, and materials	
	• Option to assign duties to PE with experience	
	• Section 48-2 Falsework	
	o NDS	
	$\circ$ Welding to D1.1	
	• Forming winch openings	
	• Section 48-3 Temporary Supports	
	• Addresses all temporary supports	
	$\sim$ Jacking references moved to 48-5	
	• Minimum horizontal load 5% supported load	
	• Section 48 5 Looking	
	• Section 46-5 Jacking	
	• Addresses jacking	
	0 Temp support references moved to 48-5	
	Maating Minutas.	
	<ul> <li>Discussed the reorganization of section 48 and how section</li> </ul>	
	48 1 was expended	
	• Discussed the requirement for a termorenty structure increation	
	• Discussed the requirement for a temporary structure inspection	
	Discussed lineared engineer to be ansaut during fileswords	
	• Discussed idensed engineer to be present during laisework	
	o industry commented this requirement serves no	
	purpose	
	• The comment was made there are not enough field	
	engineers to cover this work	
	• The question was raised if this has been discussed	
	previously. CT responded it was discussed in	
	previous FWAT meetings possibly two years back	
	<ul> <li>Industry commented experienced superintendent is</li> </ul>	
	more qualified than less experienced engineer to	
	oversee this work	
	<ul> <li>CT responded the PE requirement will provide</li> </ul>	
	enhanced experience during this critical time. Due to	
	recent failures CT management required additional	
	safety procedures be implemented to reduce failures	
	• Comment was made that planning prior to start of	
	process is more important and provides better results	
	• Ouestion was raised if this was for only falsework	
	over traffic. CT responded the Spec addresses all	
	falsework	







Minutes Continued:	
<ul> <li>Minutes Continued: <ul> <li>CT will research if PE requirement can be limited to falsework over traffic only</li> <li>Comment made the falsework designer should pick who they think is qualified to oversee and certify the falsework construction</li> </ul> </li> <li>Discussed changes to section 48-2 <ul> <li>Most changes to 48-2 were previously discussed in recent FWAT meetings</li> </ul> </li> <li>Discussed changes to section 48-3 <ul> <li>48-3 will address temporary supports and jacking will be moved to 48-5</li> <li>Minimum lateral load of 5% of the supported load was added to section 48-5</li> <li>48-5 will address jacking and reference 48-3 for supports</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Discussed staking of K-rail, 4 stakesrequired , adjacent to falsework</li> <li>Specification change has been submitted clarifying the number of stakes required adjacent to falsework</li> <li>Old specification requiring K-rail to 150 feet in advance and 60 feet past K-rail was inadvertently removed from the Spec and CT is currently working on adding back in.</li> </ul>	







<ul> <li>12:00</li> <li>Standard Specification section 12-4.04 Temporary Pedestrian Access Routes requires access route through falsework to comply with section 16-2.02 Temporary Pedestrian Facilities</li> <li>Form CEM-2311 completed by contractor after construction complete</li> <li>Form CEM-2312 weekly report</li> <li>California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control (MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D) per 16-2.02A(1)</li> <li>MUTCD requires geometry and alignment per ADA</li> <li>Path replicate existing as nearly as practical</li> <li>Construction <ul> <li>Surface material</li> <li>Handrails</li> <li>Detectable warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete.</li> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events</li> </ul>	11:35-	Requirements for Pedestrian Access Routes	Jim Nicholls
<ul> <li>Pedestrian Access Routes requires access route through fakework to comply with section 16-2.02 Temporary Pedestrian Facilities</li> <li>Form CEM-2311 completed by contractor after construction complete</li> <li>Form CEM-2312 weekly report</li> <li>California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control (MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D) per 16-2.02A(1)</li> <li>MUTCD requires geometry and alignment per ADA</li> <li>Path replicate existing as nearly as practical</li> <li>Construction <ul> <li>Surface material</li> <li>Handrails</li> <li>Detectable warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant</li> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> <li>On the value of the compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>Ouestion why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete.</li> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed acception who meather averate.</li> </ul>	12:00	• Standard Specification section 12-4.04 Temporary	
<ul> <li>falsework to comply with section 16-2.02 Temporary Pedestrian Facilities</li> <li>Form CEM-2311 completed by contractor after construction complete</li> <li>Form CEM-2312 weekly report</li> <li>California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control (MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D) per 16-2.02A(1)</li> <li>MUTCD requires geometry and alignment per ADA</li> <li>Path replicate existing as nearly as practical</li> <li>Construction <ul> <li>Surface material</li> <li>Handrails</li> <li>Detectable warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant <ul> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> <li>Other walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed accencielly during weather exercts</li> </ul>		Pedestrian Access Routes requires access route through	
<ul> <li>Pedestrian Facilities</li> <li>Form CEM-2311 completed by contractor after construction complete</li> <li>Form CEM-2312 weekly report</li> <li>California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control (MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D) per 16-2.02A(1)</li> <li>MUTCD requires geometry and alignment per ADA</li> <li>Path replicate existing as nearly as practical</li> <li>Construction <ul> <li>Surface material</li> <li>Handrails</li> <li>Detectable warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility is not compliant when the existing facility is not compliant <ul> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		falsework to comply with section 16-2.02 Temporary	
<ul> <li>Form CEM-2311 completed by contractor after construction complete</li> <li>Form CEM-2312 weekly report</li> <li>California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control (MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D) per 16-2.02A(1)</li> <li>MUTCD requires geometry and alignment per ADA</li> <li>Path replicate existing as nearly as practical</li> <li>Construction <ul> <li>Surface material</li> <li>Handrails</li> <li>Detectable warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant <ul> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete. <ul> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed estable to meet actors</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Pedestrian Facilities	
<ul> <li>Form CEM-2312 weekly report</li> <li>California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control (MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D) per 16-2.02A(1)</li> <li>MUTCD requires geometry and alignment per ADA</li> <li>Path replicate existing as nearly as practical</li> <li>Construction <ul> <li>Surface material</li> <li>Handrails</li> <li>Detectable warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>There wilking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed estable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed estable to meet and the queries walk way surface to a plant or base because those materials can be disturbed estable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed estable to meet and the queries walk way surface to the disturbed estable to meet and the queries the disturbed estable to meet and the queries queries the queries queries the queries queries the queries queries the queries queries queries queries queries q</li></ul></li></ul>		• Form CEM-2311 completed by contractor after	
<ul> <li>Form CEM-2312 weekly report</li> <li>California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control (MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D) per 16-2.02A(1)</li> <li>MUTCD requires geometry and alignment per ADA</li> <li>Path replicate existing as nearly as practical</li> <li>Construction <ul> <li>Surface material</li> <li>Handrails</li> <li>Detectable warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant <ul> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		construction complete	
<ul> <li>California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control (MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D) per 16-2.02A(1)</li> <li>MUTCD requires geometry and alignment per ADA</li> <li>Path replicate existing as nearly as practical</li> <li>Construction <ul> <li>Surface material</li> <li>Handrails</li> <li>Detectable warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant <ul> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed competial when the requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed competial ware accented.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		• Form CEM-2312 weekly report	
<ul> <li>Part 6, Chapter 6D) per 16-2.02A(1)</li> <li>MUTCD requires geometry and alignment per ADA</li> <li>Path replicate existing as nearly as practical</li> <li>Construction <ul> <li>Surface material</li> <li>Handrails</li> <li>Detectable warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant <ul> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete.</li> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed experiently during weather events.</li> </ul></li></ul>		• California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control (MUTCD,	
<ul> <li>MUTCD requires geometry and alignment per ADA</li> <li>Path replicate existing as nearly as practical</li> <li>Construction <ul> <li>Surface material</li> <li>Handrails</li> <li>Detectable warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete. <ul> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed expendent.</li> </ul></li></ul>		Part 6, Chapter 6D) per 16-2.02A(1)	
<ul> <li>Path replicate existing as nearly as practical</li> <li>Construction <ul> <li>Surface material</li> <li>Handrails</li> <li>Detectable warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant <ul> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete. <ul> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed escencially during weather events.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		• MUTCD requires geometry and alignment per ADA	
<ul> <li>Construction <ul> <li>Surface material</li> <li>Handraik</li> <li>Detectable warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant <ul> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete. <ul> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed compcilent during weather events</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		• Path replicate existing as nearly as practical	
<ul> <li>Surface material</li> <li>Handraik</li> <li>Detectable warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant</li> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant <ul> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete.</li> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather exerts</li> </ul></li></ul>		• Construction	
<ul> <li>Handrais</li> <li>Detectable warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> Meeting Minutes: <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant</li> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant <ul> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete.</li> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed energiable during weather events</li> </ul></li></ul>		• Surface material	
<ul> <li>O Detectable Warnings</li> <li>Width and Height (see Attachment 2)</li> <li>Cover design load</li> <li>Meeting Minutes:</li> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant</li> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete.</li> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed energible during weather events</li> </ul>		o Hanuralis	
<ul> <li>Within and Height (see Attachment 2)         <ul> <li>Cover design load</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meeting Minutes:         <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant                 <ul> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete.</li> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>		• Detectable warnings	
<ul> <li>Meeting Minutes:         <ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant                 <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ul>		o Willin and Height (see Allachment 2)	
<ul> <li>Meeting Minutes:</li> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant <ul> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete. <ul> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		o Cover design load	
<ul> <li>Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections 12-4 and 16-2</li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant <ul> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete. <ul> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		Meeting Minutes:	
<ul> <li>12-4 and 16-2</li> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant <ul> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete. <ul> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed expected.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		• Discussed requirements of Standard Specifications Sections	
<ul> <li>Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA compliant when the existing facility is not compliant <ul> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete. <ul> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		12-4 and 16-2	
<ul> <li>compliant when the existing facility is not compliant <ul> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete. <ul> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		• Question of why the temporary facility needs to be ADA	
<ul> <li>The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary facilities be ADA compliant</li> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete.</li> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events.</li> </ul>		compliant when the existing facility is not compliant	
<ul> <li>facilities be ADA compliant <ul> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete. <ul> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events.</li> </ul></li></ul>		• The MUTCD, Part 6, Chapter 6D requires temporary	
<ul> <li>There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete.</li> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events.</li> </ul>		facilities be ADA compliant	
<ul> <li>temporary facility to compliant</li> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete.         <ul> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		$\circ$ There is most likely a legal reason for requiring the	
<ul> <li>Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood or concrete.         <ul> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		temporary facility to compliant	
<ul> <li>or concrete.</li> <li>o The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events.</li> </ul>		• Question why Spec requires walkway surface to HMA, wood	
<ul> <li>The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events</li> </ul>		or concrete.	
be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events		• The walking surface is required to be firm and stable to	
to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed especially during weather events		be ADA compliant. The definition of firm and stable	
dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed		to meet ADA requirements does not allow compacted	
especially during weather events		dirt or base because those materials can be disturbed	
cspecially during weather events		especially during weather events	
12:00 - Lunch All	12:00 -	Lunch	A11
12:20	12:20		







12.20	Simplified Dad Formula	Jim Nicholls				
12.20 - 12.40	Simplified Fad Formula					
12.10	• STWI formula changed to include variable F <sub>b</sub> values per					
	<ul> <li>Design method using ASVM formula is complex</li> </ul>					
	<ul> <li>Design method using ASTW formula is complex</li> <li>Comparison between using only SVM formula and</li> </ul>					
	• Comparison between using only STM formula and ASVM formula resulted in relatively small differences at					
	ASTIMI formula resulted in relatively small differences at higher loads. Increased differences occurred at lower					
	loading conditions					
	<ul> <li>Significant differences accurred when ratio of short to</li> </ul>					
	• Significant differences occurred when ratio of short to					
	<ul> <li>Bearing pressures are assumed to be uniform which is</li> </ul>					
	less likely the larger the ratio becomes					
	• Using the SVM only the footing is assumed to captilever					
	out each side ontimizing the bending stress or to the					
	midpoint of the post					
	• Alternatively using tributary area and then checking the					
	stresses in the pad					
	• Splices at midpoint or outside effective length if					
	supplemental padsPost © not used					
	Pad Corbel					
	Meeting Minutes:					
	• Team agreed most do not use the complicated method					
	used in the Falsework Manual					
	• C1 proposed eliminating the ASYM formula and using only the SYM formula					
	• CT presented the comparison of red design using the					
	• C1 presented the comparison of pad design using the ASYM formula vs using only the SVM formula					
	• Team discussed the different methods each member uses					
	to design pads and agreed the results will be similar					
	• CT will revise method in manual to use only SVM					
	• CI will revise method in manual to use only SYIM formula					
	• At the request of Industry CT will note in manual that					
	other method such as tributary area is acceptable					







12:40 -	Guying Design	Jim Nicholls
12:55	• Falsework Manual typically used due to lack of any other	
	guidelines	
	• Future Temporary Structures Manual will address in the	
	future	
	• BCM currently being drafted will address until manual is	
	published	
	• Discussed now revisions to the Falsework Manual Will	
	CT is working on Bridge Construction Memo (BCM)	
	that will address Standard Specification. Section 52-1	
	that will address guying of rebar cages	
	• Industry commented that ASCE is currently working on	
	guidelines for determining guying loads and will send	
	information to CT	
	• ASCE meeting in L.A. is scheduled to address guying in	
	one of the workshops	
	• Comment by team member that UNR might be doing	
	some research on guying	
12:55 -	Round Table	Open
1:00	Meeting Minutes:	Discussion
	• Discussed job specific situation when bents are on an	
	location adjacent to traffic should be applied	
	• From the description given it appeared traffic	
	restraints would be required to meet the	
	requirements of the Specs	
	• Discussed limiting distance for adjacent to traffic	
	6 5	
	requirements determined by the x/h ratio	
	<ul> <li>requirements determined by the x/h ratio</li> <li>15 feet was suggested as a limiting distance</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>requirements determined by the x/h ratio</li> <li>15 feet was suggested as a limiting distance</li> <li>This topic has been discussed in previous FWAT</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>requirements determined by the x/h ratio</li> <li>15 feet was suggested as a limiting distance</li> <li>This topic has been discussed in previous FWAT meetings and CT will research and bring to next meeting</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>requirements determined by the x/h ratio</li> <li>15 feet was suggested as a limiting distance</li> <li>This topic has been discussed in previous FWAT meetings and CT will research and bring to next meeting</li> <li>Question from industry will the 150% post load increase</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>requirements determined by the x/h ratio</li> <li>15 feet was suggested as a limiting distance</li> <li>This topic has been discussed in previous FWAT meetings and CT will research and bring to next meeting</li> <li>Question from industry will the 150% post load increase adjacent to traffic remain in the manual.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>requirements determined by the x/h ratio <ul> <li>15 feet was suggested as a limiting distance</li> <li>This topic has been discussed in previous FWAT meetings and CT will research and bring to next meeting</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question from industry will the 150% post load increase adjacent to traffic remain in the manual. <ul> <li>Comment made NDS will reduce allowable post</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>requirements determined by the x/h ratio <ul> <li>15 feet was suggested as a limiting distance</li> <li>This topic has been discussed in previous FWAT meetings and CT will research and bring to next meeting</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question from industry will the 150% post load increase adjacent to traffic remain in the manual. <ul> <li>Comment made NDS will reduce allowable post loads so increase might not be needed</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>requirements determined by the x/h ratio <ul> <li>15 feet was suggested as a limiting distance</li> <li>This topic has been discussed in previous FWAT meetings and CT will research and bring to next meeting</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question from industry will the 150% post load increase adjacent to traffic remain in the manual. <ul> <li>Comment made NDS will reduce allowable post loads so increase might not be needed</li> <li>CT responded the 150% will remain</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>requirements determined by the x/h ratio <ul> <li>15 feet was suggested as a limiting distance</li> <li>This topic has been discussed in previous FWAT meetings and CT will research and bring to next meeting</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question from industry will the 150% post load increase adjacent to traffic remain in the manual. <ul> <li>Comment made NDS will reduce allowable post loads so increase might not be needed</li> <li>CT responded the 150% will remain</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question about location of deck openings from wheel</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>requirements determined by the x/h ratio <ul> <li>15 feet was suggested as a limiting distance</li> <li>This topic has been discussed in previous FWAT meetings and CT will research and bring to next meeting</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question from industry will the 150% post load increase adjacent to traffic remain in the manual. <ul> <li>Comment made NDS will reduce allowable post loads so increase might not be needed</li> <li>CT responded the 150% will remain</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question about location of deck openings from wheel lines associated with removing falsework</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>requirements determined by the x/h ratio <ul> <li>15 feet was suggested as a limiting distance</li> <li>This topic has been discussed in previous FWAT meetings and CT will research and bring to next meeting</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question from industry will the 150% post load increase adjacent to traffic remain in the manual. <ul> <li>Comment made NDS will reduce allowable post loads so increase might not be needed</li> <li>CT responded the 150% will remain</li> </ul> </li> <li>Question about location of deck openings from wheel lines associated with removing falsework <ul> <li>Wheel lines are 3 feet in from edge of 12-foot</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	





1:00 -	Safe Span Presentation	Mike Moffo
2:00	Presented by Mike Moffo	
	• New products	
	Meeting Minutes:	
	• Product information was presented to the team	
	<ul> <li>Discussed cost of the different systems either to own or rent per square foot</li> </ul>	
	• Discussed the availability of the products	
	<ul> <li>Question raise about system factor of safety</li> <li>FS=4 for system and 6 for cables</li> </ul>	
	• Discussed options for attaching to existing structures	
2.00		
2:00	Adjourn	





### **Action Items:**

#### Items from Meeting on 8/15/19

- 1. Switch location of liaison meeting and FWAT so out of phase as far as northern and southern CA
- 2. Send draft falsework manual to FWAT
- 3. CT to look into retaining RR information on contract plans

#### **Today's Action Items**

- 1. CT will research limiting distance determined by x/h ratio for falsework adjacent traffic and topic added to future FWAT meetings
- 2. Information associated with guying systems developed by ASCE will be shared with team members and discussed in future FWAT meeting
- 3. Table and notes associated with flange bending calculations will be added to the Falsework Manual per todays discussion
- 4. Note will be added in Falsework Manual that other design methods for pads exist and are acceptable





## Attachment 1

### 5-4.11 Timber Blocking

Timber blocking can be used to increase capacity for web yielding, web crippling, and flange bending. Timber blocking must not be used for web lateral buckling. The full capacity of the blocking is not effective for increasing web. The effective capacity is given in the following formula:

#### $P_b = \gamma F_{c||} A_b$

 $\gamma = 0.5$  for wood post  $\gamma = 0.3$  for steel post

where  $P_b$  = Capacity of timber blocking (lb)

- $\gamma$  = Blocking effectiveness factor
- **F**<sub>cll</sub>' = Nominal allowable stress for block after adjustment factors are applied (psi)
- $A_b$  = Combined cross sectional area of blocking on both sides (in<sup>2</sup>)

Location of blocking is limited to the locations shown in Figure 5-16 *Timber Blocking*.







Timber blocking zones

### Attachment 2

At each location where falsework is constructed over a street or route shown in the following table, provide openings through the bridge falsework. The type, minimum width, height, and number of openings at each location, and the location and maximum spacing of the falsework lighting if required for each opening, must comply with the requirements shown in the following table. The width of vehicular openings is the clear width between temporary railings or other protective work.

Structure identification

	Number	Width (feet)	Height (feet)
Vehicleopenings			
Pedestrian openings	1	6	10

	Location	Spacing <sup>a</sup> (feet)
Falsework pavement lighting		

NOTE:

R = Right side of traffic L

= Left side of traffic

C = Centered overhead

<sup>a</sup>Spacing is the maximum distance from center to center between fixtures.