## Memorandum

Flex your power! Be energy efficient!

To:

District Deputy Directors for Environmental

District Deputy Directors for Local Assistance

District Environmental Office Chiefs

District Local Assistant Chiefs

Date:

August 26, 2009

File:

Biology

From:

JAY NORVELL

Chief

Division of Environmental Analysis

Subject: Standard Biological Technical Document Quality Control Process

Effective September 1, 2009, the attached quality control and assurance process will be used for all stand-alone biological technical documents prepared under the Department's direction including: Biological Assessments (BA), Natural Environment Studies (NES), Natural Environment Studies (Minimum Impact) (NES-MI), Essential Fish Habitat Assessments (EFH), Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Plans (HMMP). This process is to be used in combination with standard formats and District quality control programs, to ensure biological reports are prepared by qualified experts, meet regulatory and professional standards, reflect the actions and commitments of the lead agency, quality is controlled, and Caltrans responsibilities under NEPA assignment are met.

If you have questions, please contact Gregg Erickson, Chief, Office of Biology and Technical Assistance, at (916) 654-6296.

Attachments

Quality Assurance and Control for Biological Technical Report, December 2009

## Quality Control and Assurance for Biological Technical Documents

Prepared by

Division of Environmental Analysis, Biological Studies Office

In consultation with The Environmental Management Board

The California Department of Transportation's (Department) biological technical documents, and those authorized under the Federal Aid Local Assistance Program, provide natural resource information and analysis for project delivery team (PDT) decisions, environmental document preparation and public input as well as permits, licenses, agreements or certifications (PLAC). Careful, consistent and concise quality control (QC) and assurance (QA) procedures allow the Department to effectively provide information and respond to public or agency inquiries regarding compliance with policies and regulations, as well as federal environmental responsibilities and consultations assumed pursuant to SAFETEA-LU Sections 6004 and 6005. The District Environmental Deputy is responsible to ensure that each biological technical document is prepared by a qualified expert, uses standard formats & content, meets applicable policies and regulations, and the QA/QC process is concisely documented.

## Document Preparation and Quality Control (QC) Requirements:

The District Biologist (an Associate Environmental Planner, Natural Science (AEPNS) or Senior Environmental Planner with previous AEPNS or equivalent experience) will prepare biological technical documents. District Biologists who are Environmental Planners, Natural Science (EPNS) demonstrating sufficient knowledge, skills and experience for specific projects may prepare these documents at management's discretion. Consultant Biologist(s) may also be approved to prepare documents (under the guidance of the District Biologist) where they have comparable knowledge, skills and experience to that of an AEPNS in state service with a minimum of three years professional biological environmental assessment experience (e.g. conducting field surveys, preparing biological reports for environmental documents, assisting in endangered species consultations, delineating wetlands, etc.).

All Biological Technical Documents are to be prepared consistently using the standard annotated templates found in the Standard Environmental Reference (SER) (http://www.dot.ca.gov/ser/forms.htm). Approvals for District/Region specific variants of any standard format found on the SER are to be requested from the Division of Environmental Analysis - Biological Studies Office prior to use. Where a standard template is not available, a consistent District or Region format should be used. Additionally, terminology used in Biological Assessments must be consistent with "Final ESA Section 7 Consultation Handbook, March 1998" (and revisions as updated) by the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service. As appropriate, the CSE Manual for Authors, Editors, and Publishers, Seventh Edition may provide additional style and format guidance for the presentation of scientific data.

All information provided during informal Endangered Species Act and Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) consultations shall be consistent with the content, analysis and terminology of the BA and EFH templates respectively. Natural Environment Studies (NES) or Natural Environment Studies -Minimum Impact (NES (MI)) templates shall not be used in-lieu of a Biological Assessment (BA) or EFH report for consultation purposes.

Note: Only the District Biologist and/or their managers may conduct federal endangered species and essential fish habitat consultations (both verbal and written). However, project partners are encouraged to participate and consultants may provide information.

The District or Consultant Biologist preparing BA, NES, NES (MI), Essential Fish Habitat Assessments (EFH), Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Plans (HMMP) or other stand-alone biological technical documents will consistently and methodically check and adjust documents during preparation to minimize errors and ensure that information is effectively prepared in accordance with requirements. A final draft shall meet the following criteria:

- The biological technical document type, content, and level of detail must be appropriate for the project and scope of effects using the appropriate standard template (as available);
- All necessary biological issues must be addressed with clear logical analysis that is professionally appropriate, accurate, complete, consistent and supported by data;
- Supporting documents such as field notes, mapping, correspondence, administrative records, communication log and records of conversation must be properly organized and included in the file;
- The document must be readable with good writing practices including controlled use of jargon, acronyms, grammar, syntax, abbreviations, etc. and be consistent in format and style;
- The biological technical document provides the requisite natural resource information and analysis for PDT decisions, resource agency review, PLAC, and/or public input during Environmental Document circulation;
- Description of the project and avoidance, minimization and compensation commitments, are acceptable and have been approved as necessary by the PDT;
- Coordination and input from other functional units or specialists has been sought as needed; and
- Any issues are resolved and subsequent peer review comments addressed as needed.

All biological technical documents will include the name of the biologist(s) preparing the documents and the manager overseeing the work. These people should be prepared to answer questions regarding document content, quality and preparation. At management's discretion, Districts may use district specific checklists, worksheets or other tools to assist preparation and/or when verifying the documents were prepared by qualified experts, meet regulatory and professional standards, and reflect the actions and commitments of the lead agency.

## **Independent Peer Review and Correspondence**

The Department must independently review the quality and content of all Biological Technical Documents supporting NEPA decisions or consultation responsibilities assumed under SAFETEA-LU Sections 6004 and 6005. Biological technical documents submitted to the Department must include quality control documentation consistent with this guidance. The documentation must be adequate to follow the administrative record and include a log of contacts with names and contact information.

A District Biologist (AEPNS or Senior Environmental Planner with prior AEPNS experience) employed by the Department who is not the author will independently peer-review documents, related files, supporting documentation and quality control documentation to verify they meet all applicable requirements and the analysis used to make effect findings is sound. Any deficiencies, corrective measures or related correspondence must be documented and retained in the file.

Upon resolution of any issues and completion of the peer review process, a District Biologist (typically the author or consultant oversight) employed by the Department will sign the document as a recommendation for approval. A brief NES (MI) of 1-2 pages that documents no effects and is prepared by a District Biologist (AEPNS or Senior Environmental Planner with prior AEPNS experience) employed by the Department does not require peer review but does require a recommendation signature as noted above.

A District Environmental Branch Chief (Senior Environmental Planner or higher) will carefully review the descriptions of the intended actions, effect findings, and commitments as well as applicable standards and policies then as appropriate will sign the document as an approval for use in NEPA determinations and federal consultations. This will also serve as an acknowledgement of any Department obligations contained within the document for these purposes.

A District Environmental Branch Chief (Senior Environmental Planner or higher) will sign all correspondence that transmits official submittals or initiates/concludes formal consultation between agencies. Federal consultations assumed under NEPA delegation, both verbal & written, may only be conducted by the Department. This can not be delegated to other agencies or consultants. Acceptance of analysis, findings and commitments is documented through transmittal and response letters used during consultation. If prepared on behalf of an entity other than the Department, the local agency's representative should be given an opportunity to review the documents or correspondence prior to submittal.