DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR P.O. BOX 942873, MS-49 SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001 PHONE (916) 654-5266 FAX (916) 654-6608 TTY 711 www.dot.ca.gov



Serious drought. Help save water!

July 1, 2015

Ms. Diane Boyer-Vine Legislative Counsel State Capitol, Room 3021 Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. Daniel Alvarez Secretary of the Senate State Capitol, Room 3044 Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. E. Dotson Wilson Chief Clerk of the Assembly State Capitol, Room 3196 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Ms. Boyer-Vine and Messrs. Alvarez and Wilson:

I am pleased to transmit the California Department of Transportation's (Caltrans) "Report of Reimbursable Projects Implemented Prior to Allocation," as required by Section 14529.19(b) of the Government Code.

Caltrans has made distribution to the Legislature pursuant to Section 9795 of the Government Code. This report can be found at http://www.dot.ca.gov/reports-legislature.htm.

Sincerely,

MALCOLM DOUGHERTY

Director

Attachments

1. Report to the Legislature

2. Report of Reimbursable STIP Projects Implemented Prior to Allocation

REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE

REIMBURSABLE STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECTS IMPLEMENTED PRIOR TO ALLOCATION

APRIL 2015

Prepared by the California Department of Transportation, Division of Local Assistance

REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE

REIMBURSABLE STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (STIP) PROJECTS IMPLEMENTED PRIOR TO ALLOCATION

PURPOSE:

This report was prepared in accordance with Section 14529.19(b) of the Government Code (GC), which states:

"Notwithstanding Section 7550.5, on July 1, 2000, and annually thereafter, the department shall compile information and report to the Legislature on the number of projects for which an agreement to transfer funds under subdivision (a) was executed and on all projects for which an agreement was not executed within the period provided under subdivision (a) and the reasons therefore. The information provided by the department shall include a description of any actions taken by the department during the prior fiscal year to streamline, expedite, and simplify the department's process for executing the agreements to transfer funds required under subdivision (a)."

The purpose of the report is to provide the Legislature with information on efforts to help local agencies accelerate projects and receive timely reimbursement.

SUMMARY:

Between April 2014 and March 2015, 22 allocations were made under the provisions of AB 872 for 22 projects. Agreements for 18 projects were executed within 90 days of allocation. The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) sent two agreements to the local agency within the 90-day period and the execution of the agreements was delayed by the local agency. Caltrans sent agreements for 2 projects after the 90-day period and were signed and executed after the 90-day period.

BACKGROUND:

Senate Bill (SB) 45, Chapter 633, Statutes of 1997, provided 75 percent of funds allocated through the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) for projects selected by regional agencies. The 75 Percent Program, entitled the Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP), allows regional agencies to program State and federal STIP funds for projects on the State Highway System or on eligible local roads. Under the STIP guidelines, agencies must request allocation for their projects through the California Transportation Commission (CTC).

Assembly Bill (AB) 872, Chapter 572, Statutes of 1999, amended by SB 184, Chapter 462, Statutes of 2007, permits a regional or local agency to spend its own funds in order to implement projects programmed in the STIP in advance of CTC approval of project allocations. The regional or local agency will be reimbursed for the expenditures subsequent to the CTC's approval of the allocation. Regional or local agencies have to comply with all legal requirements for the project, as specified in State and federal law.

Per SB 184, Section 14529.17 of the GC, the statute is limited to projects advanced for expenditure within the current fiscal year STIP. Per AB 872, Section 14529.19 of the GC, Caltrans is required to execute the program supplement agreement within 90 days from the date on which the CTC approves an allocation for the project.

AB 872 was passed in order to streamline the process by which local agencies may begin projects and was an attempt to "spend down" the large amount of dollars that had accumulated in the State Highway Account in the late 1990s. The Legislature was concerned that the allocation process impeded the delivery of transportation projects programmed in the STIP. Local agencies could expedite a project using their own funds, with the expectation of receiving an allocation vote from the CTC. Once a project is allocated, Caltrans is required to execute an agreement within 90 days of the allocation and an agency can request reimbursement from Caltrans. This would increase the delivery of local projects within a given fiscal year.

PROJECT DATA:

Under AB 872, 22 allocations totaling \$5,799,000 were made between April 1, 2014, and March 31, 2015, for 22 projects. Detailed information about the projects is shown on the attachment to this report. Agreements for 18 projects were executed within 90 days of allocation. One project was allocated on August 20, 2014, and the agreement was sent to the local agency on September 24, 2014. The local agency delayed the execution of the agreement by returning the agreement on December 1, 2014; two weeks after the 90-day period.

In April 2013, the Division updated the AB 872 agreement process. The process is now closely monitored and is tracked by the Division's STIP Coordinator. AB 872 project agreements are considered to be the highest priority and an effort is made to process and send the agreements to the local agencies within 30 days. Throughout the past year, the number of project agreements executed prior to the 90-day deadline has decreased. The Division will continue to follow the AB 872 process.

PROGRAM CHALLENGES:

The budget difficulties faced by the State of California continue to create an unstable funding source for transportation projects. Beginning in July 2010, Caltrans implemented the Fiscal Year 2010–11 Federal-aid Project Guidelines (FPFG) and applied the FPFG to the STIP in order to maximize the use of federal funds and help alleviate concerns with State Funds (SHA funds) balance. In recent years, many STIP projects have been allocated with federal funds, however, the majority of AB 872 projects in past years have been for Planning, Programming, and Monitoring projects, which cannot receive federal funds and can only be funded by State funds. Agencies invoke SB 184 in order to begin expending their own funds to continue developing projects in a timely manner to meet delivery schedule, environmental windows and even when State funding shortages exist such as California budget delays. AB872/SB184 allows agencies to expend local funds in advance of allocation. Therefore, no SHA funds are committed to projects until the Governor approves the budget; granting budget authority to allocate State funds to projects. The CTC made 22 allocations under AB 872 for this report period, which represents no change in the number of allocations reported in last year's report.

Report of Reimbursable STIP Projects Implemented Prior to Allocation Allocation Period: April 1, 2014 to March 31, 2015

	1		ı	1	I				D		ı	1	
								Program	Program Supplement		Plans,		
								Supplement	Agreement	Environmental	Specifications		
						Allocation	*Effective	Agreement	**Amended		and Estimates	Right of Way	Construction
District	Agency Name	County Name	Project Number	PPNO	Location Description	Date		Effective Date	Date	Funds	Funds	Funds	Funds
	Transportation				Planning, Programming, and								
01	Commission	Del Norte	PPM15-6130(038)	01-1032	Monitoring	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	10/21/2014		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$34,000
	Lake County City				S								
01	Area Planning Council	Lake	PPM15-6136(042)	01-3002P	Planning, Programming, and Monitoring	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	10/9/2014		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$64,000
01	Mendocino	Lake	PPM15-0130(042)	01-3002F	Monitoring	0/20/2014	//1/2014	10/9/2014		ŞU	\$U	\$U	\$04,000
	Council of				Planning, Programming, and								
01	Governments	Mendocino	PPM15-6140(039)	01-4002P	Monitoring	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	11/21/2014		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$91,000
	Humboldt County												-
	Association of				Planning, Programming, and								
01	Governments	Humboldt	PPM14-6133(041)	01-2002P	Monitoring	12/10/2014	7/1/2014	9/9/2013		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$118,000
02	Tehama County	Tehama	BRLS-5908(070)	02-2430	99W at Thomes Creek Bridge	6/25/2014	5/14/2014	8/15/2013		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$953,000
02	Teriama county	renama	DRES 5500(070)	02 2 130		0/23/2011	3/11/2011	0/15/2015		40	Ψ0	ΨΟ	Ψ233,000
02	City of Alturas	Modoc	DDI E120/006\	02-2508	Chip Seal Various Locations City of Alturas	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	10/31/2014		\$1,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
02	City Of Aituras	MOUOC	RPL-5120(006)	02-2300	City of Alturas	6/20/2014	7/1/2014	10/31/2014		\$1,000	\$U	\$ U	\$ U
02	City of Dorris	Siskiyou	RPL-5179(008)	02-2485	Oregon Street Rehabilitation	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	10/27/2014		\$3,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
	oley of Dornio	Sisitiyou	14 2 317 5(000)	02 2 100	or egon our eer remasmeation	0,20,2011	7/1/2011	10/2//2011		ψ3,000	φσ	40	40
* 02	City of Etna	Siskiyou	RPL-5054(008)	02-2486	Scott Street Rehabilitation	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	3/3/2015		\$3,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
		-			7th & 8th Street								
02	City of Montague	Siskiyou	RPL-5180(009)	02-2523	Rehabilitation	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	10/17/2014		\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
02	Tahama Caumhi	Tabana	BDI C7D F000/021\	02 2270	Sacramento River Bridge	0/20/2014	7/1/2014	0/20/2000		¢0	¢200 000	¢40,000	¢0
02	Tehama County Sacramento Area	Tehama	BRLSZD-5908(031)	02-2378	(No. 08C-0043)	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	8/30/2000		\$0	\$309,000	\$49,000	\$0
	Council of				Planning, Programming, and								
* 03	Governments	Various	PPM15-6085(058)	03-Var	Monitoring	6/25/2014	7/1/2014	10/28/2014		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$827,000
	Nevada County		, ,		_								. ,
	Transportation				Planning, Programming, and								
03	Commission Contra Costa	Nevada	PPM15-6144(035)	03-0L83	Monitoring	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	11/17/2014		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$81,000
	County												
	Transportation				Planning, Programming, and								
04	Authority	Contra Costa	PPM15-6072(018)	04-20110	Monitoring	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	10/7/2014		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$431,000
	Napa County		. (2.32)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					,	, -		, ,
1.	Transportation				Planning, Programming, and								
* 04	Planning Agency	Napa	PPM15-6429(015)	04-1003E	Monitoring	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	12/3/2014		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$69,000
	San Francisco County												
	Transportation				Planning, Programming, and								
04	Authority	San Francisco	PPM15-6272(042)	04-2007	Monitoring	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	10/14/2014		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$161,000
	San Mateo		(/		3								, ,
	City/County												
	Association of			04.24.46	Planning, Programming, and	0.100.100:	= // /20/	40447400::					1055 005
04	Governments Santa Clara	San Mateo	PPM15-6419(021)	04-2140A	Monitoring	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	10/17/2014		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$355,000
	Valley												
	Transportation				Planning, Programming, and								
04	Authority	Santa Clara	PPM15-6264(064)	04-2255	Monitoring	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	10/9/2014		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$696,000
			,						•				· · ·

Report of Reimbursable STIP Projects Implemented Prior to Allocation Allocation Period: April 1, 2014 to March 31, 2015

	1				T		1	1	Program	1	1	I	
								Program	Supplement		Plans,		
								Supplement		Environmental	,		
						Allocation	*Effective		**Amended		and Estimates	Pight of Way	Construction
District	Agency Name	County Name	Project Number	PPNO	Location Description			Effective Date		Funds	Funds	Funds	Funds
District	Solano	County Name	1 Tojece Number	11110	Edeation Description	Date	ICITID Date	Lifective Date	Date	i unus	i ulius	i uiius	i ulius
	Transportation				Planning, Programming, and								
04	Authority	Solano	PPM15-6249(038)	04-2263	Monitoring	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	10/1/2014		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$191,000
01	Metropolitan	Solurio	111113 02 13(030)	012203	1 ionicornig	0/20/2011	7/1/2011	10/1/2011		Ψ0	Ψ0	ΨΟ	Ψ131,000
	Transportation				Planning, Programming, and								
04	Commission	Various	PPM15-6084(189)	04-Var	Monitoring	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	10/7/2014		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$589,000
	San Luis Obispo				3	-, -, -	, , .	-, ,			1.		1/
	Council of				Planning, Programming, and								
05	Governments	San Luis Obispo	PPM15-6096(063)	05-0942	Monitoring	6/25/2014	7/1/2014	9/25/2014		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$225,000
	Tuolumne County												
	Transportation				Planning, Programming, and								
10	Council	Tuolumno	PPM15-6154(047)	10-0452	Monitoring	10/0/2014	7/22/2014	12/10/2014		\$0	\$0	40	¢60,000
10	San Diego	Tuolumne	FFM13-0134(047)	10-0432	Pionitoring	10/8/2014	7/22/2014	12/19/2014		ΨU	φU	\$0	\$60,000
	Association of				Planning, Programming, and								
* 11	Governments	San Diego	PPM15-6066(122)	11-7402	Monitoring	8/20/2014	7/1/2014	2/10/2015		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$854,000
. 11	Governments	San Diego	PPINITO-0000(122)	11-7402	Monitoring	0/20/2014	//1/2014	2/10/2015		ÞU	ŞU	φU	\$054,000

Total \$9,000 \$309,000 \$49,000 \$5,799,000

^{*} PSA's executed after the 90-days