DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR P.O. BOX 942873, MS-49 SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001 PHONE (916) 654-6130 FAX (916) 653-5776 TTY 711 www.dot.ca.gov



Serious drought. Help save water!

March 23, 2015

The Honorable Mark Leno, Chair Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee State Capitol, Room 5019 Sacramento, CA 95814

The Honorable Shirley Weber, Chair Assembly Budget Committee State Capitol, Room 6026 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Senator Leno and Assembly Member Weber:

I am pleased to transmit the California Department of Transportation's (Caltrans) "Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Program 2015 Annual Report". Caltrans has prepared the report in accordance with Section 157.8 of the California Streets and Highways Code.

The report presents, in detail, the status of the 70 facilities on which Caltrans has installed photovoltaic energy systems as part of the Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Program, an accounting of the costs for each photovoltaic energy system installed, a description of the energy savings Caltrans is projected to achieve by installing a photovoltaic energy system, and a review and analysis of the expected cost savings at the time of issuance of the bonds versus the actual annual savings.

Distribution to the Legislature has been made by Caltrans pursuant to California Government Code section 9795. This report can be found at www.dot.ca.gov/reports-legislature.htm.

Sincerely,

MALCOLM DOUGHERTY

Director

Enclosure

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR P.O. BOX 942873, MS-49 SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001 PHONE (916) 654-6130 FAX (916) 653-5776 TTY 711 www.dot.ca.gov



Serious drought. Help save water!

March 23, 2015

Ms. Diane Boyer-Vine Legislative Council State Capitol, Room 3021 Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. Daniel Alvarez Secretary of the Senate State Capitol, Room 3044 Sacramento, CA 95814

Mr. Dotson Wilson Chief Clerk of the Assembly State Capitol, Room 3196 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Ms. Boyer-Vine, and Messrs. Alvarez, and Wilson:

I am pleased to transmit the California Department of Transportation's (Caltrans) "Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Program 2015 Annual Report". Caltrans has prepared the report in accordance with Section 157.8 of the California Streets and Highways Code.

The report presents, in detail, the status of the 70 facilities on which Caltrans has installed photovoltaic energy systems as part of the Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Program, an accounting of the costs for each photovoltaic energy system installed, a description of the energy savings Caltrans is projected to achieve by installing a photovoltaic energy system, and a review and analysis of the expected cost savings at the time of issuance of the bonds versus the actual annual savings.

Distribution to the Legislature has been made by Caltrans pursuant to California Government Code section 9795. This report can be found at www.dot.ca.gov/reports-legislature.htm.

Sincerely,

MALCOLM DOUGHERTY

Director

Enclosure



Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Program 2015 Annual Report



District 12 Orange Maintenance Station



District 4
Cupertino Maintenance Station

Prepared by:

Division of Business, Facilities and Security 1120 N Street Sacramento, California March 2015



Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Program 2015 Annual Report March 2015

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

California Streets and Highway Codes section 157.8 requires the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) to annually report to the budget committees of each house of the Legislature with regard to the issuance of Clean Renewable Energy Bonds (CREBs) for financing the acquisition and installation of photovoltaic (solar) energy systems until maturity of the bonds.

The 2015 CREBs Annual Report includes the following information:

- The status of each facility on which Caltrans has installed photovoltaic energy systems as part of the CREBs Program. (Exhibit 1)
- An accounting of the costs for each photovoltaic energy system installed or acquired by Caltrans. (Exhibit 1)
- A description of the energy savings Caltrans has achieved by acquiring or installing photovoltaic energy systems. (Exhibit 3)
- A review and analysis of the expected cost savings at the time of issuance of the bonds (Exhibit 2) versus actual annual savings. (Exhibit 3)

Background

The CREBs Program was authorized as part of the Tax Incentives Act of 1995, which was passed by the United States Congress to encourage energy conservation, develop energy infrastructure, increase domestic energy production, and the use of alternative energy sources.

The CREBs Program is administered by the United States Internal Revenue Service (IRS). CREBs are a type of tax credit bond in which interest on the bonds is paid in the form of tax credits by the United States government. The proceeds for the issuance of the CREBs are available to finance renewable energy and clean coal facilities projects.

On November 13, 2006, the IRS approved 93 CREBs applications submitted by Caltrans, with a total value of \$45.6 million. Caltrans subsequently initiated efforts to re-evaluate and approve facilities for conceptual soundness and adjusted the scope as necessary at each facility. The re-evaluation criteria consisted of the age and condition of the roof and design; the long-term building retention; structural integrity; and a cost-benefit analysis. Through this process, the number of photovoltaic projects was reduced to 70, with construction and installation costs estimated at \$19.9 million.

A Banc of America Bond sale for capital outlay costs was obligated for a total of \$20 million, plus interest of \$2.2 million (1.45% rate) over a 15-year period.

CREBs PROGRAM

Overview

The 70 projects funded under the CREBs Program have been constructed and have a generating capacity of approximately 2.4 megawatts (MW) solar power (Exhibit 1). The photovoltaic panels have a life expectancy of at least 25 years. The installation of the photovoltaic energy systems will help Caltrans meet energy conservation goals outlined in Executive Order (EO) B-18-12 signed by Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr. on April 25, 2012. This order targets a 20 percent reduction in grid-based energy savings for state-owned buildings by 2018.

A listing of Caltrans' 70 photovoltaic installation projects at various transportation facilities, as well as the dates the photovoltaic systems began generating power, is presented in Exhibit 1. The following table displays the total number of photovoltaic energy system projects by facility type.

Facility Type	Number of Projects
Maintenance Facilities	46
Equipment Shops	9
Safety Roadside Rest Areas	3
Office Buildings	4
Materials Laboratories Transportation Management	2
Centers	2
Toll Bridge Facilities	2
Truck Inspection Facilities	2
TOTAL	70

Status of Projects

As of January 2013, all 70 projects have been completed and are generating electricity. Consequently, the need for managing and measuring energy and production has become increasingly critical. Caltrans was utilizing traditional track monitoring (manual meter readings) and created a database in which monthly data readings were manually recorded. However, it

was ascertained that the traditional track monitoring led to the inaccuracy of data collection, the inability to detect breakdowns in a timely manner, and the inability to optimize production. Therefore, Caltrans worked towards the use of telemetry monitoring (online monitoring) at CREBs sites to effectively track the systems performance of energy production and allow instant access to all data captured onsite for export or further analysis. The telemetry monitoring has been installed at 58 of the 70 CREBs sites. The major factors that 12 of the CREBs sites do not have telemetry monitoring are as follows:

- Five (5) of the sites do not have existing internet capabilities.
- The electronic information from certain types of inverters was not compatible with the Caltrans' telemetry monitoring system. The two systems are unable to communicate electronically.

To ensure the PV systems operate effectively and efficiently, Caltrans is currently in the process of procuring a contract to provide PV system service on an on-call, as needed basis. The scope of work in the contract will include the following:

- Address present inverter issues (inverters have gone bad or the communication link to the inverters has gone bad) at some of the sites;
- Troubleshoot inverters and work with the inverter manufacturer to repair them;
- Test individual PV strings to determine if all the panels are working properly per manufacturer specifications and make recommendations and replace panels that are not operational;
- Troubleshoot and correct any communication issues the systems connected to the Caltrans' intranet; and
- Troubleshoot and fix wiring, blown fuses, etc.

BUDGET

Original Cost Benefit Analysis

Caltrans examined the cost effectiveness and viability of each project. Financial factors considered for each project included energy consumption and the average cost of the utility-provided electricity for the facility. This data was compared with industry averages for the cost to install roof-mounted photovoltaic energy systems for the required kilowatt hours of electricity used at each facility. As a result, Caltrans estimated a utility savings of approximately \$24.7 million over 15 years with a bond debt service payment of \$22.8 million (Exhibit 2).

Revised Cost Benefit Analysis

Due to the inconsistencies of traditional track monitoring and online monitoring internet and inverter issues, sufficient data for the actual energy generated to accurately calculate the annual avoided cost of energy is not available at this time. Therefore, the cost benefit analysis was prepared utilizing actual energy generated, when available, and a projection of the energy to be

generated in order to estimate the annual avoided cost of energy. It has been found that the actual energy production and cost avoidance are consistent with predicted values for those sites which have been generating energy for over a year.

In the revised cost benefit analysis, the annual avoided cost of energy was changed to reflect the guidelines and assumptions presented by the California Energy Commission (Commission) in the photovoltaic installation guidelines titled, "A Guide to Photovoltaic System Design and Installation," dated June 2001. Furthermore, Caltrans elected to design, bid, and manage the CREBs projects. Lower than expected construction costs and rebates have enabled Caltrans to make a prepayment on outstanding CREBs bonds. The planned bond prepayment will reduce the bond debt service by approximately \$10.3 million to \$12.4 million. The CREBs' principal prepayment took place from unused bond proceed on June 10, 2014, which was a schedule prescribed in the CREBs Bond Indenture and the Equipment Sublease, Section E. The Caltrans' personnel cost to support the CREBs Program was approximately \$4.4 million. As a result, Caltrans estimates a utility savings of approximately \$11.2 million over the 15 years with a bond debt service of \$12.4 million (Exhibit 3).

Comparison of the Original Cost Benefit Analysis and the Revised Cost Benefit Analysis

Due to the Caltrans' limited experience with photovoltaic energy systems, the original cost benefit analysis did not account for all factors that affect the output of a photovoltaic energy system and economic benefits under variable weather conditions over time. Because the intensity of light on a surface varies throughout a day, as well as day to day, the actual output of a photovoltaic energy system can vary substantially. Therefore, to obtain a more realistic expectation of the overall system output and economic benefits, calculations were adjusted in the revised cost benefit analysis utilizing the guidelines provided by the Commission, which consider factors such as standard test conditions, dirt and dust, temperature, sun angle, and building orientation.

The original Cost Benefit Analysis Annual Avoided Cost calculation was based on an average of eight hours of sunlight each day. Following the guidelines of the Commission report, the average time of sunlight each day was revised to approximately five hours each day.

Taking into account the various factors that the Commission has identified as affecting the output of a photovoltaic energy system and the delays to the original CREBs project delivery schedule, the following assumptions identified in the original cost benefit analysis have changed:

- The total annual avoided cost changed from \$24.7 million to \$11.2 million over a 15-year period,
- The total bond debt service of \$22.8 million was reduced to \$12.5 million, and
- It will take an additional 6 years to fund the bond debt service and cost associated with the photovoltaic systems (15 years revised to 21 years).

CONCLUSION

The CREBs Program was established to increase Caltrans efforts towards grid-based energy conservation as outlined in EO B-18-12. This was to be accomplished by installing photovoltaic energy systems on Caltrans-owned facilities at a cost of \$20 million and financed through a 1.45% interest CREBs. It was Caltrans' anticipation that the CREBs Program would begin generating electricity one year after the sale of the bonds and that the bond debt service be fully paid through avoided energy cost before the maturity of the bond.

Although Caltrans has not met the original projected cost saving of the CREBs Program, after 25 years the bond debt and costs associated with the photovoltaic projects will be paid off. For the life of the system, it is projected that Caltrans will save approximately \$6.4 million (Exhibit 3). The photovoltaic projects increased the departmental efforts towards energy conservation as outlined in EO B-18-12 and support the state's renewable power statutes, "green power," electric grid demand, energy conservation, Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED), and climate change mandates.

Governor Brown continues to support California's efforts to grow its robust, sustainable clean tech economy, improve reliability of the electric grid, and reduce air pollution. The Caltrans' CREBs Program works towards reaching the Governor's goal of stimulating investments in green technology, creating new jobs for small and disability business enterprises, and promoting energy independence. The 2.4 MW of solar power that the Caltrans' 70 sites are expected to produce can power approximately 500 homes per year.

APPENDIX

Exhibit

- California Department of Transportation Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Projects
- 2 CREBs 15-Year Bond Term (Original Cost Benefit Analysis)
- 3 CREBs 15-Year Bond Term (Revised Cost Benefit Analysis)

California Department of Transportation Clean Renewable Energy Bonds Projects

			Energy Bonas Project			
					kW AC	Date Began
Num	District	Project	City	Project Cost	Actual	Gen Power
1	3	Elk Grove Maintenance Station	Elk Grove	\$115,368	15.0	7/20/2010
2	3	Willows SRRA	Glenn County	\$29,143	3.0	8/26/2010
3	3	Sunrise Maintenance Station	Rancho Cordova	\$231,000	30.0	7/19/2010
4	3	District 3 - Maint. Facility 2	Chico	\$155,000	23.0	9/14/2010
5	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 3	Cupertino	\$169,675	20.0	9/21/2010
6	10	John C. Erreca SRRA	Merced County	\$56,800	9.0	8/3/2010
7	6	Porterville Maintenance Station	Porterville	\$120,362	15.8	7/19/2010
8	5	District 5 - Maint. Facility 5	Santa Maria	\$107,300	15.0	8/20/2010
9 10	5 4	District 5 - Maint. Facility 2	Monterey Walnut Creek	\$55,600	13.0 20.0	8/19/2010 9/7/2010
11	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 19 Equipment Building #7	San Leandro	\$142,700 \$239,400	45.0	9/22/2010
12	6	District 6 - Maint. Facility 2	Delano	\$164,025	20.0	10/11/2010
13	6	Lebec Maintenance Station	Lebec	\$133,808	15.8	10/4/2010
14	6	District 6 Office Building	Fresno	\$432,669	89.3	9/22/2010
14		District 6 Office Building - Supplemental Work		\$71,205	03.5	3,22,2010
15	6	District 6 - Maint. Facility 3	Fresno	\$163,027	22.0	11/10/2010
16	6	Equipment Building #11	Fresno	\$180,723	35.0	11/17/2010
17	2	Burney Maintenance Station	Burney	\$198,900	30.0	10/26/2010
18	3	Equipment Building #5	Marysville	\$457,631	92.2	11/18/2010
19	6	Equipment Building #12	Bakersfield	\$211,632	42.0	12/8/2010
20	11	District 11 - Maint. Facility 4	San Diego	\$178,835	35.7	12/9/2010
21	10	Westley SRRA	Stanislaus County	\$123,869	14.0	11/30/2010
22	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 8	Hercules	\$109,563	12.0	12/15/2010
23	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 6	Gilroy	\$49,479	7.0	12/16/2010
24	9	District 9 - Maint. Facility 1	Bishop	\$184,190	35.0	12/16/2010
25	6	District 6 - Maint. Facility 4	Visalia	\$224,754	30.0	1/17/2011
26	9	District 9 Office Building	Bishop	\$441,058	89.3	1/19/2011
27	7	District 7 - Maint. Facility 10	Tarzana	\$64,398	10.0	1/25/2011
28	3	District 3 - Maint. Facility 1	Auburn	\$111,300	20.0	1/26/2011
29	7	District 7 - Maint. Facility 1	Altadena	\$138,668	20.0	1/25/2011
30	3	Main Lab Bldg (Translab) (New Warehouse) Phase I	Sacramento	\$887,000	165.0	4/11/2011
31	1	Bracut Maintenance Station	Eureka	\$255,721	50.0	3/11/2011
32	1	Equipment Building #1 (2101)	Eureka	\$174,892	30.0	2/16/2011
33	1	District 1 - Maint. Facility 1 (Annex)	Eureka	\$139,989	25.0	2/16/2011
34	7	Newhall Maintenance Station	Valencia	\$164,297	33.0	2/9/2011
35	9	Shoshone Maintenance Station	Shoshone	\$99,733	15.8	2/22/2011
36	8	Equipment Building #15	Barstow	\$192,500	30.0	2/11/2011
37 38	11 7	Equipment Building #18	San Diego	\$379,898 \$142,408	65.0 20.0	4/14/2011
39	12	District 7 - Maint. Facility 5 District 12 - Maint. Facility 1	Monrovia	\$207,899	42.8	3/17/2011 4/13/2011
40	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 9	Orange Napa	\$84,024	8.0	6/8/2011
41	7	District 7 - Maint. Facility 2	Camarillo	\$210,465	30.0	3/14/2011
42	1	District 1 Office Building	Eureka	\$372,539	75.0	4/25/2011
43	12	Costa Mesa Maintenance Station	Costa Mesa	\$212,061	42.8	7/14/2011
44	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 15	San Leandro	\$176,913	30.0	3/27/2012
45	11	San Diego - Coronado Bridge	San Diego	\$202,000	47.6	5/27/2011
46	11	San Onofre SB I-5 Truck Inspection Facility	San Onofre	\$99,000	23.8	5/25/2011
47	7	District 7 - Maint. Facility 3	Commerce	\$206,420	36.5	1/14/2013
48	5	Equipment Building #10	San Luis Obispo	\$272,843	48.0	4/23/2011
49	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 7	Hayward	\$158,750	30.0	4/27/2011
50	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 2	Crockett	\$184,800	25.0	5/10/2011
51	4	South San Jose Maintenance Station	San Jose	\$170,738	30.0	5/23/2011
52	4	District 4 Maintenance Facility	Petaluma	\$135,497	20.0	6/24/2011
53	5	District 5 - Maint. Facility 4	Santa Barbara	\$99,285	15.0	2/8/2012
54	1	District 1 - Maint. Facility 3	Ukiah	\$177,489	25.0	9/7/2011
55	4	District 4 - Maint. Facility 1	Benicia	\$185,800	30.0	7/6/2011
56	10	Stockton Maintenance Station	Stockton	\$214,050	30.0	10/18/2011
57	5	District 5 - Maint. Facility 1	Buellton	\$89,600	15.0	10/20/2011
58	5	Santa Cruz - Maint. Facility 17	Santa Cruz	\$102,373	15.0	10/12/2011
59	5	District 5 Office Building	San Luis Obispo	\$365,228	73.5	10/19/2011
60	7	Chilao Maintenance Station	La Canada	\$121,569	12.0	8/4/2011
61	2	Quincy Maintenance Station	Quincy	\$172,351	30.0	10/6/2011
62	11	Calexico NB Truck Inspection Facility	Herber	\$108,675	15.0	7/27/2012
63	8	District 8 - Maint. Facility 1	Riverside	\$171,792	30.0	1/18/2012
64	4	Antioch Bridge Toll Plaza	Antioch	\$78,931	10.0	7/5/2012
C.	2	Main Lab Bldg (Translab) (Exist Geotech & Structure Materials)	Cassamenta	6204.076	44.0	0/44/2014
65	3	Phase II	Sacramento	\$284,076	44.0	8/11/2011
66 67	12	TMC #6 District 12 Maint, Facility	Irvine Orange	\$254,395	50.8	3/7/2012
67 68	12 7	District 12 Maint. Facility District 7 Maint. Facility	Orange Long Beach	\$244,627 \$238,900	43.9 45.2	2/15/2012
69	11	TMC #5	Long Beach San Diego	\$238,900	45.2	7/1/2012 3/2/2012
70	3	Division of Equipment Building	San Diego Sacramento	\$235,292 \$414,000	100.0	3/2/2012 8/2/2012
/0	3	Division of Equipment building	Total:	\$13,750,902	2,375.8	0/2/2012
			Telemetry Monitoring Costs:	\$13,750,902	4,3/3.6	

Total: \$13,750,902
Telemetry Monitoring Costs: \$354,892
Project Costs: \$14,105,794
Rebates: (\$3,366,000)
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS: \$10,739,794

CREBs 15-Year Bond Term (Original Cost Benefit Analysis)

Fiscal Year
Annual Avoided Cost
DOT Cost (Maint.)
State Highway Acct
Bond Debt Payment
Net Avoided Cost

2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
\$403,457	\$1,237,411	\$1,389,299	\$1,444,871	\$1,502,666	\$1,562,772	\$1,625,283	\$1,690,295
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(\$925,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(\$1,781,111)	(\$1,624,000)	(\$1,604,667)	(\$1,585,333)	(\$1,566,000)	(\$1,546,667)	(\$1,527,333)	(\$1,508,000)
(\$2,302,654)	(\$386,589)	(\$215,368)	(\$140,462)	(\$63,334)	\$16,106	\$97,950	\$182,295

Total (Yr 1-8)
\$10,856,054
\$0
(\$925,000)
(\$12,743,111)
(\$2,812,057)

Fiscal Year
Annual Avoided Cost
DOT Cost (Maint.)
State Highway Acct
Bond Debt Payment
Net Avoided Cost

2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
\$1,757,906	\$1,828,223	\$1,901,352	\$1,977,406	\$2,056,502	\$2,138,762	\$2,224,312
\$0	(\$300,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(\$1,488,667)	(\$1,469,333)	(\$1,450,000)	(\$1,430,668)	(\$1,411,333)	(\$1,392,000)	(\$1,372,667)
\$269,240	\$58,889	\$451,352	\$546,738	\$645,169	\$746,762	\$851,646

Total (Yr 1-15)
\$24,740,517
(\$300,000)
(\$925,000)
(\$22,757,779)
\$757,738

Assumptions:

- 1. CREBs anticipated to be sold by December 2008.
- 2. CREBs debt service payments begin in Fiscal Year 2009-2010 (Calendar Year 2009).
- 3. Year 1 is Fiscal Year 2009-10.
- 4. Photovoltaic maintenance cost estimated at \$300K every 10 years.
- 5. Bond costs will be funded either through rebates, bond proceeds or the California Department of Transportation.

CREBs 15-Year Bond Term (Revised Cost Benefit Analysis)

		•						
Fiscal Year	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Annual Avoided Cost	\$0	\$190,783	\$498,819	\$641,881	\$693,377	\$765,237	\$795,846	\$827,680
DOT Cost (Support)	(\$1,980,000)	(\$1,720,000)	(\$660,000)	(\$40,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
DOT Cost (Maint.)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
State Highway Acct	(\$925,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Bond Debt Payment	(\$1,482,361)	(\$1,604,000)	(\$1,584,667)	(\$1,565,333)	(\$1,546,000)	(\$551,868)	(\$481,744)	(\$475,565)
Net Avoided Cost	(\$4,387,361)	(\$3,133,217)	(\$1,745,848)	(\$963,452)	(\$852,623)	\$213,369	\$314,102	\$352,115

Fiscal Year	
Annual Avoided Cost	
DOT Cost (Support)	
DOT Cost (Maint.)	
State Highway Acct	
Bond Debt Payment	
Net Avoided Cost	

2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
\$860,788	\$895,219	\$931,028	\$968,269	\$1,007,000	\$1,047,280	\$1,089,171
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$150,000)	(\$150,000)	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(\$469,386)	(\$463,207)	(\$457,028)	(\$450,849)	(\$444,670)	(\$438,491)	(\$432,312)
\$391,402	\$432,012	\$474,000	\$367,420	\$412,330	\$608,789	\$656,859

Т	otal (Yr 1-15)
	\$11,212,377
	(\$4,400,000)
	(\$300,000)
	(\$925,000)
	(\$12,447,481)
	(\$6,860,103)

Total (Yr 1-8) \$4,413,624 (\$4,400,000)

> \$0 (\$925,000) (\$9,291,538) (\$10,202,914)

Fiscal Year
Annual Avoided Cost
DOT Cost (Support)
DOT Cost (Maint.)
State Highway Acct
Bond Debt Payment
Net Avoided Cost

2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29
\$1,132,738	\$1,178,047	\$1,225,169	\$1,274,176	\$1,325,143
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$1,132,738	\$1,178,047	\$1,225,169	\$1,274,176	\$1,325,143

_			
	Total (Yr 1-20)		
	\$17,347,650		
	(\$4,400,000)		
	(\$300,000)		
	(\$925,000)		
	(\$12,447,481)		
	(\$724,831)		

Fiscal Year		
Annual Avoided Cost		
DOT Cost (Support)		
DOT Cost (Maint.)		
State Highway Acct		
Bond Debt Payment		
Net Avoided Cost		

2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34
\$1,378,149	\$1,433,275	\$1,490,606	\$1,550,230	\$1,612,239
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	(\$150,000)	(\$150,000)	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$1,378,149	\$1,283,275	\$1,340,606	\$1,550,230	\$1,612,239

Total (Yr 1-25)
\$24,812,148
(\$4,400,000)
(\$600,000)
(\$925,000)
(\$12,447,481)
\$6,439,667

Assumptions:

- 1. CREBs sold June 10, 2009.
- 2. CREBs debt service payments began in Fiscal Year 2009-2010 (December 15, 2009).
- 3. Photovoltaic maintenance cost estimated at \$150K every 10 years.
- 4. Bond costs will be funded either through rebates, bond proceeds or the California Department of Transportation.
- 5. Photovoltaic Construction Estimated Cost = \$10.7 million