

PMPC Asphalt Task Group (ATG Only) Meeting Minutes

Date: March 5th, 2019

Time: 1:00 PM – 3:00 PM

Location: DOT Translab – OSM Room 514/Webex/Conference Call

Facilitator: Tim Greutert

Attendees: Tom Pyle, Tim Greutert, Blair Anderson, Tracy Zubek, Pat Imhoff,
Cortney VanHook

Not in Attendance: N/A

1. Introductions/Review Agenda
2. Introductory Urgent Issues
 - a. Tracy - SPF being seen on new project. Wasn't aware that it was ready to hit the specs?
 - i. It was requested by Caltrans and Industry to put it in this one project
 - b. Blair - CPD got published today on pavement smoothness
 - c. Blair - HWT was over at FHWA; FHWA had push back on some language regarding inflection point. Wants inflection point to be tracked
 - d. Blair - CPD on increased RAP which got stalled out; goes away once standards get pushed on 4/19
3. Discuss ATG/EC meeting
 - a. Tom – Went better than the last one; As long as all the dates are being met by the working group then we should be okay
 - b. Tim – Went well; communicated in advance; no surprises
 - c. Tim - Only issues was concerns about the bin list; one prioritized bin list by TG vs three separate bin lists
 - i. Blair – we were directed to have one prioritized list.
 - ii. Blair - Scott was looking to start working on scoping documents instead of waiting for approval before beginning scoping document work. Directed by the EC that the TG can manage the work by the STGs
 - iii. With one compiled list; how do you prioritize which document is worked on next. If preservation gets completed with a scoping document early, do they go on to the next on the compiled prioritized list or next on preservation list

- iv. Tom – EC committee needs to determine if they want one prioritized list or separate bin list
- v. Action – All to review compiled bin list and provide comments.
- vi. Tom – Suggestion for Industry to draft a letter (from all ATG) regarding bin list organization
- vii. Blair – Suggestion to submit a compiled list but reorganize as items complete
- viii. Pat – Industry to have a meeting 3/14 regarding bin list prioritization from Industry
- ix. Action – Tracy to discuss with Russ Snyder the concerns with the bin lists and then draft a letter to be sent to the EC
- d. Tracy – A couple of things that were discussed by Scott back to industry
 - i. RAS is now being pushed up the chain
 - 1. Tom – scoping document to be completed within the next 3-6 months.
 - 2. Tim – Will need to get Industry's approach on RAS and their usage of it
 - ii. On RAP up to 40% item; It is being reported that it's not currently being used at 25%; if not using at 25% then why use at 40%; Current interpretation from industry is that Caltrans does not believe that 25% RAP is being used on many projects
 - 1. Tim - Up to 25% is allowed; but need to use blending charts
 - 2. Tom – not necessarily needed to use blending charts; can use bump down
 - 3. Tracy – Want it to be known that 25% RAP is being used a lot more projects than Caltrans may currently think

4. Review of Work Products

- a. Statistical Pay Factors Scoping Document
 - i. Next working group meeting scheduled for 3/8/19
 - ii. Blair - Manual has to go through publications group; recently Caltrans is initiating ADA compliance so now someone has to go through the manual to make sure it is ADA compliance before it gets posted on the website
 - iii. Blair - Asking for district QCQA coordinators to now become SPF coordinators for training group
 - 1. Kee to follow up with Pete for list of those people
 - iv. Tim - Suggestion to coordinate with Long Beach/San Jose to get their classes opened up

- v. Tim – From Tony Limas to switch classes between modules; If want to do that it is done through the advisory council (Russel Snyder)
- b. Section 39 RAP Scoping Document
- i. Tom – sent back to committee to make adjustments based on Tom and Tim's comments
 - ii. Pat – comments coming from WG members
 - 1. All of these changes were made and no one knew where they were coming from
 - 2. Spent a whole meeting discussing this scoping document and then it comes back with many changes
 - iii. Tom - Clarifications were added to item 4; What would need to be done in order to complete item
 - iv. Tom - Requested by EC to put a lot of items back in that were initially removed; Milestones now don't align with all of the numbers under Street Ready Assurance. Items 1-3 are now rolled up into the first milestones.
 - v. Action – Tom is to clarify changes with Raghu and Kee; Tracy to clarify changes with Tony, Phil, Pascal and Don
 - vi. Tim – need to be able to communicate clearly (from EC - TG - STG - WG) what exactly is being requested
 - vii. Tom - Whatever steps it takes to become street ready should be vetted by WG
 - viii. Tracy – 40% is in the 2015 and 2018 specs but is not allowed at this time. There has been information gathered about the use of up to 40% binder replacement and it would not take long for the literature review. High RAP is being used in many other states already.
 - ix. Decision - RAS will be kept as a separate scoping document
- c. Update Section 94 Scoping Document
- i. WG is moving forward. Next meeting scheduled for 3/7/19
- d. CT 125 Scoping Document
- i. Previous meeting held on 3/4/19. Waiting for final comments.
 - ii. Next meeting scheduled for 3/18/19
 - iii. Tracy - Minor concern from industry on sampling and making sure you are getting consistent samples from each box
- e. HWT for RHMA-G Mixes Scoping Document
- i. Industry has been presented with two options which they will discuss and come to consensus on 3/18/19
 - ii. Next WG meeting scheduled for 3/28/19

- f. Revised Section 39 RAP
 - i. Discussed in Section 39 RAP above
 - g. Evaluate new HMA Pavement Smoothness
 - i. Tom - Chair has been prioritized with training lately and has not had enough to review and revise the scoping document.
 - ii. Tim – Scoping document discusses what to do but not how to do it.
 - iii. Tim – METS is noted in resources but not stated in the milestones as what it's for. Need clarification.
 - iv. Action - TG to send comments in writing regarding scoping document
 - 5. Review Bin List
 - a. Discussed in ATG/EC meeting review above
 - 6. Roundtable/Review Action Items/Next Meeting
 - 7. Feedback
-

Action Items:

1. Touch base with Deepak on final meeting minutes from EC/ATG meeting – Tim – 3/8/19
2. Review compiled bin list and provide comments – All – 3/15/19
3. Tracy to discuss with Russ Snyder the concerns with the bin lists and then draft a letter to be sent to the EC – Tracy – 3/15/19
4. Tom is to clarify changes with Raghu and Kee; Tracy to clarify changes with Tony, Phil, Pascal and Don for 40% RAP SD – Tom/Tracy – 3/15/19
5. Confirm that recycled asphalt binder content was in the scoping document for CT 125 – Tim – 3/15/19
6. For smoothness scoping document, members to send comments in writing – Tim/Blair – 3/22/19

Action items from 2/5/19:

1. Kee to make sure Quality Control Manual gets routed back through Industry (SPF) prior to posting on web – Kee – Pending
2. Tim to follow up with State Materials Engineer on DOT survey on RAP – Tim – 2/8/19 - **Complete**
3. Complete revised Section 39 RAP Scoping Document – Raghu – 2/14/19
4. Put meeting together for next Friday, 2/15/19 (1-4 pm) on FDR – Raghu – 2/8/19 - **Complete**
5. STGs to develop/modify/prioritize bin lists and submit to ATG – STGs – 2/15/19 - **Complete**
6. Route agenda for EC/ATG meeting to Sergio – Tom – 2/15/19 - **Complete**

7. Work with WG leads to develop list of upcoming meetings (1-2 months out) – STGs – 2/15/19