

Preservation STG Agenda & Meeting Minutes

Date: July 23, 2018

Time: 1:00 PM (Tuesday) – 2:00 PM (Thursday)

Location: Pavement Program Conference Room
2839 Gateway Oaks, Suite 200

Facilitator: Doug Mason

Attendees: Doug Mason (CT Chair), Scot Dmytrow (IN Lead), Raguparan Thangavelauthem, Vera Nanugonda, Blair Anderson, Marcella Wiebke

1. Introductions/Review Agenda

- I. Blair let us know that Raguparan Thangavelauthem would be Construction representative instead of Pete Spector.

2. Purpose of Meeting:

- I. This is the first meeting for the new Preservation STG. This group replaces the old Pavement Preservation TG.

3. New PMPC Process Review:

- I. Marcella outlined how the new groups interact. The group discussed how their projects would be prioritized by the ATG since there isn't really a representative at the next level that is an advocate for Preservation. Marcella indicated that the ATG members need to represent all the groups. And the Work Product prioritization will be by STG, not as a whole. The EC does not want to shut down a whole STG.

4. 2018/19 Project Prioritization

- I. The team discussed the Work Products that have been on their list previously. Comments and priorities are shown on the attached sheet. These priorities will be submitted to the ATG for submittal to the EC for approval.

5. Next Steps

- I. Meeting currently scheduled for October 23, 2018 does not work for Scott. Team decided to move meeting to October 16, 2018. As a side note, the meetings on the calendars are just the main meetings to ensure updates are available for the ATG to discuss with the EC on a quarterly basis. It does not preclude teams from meeting more frequently.

6. Adjourn

July 23, 2018

Consensus Priority	Work Product Title	Project Description	Deliverables	Length of Project	Notes
1	Update Section 94	Industry says Section 94 is out of date and needs to be revised with correct test methods and updating to commercially available emulsions.	Revised Specification	1 year.	Industry lead has committed to collecting comments from Industry by 10/31/18. Team anticipates only needing 3 meetings to complete Work Product.
2	Review and update Section 37.	Section 37 changes have been in effect for 2 construction seasons now. The team would like to review the use of the new spec and make modifications based on feedback from projects. This will include Micro-Deval requirements which were added to QC/QA but left out of the final requirements and needs to be completed.	Revised Specification	Draft SP to OE by June 30, 2019.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Industry lead has committed to collecting comments from Industry by 12/31/18. Team anticipates minimal number of meeting for resolution. 2. CTM 339M has been submitted to METS for approval and currently is required in the specification. Without approval of CTM 339M, the spread rate for hot applied seal coats can't be measured. PMPC SFP will start the process of getting this issue resolved.
3	Develop Fog Seal Specification without skid tester requirement.	STG was waiting for METS to develop a new skid tester and the new skid tester was not reliable. Would like to complete specs without skid tester or friction requirements but use guidelines on where and how to place fog seals.	1. Revised specification Guidelines	1 year once legal approves removing the skid tester requirement.	Use of Fog Seal has been under moratorium for many years. Maintenance staff want to use it on low volume roads. The moratorium requires the measurement of skid resistance. The viability of doing skid testing is none existence. Fog seal is being used in other states on specifically designated roadways. The team can't move forward without the moratorium being lifted.
3	Rubberized Slurry Seal	This product has been considered for a couple of years as it would increase the Department's use of crumb rubber. However, there are 3 proprietary methods for the mixture and placement. Issue with moving forward has been how to incorporate the use of proprietary items in a specification.	New Specification	Draft SP to OE by June 30, 2019.	Various cities and counties have been adopting specifications related to one of the methods. The team wishes to enlist the assistance of CT Office Engineers for formulating the specification.
N/A	Warm Mix Asphalt Rubber Chip Seal	Pilot projects are being monitored for post construction performance of several types of asphalt rubber type chip seals and explored the use of warm mix additives in chip seals	Tracking Pilot	5 years	This project should be placed on the Pilot Project Monitoring List. CP2 (CSU Chico), is doing the follow up monitoring. 2 more years of studies are recommended (a total of 5 years).