# **SENATE BILL 1**

# Semi-Annual Report to the California Transportation Commission

For the period: January 1, 2020 – June 30, 2020



# October 21, 2020



California Department of Transportation

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 1 – Overall Program Summary	1
Section 2 – Individual Program Reports	
1. Solutions for Congested Corridors Program	4
2. Trade Corridor Enhancement Program	11
3. Local Partnership Program Competitive	19
4. State Highway Operation and Protection Program	26
5. Active Transportation Program	33



# Section 1 - Senate Bill 1 Program Progress Report Overall Program Summary - January 1, 2020 – June 30, 2020

#### Purpose

Senate Bill 1 (SB 1) increased the California Transportation Commission's (Commission) oversight responsibilities to ensure the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and local governments are held accountable for the efficient investment of public funds through performance goals that are tracked and reported. The Commission adopted the SB 1 Accountability and



Transparency Guidelines on March 21, 2018, and amended on May 16, 2018, and August 15, 2018, to require Caltrans to prepare and submit to the Commission progress reports for each SB 1 Program at specified timelines. Progress reports will include information appropriate to assess the current state of the overall program.

#### SB 1 Programs

The Commission developed the Accountability and Transparency guidelines outlining the requirements for reporting progress on projects' approved scope, cost, schedule and benefits. The guidelines apply to the following programs: Solutions for Congested Corridors Program (SCCP), the Trade Corridor Enhancement Program (TCEP), the Local Partnership Program – Competitive (LPP-C), Local Partnership Program Formulaic (LPP-F), the State Highway Operation & Protection Program (SHOPP), and the Active Transportation Program (ATP).

Programs at a Glance:	SCCP	TCEP	LPP-C	LPP-F	SHOPP	ATP
Total programmed funds	\$1.0 B	\$1.4 B	\$309 M	\$325.5 M	\$17.4 B	\$1.6 B
Number of programmed projects/ segments	32	38	34	113	704	809
Total cost of all programmed projects <sup>1</sup>	\$3.4 B	\$4.4 B	\$1.7 B	\$8 B	\$14.7 B	\$2.9 B
Number of projects/ segments allocated	16	25	24	100	704	1,338
Total dollars allocated	\$849.3 M	\$900 M	\$176.8 M	\$308.8 M	\$7.6 B <sup>2</sup>	\$886 M
Number of awarded projects	11	10	17	46	59	517
Total dollars of awarded projects	\$683 M	\$387 M	\$125.4 M	\$132.5 M	\$1.9 B	\$523.6 M
Remaining funds available for programming or allocation	\$O	(\$68.2) M	(\$9) M	\$28.2 M	\$7.1 B	\$445.5 M

M = Million B = Billion

<sup>1</sup>Total programmed dollars includes projects funded by multiple programs, in addition to federal, state and local funds.



#### **Major Activities and Accomplishments**

#### **Baseline Agreements Approved**

	Approved to Date	Future	Total
SCCP	9	0	9
TCEP	26	0	26
LPP-C	12	0	12
SHOPP	68	83	151
ATP	8	8	16
Total	123	91	214

#### **Construction Contract Awards**

	Awarded this Period	To Date
SCCP	4	11
TCEP	2	10
LPP-C	2	17
SHOPP	59	130
ATP	28	517
Total	95	685

#### **Program Benefits**

Infrastructure benefits for each program are highlighted in each program report, along with the status of benefits achieved to date. Some projects are funded by multiple programs; therefore, those project benefits will be shown under multiple programs.

#### **Completion Reports**

As of June 30, 2020, a total of 208 completion reports have been received for the ATP program. The other programs do not have completion reports due.

#### **Final Delivery Reports**

As of June 30, 2020, 42 final delivery reports have been received for the ATP program. The other programs do not have final delivery reports due.



# Section 1 - Senate Bill 1 Program Progress Report Overall Program Summary - January 1, 2020 – June 30, 2020

#### Summarize changes to the scope, cost, schedule and expected benefits

The following projects are being highlighted due to the identification of significant issues that may impact the delivery of the currently approved cost and/or schedule. The Department is actively monitoring these projects to determine the best solution. A full listing of projects is included in each program's report as an attachment.

SCCP – I-5 North Coast Corridor HOV Extension – Phase 1 – HOV Between the Encinitas and Carlsbad segments, the approved corridor scope includes delivery of 3.0 miles of auxiliary lanes. Based on the latest design details, the Department is planning to deliver a total of 2.8 miles of auxiliary lanes. The Department is currently analyzing the impact of the scope reduction on the overall corridor benefits for a possible project scope change at a future Commission meeting.

TCEP – 7<sup>th</sup> Street Grade Separation (East) Project

This project is being highlighted because of schedule and cost risks. Due to ongoing negotiations with the railroad over the C&M agreement, a request to reprogram construction funding is expected for the October Commission meeting. There are cost increases due to the refinement of design and right of way costs, and operational mitigation with the railroad. The additional costs will be covered with local funds.

LPP-C – La Canada Flintridge Project – Soundwall Project

The City reissued the Request for Proposal (RFP) for the Supplemental Environmental Report and Plans, Specifications and Estimates as a result of not receiving any proposals with the first request. Reissuing the RFP requires an extension to the schedule. Due to the approved Interim Timely Use of Funds guidelines, the City has until December 2020 to request an allocation. A time extension will be required if the allocation request is later than December 2020.

SHOPP – Alameda 680 Pavement Rehabilitation, from north of Route 84 to Alcosta Boulevard. Caltrans is proposing to split this project into northbound and southbound segments and combine the southbound segment with a locally funded express lane project. The amendment request is expected to go before the Commission at the October 2020 meeting. Alameda CTC is expected to request allocation of the southbound project at the December 2021 Commission meeting.

Project Lists are located under each respective program on the SB 1 Portal.



# Solutions for Congested Corridors Program (SCCP)

The SCCP was established by SB 1 to fund projects designed to reduce congestion in highly traveled and highly congested corridors through performance improvements that balance transportation improvements, community impacts, and that provide



environmental benefits. The SCCP is a statewide, competitive program for projects that implement specific transportation performance improvements and are part of a comprehensive corridor plan by providing more transportation choices while preserving the character of local communities and creating opportunities for neighborhood enhancement.

# The 2018 SCCP Program

The 2018 SCCP is a four- year program with \$1 Billion in funding for 2017-18 to 2020-21. The California Transportation Commission (Commission) adopted the SCCP in May 2018, consisting of 9 projects which now consists of 32 segments, valued at more than \$3.4 billion, and leveraging more than \$2.4 billion in other federal, state and local funds. The selected projects are part of a comprehensive corridor plan that will reduce congestion in highly traveled corridors, including the state highway system, local streets and roads, public transit facilities, bicycle and pedestrian facilities or required mitigation and/or restoration projects.

2018 SCCP at a Glance:	
Total programmed SCCP funds (4-year period)	\$1.0 Billion
Total number of programmed project segments within the 9 approved projects	32
Total cost of all programmed projects	\$3.4 Billion <sup>1</sup>
Number of project segments allocated	16
SCCP dollars allocated	\$849.3 Million
Number of awarded project segments	11
SCCP dollars awarded	\$683.0 Million
Remaining SCCP funds available for programming	\$0

<sup>1</sup> Total cost of all programmed projects includes SCCP funds, as well as other federal, state and local funds.



#### **Program Status**

The first cycle of SCCP included four years of project programming for 2017-18 to 2020-21. At the end of the last reporting period, the program consisted of 31 programmed project segments. The following actions took place this reporting period:

- March 2020 meeting the South Coast 101 HOV Lanes -Segment 4A project in Santa Barbara County was allocated and the follow-up landscaping and mitigation monitoring were split into separate projects. This action added two segments to the program for a total of 33 programmed segments.
- May 2020 meeting the Commission approved a project scope amendment for the Gold Line Light Rail Expansion portion of the US 50 Multimodal Enhancement project in Sacramento County. The revised project was split into three segments, adding two additional segments for a total of 35 programmed segments.
- June 2020 meeting the Commission approved a Program Amendment to the Orange county Central Corridor Improvement Project. It removed five segments and added two new segments. This action reduced the programmed segments by three and the total number of programmed segments is now 32.

As of June 30, 2020, 16 projects have received allocations, \$849.3 million in SCCP and \$51 million in TCEP funds, leveraging over \$1.6 billion in other state and local construction funding. Twenty-four of the programmed SCCP project segments are multi-funded and are leveraging funds from other programs.

# Program Infrastructure Improvements (outputs)

Category of Benefits		oroved ogram <sup>(1)</sup>	Delivered and Ready for Construction at Allocation		Completed and Open to Traffic	
	Miles	Each	Miles	Each	Miles	Each
High Occupancy Vehicle lanes constructed	141	-	124.5	-	-	-
Auxiliary lanes constructed	3	-	0.6	-	-	-
Operational improvements	-	22	-	13	-	_
Modified/Reconstructed bridges	-	16	-	9	-	-

Expected infrastructure improvements (outputs) for the nine programmed SCCP projects are as follows:



#### Section 2 - Solutions for Congested Corridors Program Progress Report: January 1, 2020 – June 30, 2020

Pedestrian/Bicycle facilities constructed	14.6	-	9.6	-	-	-
Sound walls constructed	7.6	-	6.5	-	-	-
New track	9.5	-	9	-	-	-
Purchase rail cars/transit vehicles	-	20	-	-	-	-
Station/Bus Stop Improvements <sup>(2)</sup>	-	58	-	6	-	-
Traffic Light Synchronization <sup>(3)</sup>	35	109	35	109	-	-
Zero Emission buses	-	5	-	5		
Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) elements		17		17		

#### Notes:

- (1) Approved outputs reflect the original outputs adopted into the program and updated by any subsequent program/project amendment(s) approval by the Commission.
- (2) Output description expanded to capture bus stop improvements.
- (3) Reported using dual units to better describe the scope of improvements.

#### **Baseline Agreements**

As of June 30, 2020, all nine projects have an approved baseline agreement.

# **Construction Contract Awards**

As of June 30, 2020, eleven project segments have awarded construction contracts. Seven contracts were awarded as of the last reporting period. The following four contracts were awarded this reporting period and are expected to be completed as noted.

- 1. US 50 Multimodal Corridor Enhancement Project HOV Lanes (I-5 to Watt Avenue) – Winter 2024
- US 50 Multimodal Corridor Enhancement Project– Accelerating Rail Modernization and Expansion in the Capital Region – Purchase Light Rail Vehicle – Spring 2026
- 3. San Mateo US 101 Managed Lanes North Segment Winter 2020
- 4. South Coast 101 HOV Lanes Carpinteria (Segment 4A) Summer 2024

# **Completion Reports**

As of June 30, 2020, no completion reports were required.

#### **Final Delivery Reports**

As of June 30, 2020, no final delivery reports were required.



#### Summarize changes to the scope, cost, schedule and expected benefits

In the last Semi-Annual Report, Attachment 2 listed 12 project segments with potential risk to the scope, cost or schedule. Six of the segments were removed from the list because the risk was mitigated. The other six segments remain in the CAP and an additional eight segments were added for a total of 14 project segments with a potential risk to the scope, cost or schedule. The Department is proactively monitoring these projects.

The following project is being highlighted because of more significant issues:

 I-5 North Coast Corridor HOV Extension Project in San Diego County - Phase 1 HOV - Between the Encinitas and Carlsbad segments, the approved corridor scope includes delivery of 3.0 miles of auxiliary lanes. Based on the latest design details, the Department is planning to deliver a total of 2.8 miles of auxiliary lanes. The Department is currently analyzing the impact of this scope reduction on the overall corridor benefits and determining the best solution.

#### Attachments:

- 1. SCCP Project List is located on the SB 1 Portal
- 2. SCCP corrective action plan for cost, scope and schedule variances



<u>No.</u>	<u>Dist-PPNO</u> <u>Co-Route</u>	<u>Title</u>	Scope	Schedule	
1	03-1787A SAC	US 50 Multimodal Corridor Enhancement Project/Gold Line - Construct siding track.		The PA&ED schedule has been effected by COVID-19 situation and the Governor's shelter in place order. This delay has resulted in slight delays to subsequent delivery milestones.	/
2	03-1787C SAC	US 50 Multimodal Corridor Enhancement Project/Gold Line- Accelerating Rail Modernization and Expansion in the Capital Region - Station Improvements		When the CTC approved an increase in scope and splitting the revised scope into three segments (May 2020 Commission Meeting), this segment was inadvertantly programmed as a FY 19-20 project due to an inadequate communication among stakeholders. The Department will be working with SacRT to to request to correct the delivery year at a future CTC meeting.	ł
3	03-1788 SAC	Franklin Boulevard Cycle Track Phase		The construction has been delayed due to the City of Sacramento's award approval timeline to meet federal funding requirements. The construction contract was awarded on December 31, 2019 with construction scheduled to be completed by the end of August 2020.	
4	04-0360Q SON-101	Marin Sonoma Narrows Segment C2 - HOV Lanes Gap Closure			Th R/ ma cc

# <u>Cost</u>

# <u>Benefits</u>

There is a potential for cost increase in the R/W Capital budget due to unanticipated modifications to the temporary construction easements which might result in additional payments to the property owners. If this cost increase materializes, the existing coopertaive agreement will be amended to add locals funds to cover this shortfall.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Dist-PPNO</u> <u>Co-Route</u>	Title	Scope	<u>Schedule</u>	
5	04-0658D SM-101	US 101 Managed Lanes Project - Northern Segment			This p CMC recei Octo expe alloc comp the C neog conti The F cost has b addi com
6	04-0658H SM-101	US 101 Managed Lanes Project - South Segment			Base Char beer exec lost to Cons by th
7	04-2015E S	SCL-Silicon Valley Express Lanes Program - Phase 3		The End Construction milestone has been delayed by 4 months due to the need for additional coordination between the Electronic Toll System (ETS) and Civil contracts. New schedule will be monitored regularly for compliance and for any opportunities to achieve the baseline schedule.	
8	05-2893 SB	North Padaro Lane Coastal Access Improvements		The currently approved Ready -to-List milestone of March, 2020 does not align with the SCCP funding for construction which is programmed in FY 2020-21. The agency is requesting to revise the various delivery milestone dates to match with the programmed SCCP funding.	
9	05-2894 SB	Summerland Area Coastal Access Improvements		The Ready -to-List milestone has been delayed due to unantcipated need to acquire right of way from another agency.	

#### <u>Cost</u>

<u>Benefits</u>

s project is being delivered using the AGC method of delivery. The project ceived its construction allocation at the ctober 210 CTC meeting. In order to bediate the project delivery, the bocation request was based upon 95%mpleted design when negotiations with e Contractor were well underway. Those ogotiations continued until construction ntract was awarded in January 2020. Final agreed-upon price reflected a st increase of \$12M. This cost increase s been funded with local funds. In ditional, this project has been mbined with a SHOPP project.

sed upon the number of Contract ange Orders (CCOs) that have either en executed or planned to be ecuted and the additional work days t to the rainy weather, the planned End onstruction milestone has been delayed three months.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Dist-PPNO</u> <u>Co-Route</u>	Title	Scope	Schedule	
10	05-2896 SB	Santa Claus Lane Class I Bikeway		The currently approved Ready -to-List milestone of January 1, 2020 does not align with the SCCP funding for construction which is programmed in FY 2020-21. The agency is requesting to revise the various delivery milestone dates to match with the programmed SCCP funding.	
11	05-2897 SB	Santa Claus Streetscape, Coastal Access Parking and Railroad Crossing		The currently approved Ready -to-List milestone of March 31, 2020 does not align with the SCCP funding for construction which is programmed in FY 2021-22. The agency is requesting to revise the various delivery milestone dates to match with the programmed SCCP funding.	
12	07-5497 SB	Airport Metro Connector 96th Street Transit Station		The project received construction allocation at the June 2020 CTC meeting. The Ready-to-List milestone and subsequent milestones have been delayed due by almost a year due to delayed completion and testing of a shoofly (detour) which has to be in place before the construction of this project can begin.	Bas are for ove cho
13	08-1230 SBD	Redlands Passenger Rail Project		The End of Construction date has been delayed by 6 months, from 5/31/2021 to 11/30/2021 due to challenges in Right-of- Way acquisition, relocating impacted utilities (Southern California Edison), and final design modifications.	The incr cor Cor The Age ado Trar Adr for
14	11-0615F,G,H,I SD-5	I-5 North Coast Corridor HOV Extension - Phase 1 - HOV (Encinitas and Carlsbad Segments)	Between the Encinitas and Carlsbad segments, the approved corridor scope includes delivery of 3.0 miles of auxiliary lanes. Based upon the latest design details, the Department will be delivering a total of 2.8 miles of auxiliary lanes. The Department is currently analyzing the impact of this reduction in scope on the overall corridor benefits.		The seg pro fund Dep disc

#### <u>Cost</u>

#### <u>Benefits</u>

ased upon the latest cost estimate, there are slight changes in the local contribution or the different phases. However, the overall cost of the project has not changed.

he R/W budget has increased due to increase in utility relocation costs. The onstruction costs have increased due to ward of the Mainline Construction Contract being higher than the estimate. The San Bernardino County Transportation agency has allocated a combination of idditional local Measure I funds, State transit Assistance funds, and federal Transit administration funds to cover this shortfall or R/W and construction.

here is no cost issue for the Carlsbad egment. During the process of splitting this roject into three CMGC contracts, the unding plan became erroneous. The pepartment is working to remove these iscrepancies.

# Trade Corridor Enhancement Program (TCEP)

The TCEP was established by SB 1 to provide funding for infrastructure improvements on federally designated Trade Corridors of National and Regional Significance, on the Primary Freight Network as identified in the California Freight Mobility Plan, and along other corridors that have a high volume of freight



movement. The TCEP will also support the goals of the National Highway Freight Program, the California Freight Mobility Plan, and the guiding principles in the California Sustainable Freight Action Plan. TCEP is a statewide, competitive program that provides approximately \$300 million per year in state funding and approximately \$535 million in National Highway Freight Program funds.

#### The 2018 TCEP Program

The 2018 TCEP is a three-year program which included funds from the National Highway Freight Program, the Trade Corridor Enhancement Account, and a onetime appropriation from the Budget Act of 2015. In May 2018, the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approved \$1.4 billion for the programming of 28 projects with 41 segments. Collectively, these projects are valued at more than \$4 billion, leveraging other federal, state and local funds. Subsequently, two projects with three segments were removed from the program, reducing the total to 26 projects with 38 segments and reducing the amount of programmed funds. The selected projects include improvements to goods movement on corridors with high volumes of freight, improvements to safety by eliminating at-grade crossings, reduce impacts to surrounding communities, reduce border wait times, and increase rail capacity with double tracking.

TCEP at a Glance:			
Total programmed TCEP funds (3-year period)	\$1.409 Billion <sup>1</sup>		
Total cost of all programmed projects	\$4.450 Billion <sup>2</sup>		
Number of programmed project segments within the 26 approved projects	38		
Number of project segments allocated	25		
TCEP dollars allocated	\$900 Million		
Number of awarded construction project segments	10		
TCEP construction dollars awarded	\$387 Million		
TCEP funds programmed over the established target	\$68.23 Million		

<sup>1</sup>This represents total amount of funds programmed for TCEP projects. The Commission funded this program with SB 1 funds, the National Highway Freight Program and a one-time appropriation from the Budget Act of 2015.

<sup>2</sup> This amount represents the total cost of all programmed projects, SB1 funds and other federal, state and local funds.



<sup>3</sup> At the time of program adoption, the approved funding for TCEP programming exceeded the identified targets by \$53.6 million. This amount has increased as a result of three supplemental awards, removing two projects from the program, and four projects that came in under allocation.

# **Program Status**

The first funding cycle of TCEP included three years of project programming for 2017-18 to 2019-20 and included 41 projects/ segments. In the third and fourth quarters of 2019-20, two projects/segments were removed, leaving the program with 38 programmed projects/segments. Of the 38 programmed project/segments, 30 project phases received \$900 million in allocated funds. Five projects/ segments in TCEP are multi-funded, leveraging funds from other SB 1 programs.

#### **Program Infrastructure Benefits**

Expected infrastructure benefits (outputs) for the 26 programmed TCEP projects are as follows:

	Planned as Adopted Into Program		Delivered and Ready for Construction		Completed and Open to Traffic	
Category of Benefits	Miles	Each	Miles	Each	Miles	Each
Grade Separation/Rail Crossing Improvements	-	9	-	-	-	-
Miles of new track	10.6	-	1.7	-	-	-
Intersections modified		21		6	-	-
Lane-miles rehabilitated	1.67	-	-	-	-	-
Operational improvements	-	38	3.5	21	-	-
New bridge structure	-	9	-	2	-	-
Modified/reconstructed bridges	-	36	-	11		
Truck climbing lanes constructed	7	-	-	-	-	-
Auxiliary lane miles constructed	8.45	-	3.2	-	-	-
High Occupancy Vehicle/ High Occupancy Toll Iane miles constructed	89.4	-	41.4	-	-	-
Intelligent Transportation Systems	-	166	-	-	-	-
Mixed flow lane miles constructed	50.95	-	34.4	-	-	-



#### **Baseline Agreements**

As of June 30, 2020, all 26 projects have an approved baseline agreement.

#### **Construction Contract Awards**

As of June 30, 2020, ten construction contracts were awarded. In the prior reporting period, eight construction contracts had been awarded. This reporting period, two additional construction contracts were awarded and are expected to be completed as noted below.

- 1. Otay Mesa Truck Route, Phase 4A February 2023
- 2. Route 99 Livingston Widening December 2022

#### **Completion Reports**

As of June 30, 2020, no completion reports were required.

#### **Final Delivery Reports**

As of June 30, 2020, no final delivery reports were required.

#### Summarize changes to the scope, cost, schedule and expected benefits

The 27 projects listed in Attachment 2 are identified as having the potential for scope, cost or schedule risk. However, only the following project is considered to have substantial risk that may impact the delivery of the currently approved project. The Department is closely monitoring this project.

The 7<sup>th</sup> Street Grade Separation (East) Project
 This project has experienced significant delays in negotiating a Construction
 and Maintenance Agreement with the host railroad. A request to reprogram
 the construction funding from 2019-20 to a future fiscal year is expected at the
 October Commission meeting.

#### Projects that pose potential delivery risks since the end of the reporting period

• Etiwanda Avenue Grade Separation Project This project experienced significant delays resulting from utility relocation and associated redesign. Additionally, costs increased for preconstruction work. At the August 2020 Commission meeting, supplemental funds were allocated for PS&E and Right of Way. Construction funding was reprogrammed to 2022-23.

#### Attachments:

- 1. TCEP projects is located on the SB 1 Portal
- 2. TCEP Corrective Action Plan



	<u>Dist-PPNO</u> <u>Co-Route</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Scope</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Cost</u>	<u>Benefits</u>
1	04-0462G-SCL	US 101 / State Route 25 Interchange - Phase 1			Con costs, which are not part of the current TCEP cycle, are estimated to increase due to updated design plans. This will not impact the TCEP dollars.	
2	04-2103D-ALA	7th Street Grade Separation (East)		Ongoing negotiations with the railroad over the C&M agreement will effect the schedule	Cost increases due to refinement of design and right of way costs, and operational mitigation with the railroad. Additional costs will be covered with local funds.	
3	04-5301X-SOL	I-80/I-680/Route 12 Interchange Package 2A			Cost increases due to refinement of the design. Additional RIP funds approved at the June 2020 CTC meeting addressed this issue.	
4	04-T0003-ALA	Freight Intelligent Transportation System			Construction costs were higher than the engineers estimates. Additional funding provided by the local agency.	
5	05-7101C-SBT	Carpinteria to Santa Barbara Widening - Segment 4A	TCEP project was combined with a SHOPP project at the March 2020 CTC meeting. Now 7101P.			
6	07-2741S-LA	Route 71 Expressway to Freeway Conversion (South Segment)	POC was removed and post miles adjusted.		TCEP funding reduced to \$43.025 M and total project cost reduced to \$174.544 M.	
7	07-3189B-LA	I-5 Golden State Chokepoint Relief			Total project cost estimate was increased to comply with FHWA project risk guidelines. Metro will fund any cost increases.	

8	07-5388-LA	Route 605 / 91 Interchange Improvement: Gateway Cities Freight Crossroads Project	Project milestones, RW and PS&E were delayed due to procurement issues after allocation. Current projected completion dates are 11/30/21 for RW and 12/31/21 for PS&E. The schedule will continued to be monitored.		
9	07-5394-LA	Route 57/60 Confluence: Chokepoint Relief Project		Refined design identified higher than expected future CON costs and delays to RW. Schedule and minor scope changes were approved at the June 2020 Commission meeting. The locals will cover any cost increases.	
10	07-T0005-LA	Alameda Corridor Southern Terminus Gap Closure		Increases to CON and CON S will be covered with Port funds.	Anticipate increased output from 21-36 train- hour reduction per day due to updated 2039
11	07-T0007-LA	Pier G and J Double Track		Design, bid and award costs increased due to utility conflicts. Increased costs were covered by the Port.	
12	08-0260J-SBD	Route 395 Widening from SR 18 to Chamberlaine Way		Total project costs at project completion are projected to exceed the original budget. Additional funding will come from the local agency.	
13	08-3010T-RIV	Route 60 Truck Safety and Efficiency Project - Phase 1A	Schedule delays fall within the CTC's approved Interim TUF guidelines.	CON allocation is expected Dec. 2020	

14	10-0161A-MER	Livingston Widening Northbound	CON delays resulted from updates to SPECs and award delays due to BEES discrepancies. Final CON completion is delayed 4 months.	Cost overruns for additional Environmental CON S resulting from a newly discovered Swainson Hawk nest. Materials Lab and Traffic Management were also omitted from the original estimate. Expenditures will be monitored for G-12 funds.
15	11-0999E-SD	Siempre Viva Interchange and Site Preparation Design for Commercial Vehicle Enforcement Facility - Segment 2B	CON allocation 6/2020 fell within the CTC ITUF guidelines.	
16	11-0999F-SD	Otay Mesa East Port of entry-Segment 3	RW allocation was approved by the CTC 6/20.	
17	11-1241-SD/ IMP	Intelligent Transportation System Technology (Advanced Technology Corridors at Border Ports of Entry)	Schedule delays fall within the CTC's approved Interim TUF guidelines. CON allocation is expected by 12/20.	
18	11-1258-IMP	Route 98 Widening		Adjustment made to the project scope. SHOPP funding was reduce and supplemental TCEP funding programmed for items ineligible for SHOPP funding. A project amendment was approved at the June CTC meeting.
19	11-1334-SD	Otay Mesa Truck Route, Phase 4A	CON allocation was 6/19. CON award was extended to 3/20. CON completion date now 2/2023 based on CON award date of 2/2020.	

20	11-T0013-SD	Port of San Diego Tenth Avenue Marine Terminal Beyond Compliance Environmental Enhancement Project	Evaluating purchase and installation vs. procurement and operations of a bonnet system to reduce emissions from ships docked at the terminal.		Scope changes will effect the cost for the project and are a part of the evaluation.	
21	75-2002A-LA	Rosecrans / Marquardt Grade Separation		RW is delayed due to COVID related court delays for eminent domain proceedings. A 20 month extension for Con allocation was approved at the 6/20 CTC meeting.		
22	75-T0002-SJ	Fyffe Avenue Grade Separation		CON allocation 8/2020 fell within the CTC ITUF guidelines.		
23	75-T0004-ALA	Quiet Zone Safety Engineering Measure	The scope of the project is changing due to an agreement with the UPRR to close one of the two rail crossings identified in the scope. A request to amend the scope is expected at the time of allocation.	At the 6/19 CTC meeting, an extension was granted for the allocation of the Construction phase until 6/20. Additional time is needed to complete the construction and maintenance agreement with the UPRR. An 8 month additional allocation extension for CON under the ITUF was approved at the 6/20 CTC meeting.		Benefits for the updated scope of work will meet or exceed the baseline agreement.
24	75-T0008-LA	Montebello Boulevard Grade Separation Project		Schedule delays fall within the CTC's approved Interim TUF guidelines.		
25	75-T0009-LA	Turnbull Canyon Road Grade Separation Project		Delays in eminent domain proceedings have effected completion of RW.	CON funds reprogrammed to FY 21/22 at the 6/20 CTC meeting.	

26	75-T0011-SBD	Etiwanda Avenue Grade Separation	Utility relocation will require additional design and result in expanded scope to avoid 144" water line, electrical circuits and large gas pipelines. A project amendment was approved at the 8/20 CTC meeting.	utility relocation. A project amendment to change the schedule was	unforeseen RW issues resulting in	
27	75-T0014-SD	Sorrento to Miramar, Phase 2 Intermodal Improvements			An extension may be needed for expending LPP funds allocated in FY 17/18 for PS&E.	

# Local Partnership Program (LPP)

The LPP was established to provide local and regional transportation agencies that have passed sales tax measures, developer fees, or other imposed transportation fees with a continuous appropriation of \$200M annually from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account established by SB 1. The LPP provides funding for local and regional agencies to



improve aging infrastructure, road conditions, active transportation, and health and safety. The initial programming cycle for fiscal years 2017-18 to 2019-20, will distribute 50 percent of the funds through a competitive process (LPP-C) and 50 percent via formula (LPP-F). Programming for fiscal years 2020-21 to 2022-23 were distributed to LPP-C 40 percent and to LPP-F 60 percent.

# The LPP Formulaic Program (LPP-F)

Cycle 1 of the LPP-F Program was a two-year, \$200M program funding projects in 2017-18 and 2018-19. Cycle 2 of the LPP-F Program adds \$100M of programming for projects in 2019-20. Cycle 3 is expected to be adopted in December 2020 and will add projects to be funded in 2020-21, 2021-22 and 2022-23. Taxing Authorities that are deemed eligible to receive formulaic funding are listed in the 2020 Local Partnership Program Guidelines.

# LPP-F Program Status

LPP-F Cycle 1 was adopted by the Commission in January 2018, and cycle 2 was adopted in October 2018. There are currently 113 projects programmed using \$325.5M of LPP-F funds. This includes 4 projects in cycle 3 that were advance programmed in June 2020. As of June 30, 2020, 100 projects have received allocations of LPP-F funds totaling \$308.8M and 46 projects have been awarded construction contracts, using \$132.5M LPP-F construction funds.

LPP Formulaic at a Glance:						
Total programmed LPP-F funds	\$325.5 Million					
Number of programmed projects	113					
Total cost of all programmed projects	\$8 Billion					
Number of projects allocated	100					
Total LPP-F dollars allocated	\$308.8 Million					
Number of awarded projects	46					
Total LPP-F dollars awarded	\$132.5 Million					
Remaining LPP-F funds available for programming	\$28.2 Million <sup>1</sup>					
<sup>1</sup> Includes \$20 Million for incentive funding	·					



# The LPP Competitive Program (LPP-C)

LPP-C Cycle 1 is a three-year program, with nearly \$309 M in funding for 2017-18, 2018-19 and 2019-20. The selected projects provide multimodal improvements in localities across the state, including new transit stations, tracks for passenger rail, high occupancy vehicle and express lanes, active transportation improvements, traffic light synchronization, bus purchases, and local road improvements and rehabilitation. Projects not selected for funding in the current cycle will have the opportunity to apply for funding in subsequent cycles. Cycle 2 is expected to be adopted in December 2020 and will include projects to be funded in 2020-21, 2021-22 and 2022-23.

# LPP-C Program Status

Cycle 1 of LPP-C was adopted in May 2018 by The California Transportation Commission (Commission). There were 27 projects with 34 segments programmed, valued at more than \$1.7 B, and leveraging more than \$1.3 B in other funding. Six projects in LPP-C Cycle 1 are also funded with other SB 1 funding sources such as the Active Transportation Program (ATP), Local Partnership Program Formulaic (LPP-F), Solutions for Congested Corridors (SCCP) and the State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP). Twenty-four projects have received allocations of LPP-C funds totaling \$176.8M with a total project cost of \$1.26B leveraged with other state and local funds.

LPP Competitive at a Glance:						
Total programmed LPP-C funds (3-year period)	\$309 Million					
Number of programmed project segments within the 27 approved projects	34					
Total cost of all programmed projects	\$1.7 Billion <sup>1</sup>					
Number of project segments allocated	24					
Total LPP-C dollars allocated	\$176.8 Million					
Number of awarded project segments	17					
Total LPP-C dollars awarded	\$125.4 Million					
Remaining LPP-C funds available for programming	(\$9 Million)					

<sup>1</sup>Total project cost includes funding from various sources, including LPP-C, LPP-F, ATP, SCCP, SHOPP, and local funds.



#### **Program Infrastructure Benefits**

Expected benefits (Outputs) for the LPP-C projects are as follows:

	Approv Prog		Ready	Delivered and Ready for Construction		Completed and Open to Traffic	
Outputs	Miles	Each	Miles	Each	Miles	Each	
New Roadway Lane	13.1	-	5.6	-	-	-	
Intersections Modified	-	39	-	23	-	-	
Bicycle Lane Miles	17	-	7	-	-	-	
Local Road Operational Improvements (miles)	53.3	-	51.2	-	-	-	
Local Road Lane Miles Rehab	11.4	-	6	-	-	-	
Sidewalk	4.4	-	1.9	-	-	-	
Pedestrian Bicycle Facilities	19.8	-	11	-	-	-	
Signs, Lights, Greenway & Other Safety Beautification	-	50	-	50	-	-	
Mixed Flow Lane	9.4	-	7.8	-	-	-	
New Bridge	-	4	-	2	-	-	
Operational Improvements (each)	-	47	-	10	-	-	
Intersections Constructed New	-	3	-	2	-	-	
Turn Pockets Constructed	1	-	1	-	-	-	
Transit Vehicles	-	40	-	40	-	-	
Grade Separation / Rail Crossing Improvements	-	2	-		-	-	
Station Improvements	-	2	-	2	-	-	
New Track	10	-	10	-	-	-	
Modified Reconstructed Bridges	-	5	-	5	-	-	
New Stations	-	4	-	4	-	-	
Modified Improved Interchanges	-	7	-	6	-	-	
HOV / HOT Lane Miles Constructed	30.4	-	30.4	-	-	-	
Auxiliary Lane Miles Constructed	3	-	3	-	-	-	
Sound Wall Constructed	1	-	-	-	-	-	

<sup>1</sup> Approved outputs reflect the original outputs adopted into the program and updated with any amendments approved by the Commission

#### **Baseline Agreements**

There are 12 LPP-C projects that require baseline agreements. As of June 30, 2020, all 12 baseline agreements have been approved. There are 15 LPP-C projects that do not require baseline agreements due to the dollar threshold. Baseline agreements are required for projects with total project cost of \$25M or greater or a total programmed amount of \$10M or greater.



#### **Construction Contract Awards**

As of June 30, 2020, 17 out of the 24 allocated projects have awarded construction contracts. Fifteen projects had construction awards as of the last reporting period. An additional two contracts were awarded this period and are expected to be completed as follows:

- 1. San Mateo County Transportation Authority's Route 101 San Mateo and Santa Clara Managed Lanes project July 2022.
- 2. Riverside County Transportation Commission's Route 15 Railroad Canyon Interchange project – August 2023.

# **Completion Reports**

As of June 30, 2020, no completion reports were required.

#### **Final Delivery Reports**

As of June 30, 2020, no final delivery reports were required.

#### Summarize changes to the scope, cost, schedule and expected benefits

As of June 30, 2020, there are 30 projects with a Corrective Action Plan as listed on Attachment 2, but only one is considered high risk. The Department is monitoring this project closely.

• La Canada Flintridge Soundwall Project

The City reissued the Request for Proposal (RFP) for the Supplemental Environmental Report and the Plans, Specifications and Estimates as a result of not receiving any proposals with the first request. Reissuing the RFP requires an extension to the schedule. Due to the approved interim timely use of funds guidelines, the City has until December 2020 to request an allocation. A time extension will be required if the allocation request is later than December 2020.

#### Projects that pose potential delivery risks since the end of the reporting period

• Downtown Sacramento Grid 3.0 Mobility Project The implementing agency has notified Caltrans that this project will require a minor scope change, which will be considered at a future Commission meeting.

#### Attachment:

- 1. LPP-C Project List is located on the SB 1 Portal
- 2. LPP-C Corrective Action Plan



#### **ATTACHMENT 2**

#### Local Partnership Program - Competitive (LPP-C) Corrective Action Plans

	Dist-PPNO					
<u>No.</u>	Co-Route	Title	<u>Scope</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Cost</u>	<u>Benefits</u>
1	03-1784	Capital Southeast Connector- Segment B2		Project was allocated in June 2020. Construction start is scheduled for November 2020. Construction end is estimated to be September 2022.		
2	03-1785	Capital Southeast Connector- Segment D3	Scope amendment approved in May 2020 to increase lane miles and add new intersections.	Project was allocated in May 2020. Construction start is scheduled for September 2020.		
3	03-1789	Capital Southeast Connector Segment A2		Due to R/W negototiations schedule is being pushed out. With the approved interim timely use of funds policy the agency has until December 2020 to come in for allocation. Construction is scheduled to begin March 2021. Agency will need to request a time extension if not able to allocate by December 2020. Construction end is estimated to be November 2021.		
4	03-1790	The Downtown Sacramento Grid 3.0 Mobility Project		Schedule is delayed due to Union Pacific Railroad approval and COVID-19. Allocation is expected on or before Dec 2020 with the approved interim timely use of funds policy. Construction is estimated to begin June 2021. Construction end is estimated to be June 2023.		
5	03-1926	West Main Street Bicycle Pededstrian Mobility and Safety		Due to construction and weather issues the construction end date has been pushed out to August 2020.		
6	03-3336	Western Placerville Interchange Phase 2-2 Eastbound On-Ramp		approved interim timely use of funds policy project	Due to cost increases there were additional HIP and local funds programmed to this project.	
7	04-0298E	I-680/ SR4 Interchange - Widen SR 4 (Phase 3)			Cost increase has been covered with local funds.	
8	04-0462H	Mathilda Avenue Improvements at WR 237 and US 101			Cost increase has been covered with local funds.	
9	04-0658D	US 101 Managed Lanes Project - Northern Segment			This is a construction manager general contractor contract. Once final scope and price were determined local funds were added to ensure project is fully funded.	
10	04-2122H	Rumrill Boulevard Complete Streets		Due to conflicts with the utility companies, R/W easements and COVID-19, the schedule has moved out. The City plans to request allocation at the October 2020 mtg which is within the approved interim timely use of funds policy.		
11	04-2320B	Purchase Zero Emission Buses	Scope amendment approved in June 2020 revising scope and project title to Purchase 40 Zero Emission Buses.	Project was allocated June 2020.		

#### Local Partnership Program - Competitive (LPP-C) Corrective Action Plans

Dict_0	

	Dist-PPNO					
<u>No</u> 12		<u>Title</u> Jefferson Street Improvements Phase II	<u>Scope</u>	<u>Schedule</u> Project has been allocated and awarded. Construction end is now October 2020.	<u>Cost</u> Increase in cost is being covered by local funds.	<u>Benefits</u>
13	05-2572	Marina-Salinas Multimodal Corridor Imjin Safety and Traffic Flow		Begin Construction is now December 2020. This is within the approved interim timely use of funds policy. Construction end is now estimated to be December 2022.		
14	06-2833A	Glenwood Active Transportation Project - Glenwood Drive		Construction started December 2019 and is expeted to be completed in September 2020.		
15	06-2833B	Glenwood Active Transportation Project - Safe Routes to School			Increase in cost is being covered by local funds.	
16	06-2833C	Glenwood Active Transportation Project - Glenwood Preserve Trails		Construction started on October 2019 and is expected to be completed in October 2020.	Increase in cost is being covered by local funds.	
17	06-6968	Oakhurst Midtown Connector		Project was allocated in June 2020. Construction start is scheduled for October 2020. Construction end date has been moved to July 2022.		
18	07-5498	Claremont: Foothill Boulevard Master Plan Green Streets Improvement Project		Construction started September 2018. Construction end is now July 2020.		
19	07-5503	Vista Canyon Metrolink Station		Project was advertised and then had to be readvertised. Award is expected in July 2020 which is within the approved interim timely use of funds policy. Construction end is now June 2023.		
20	07-5504	Metro Orange Line Bus Rapid Transit Improvements		End construction date has been moved out 4 months.	The changes in the project's planned expenditures at completion reflected the separate PPR's (Gates and Grade Separations) submitted in the project amendment request & approved by the CTC in Dec. 2019. Project cost increases will be covered with local funds.	
21	07-5533 LA 210	La Canada Flintridge Soundwalls Project		Construction start is now October 2021. With the approved interim timely use of funds policy the agency has until December 2020 to allocate or must submit a time extension. Construction end date is now February 2023.		
22	08-1230	Redlands Passenger Rail Project		The End of Construction date has been delayed by 6 months, from 5/31/2021 to 11/30/2021 due to challenges in Right-of-Way acquisition, relocating impacted utilities (Southern California Edison), and final design modifications.	The R/W budget has increased due to increase in utility relocation costs. The construction costs have increased due to award of the Mainline Construction Contract being higher than the estimate. The San Bernardino County Transportation Agency has allocated a combination of additional local Measure I funds, State Transit Assistance funds, and federal Transit Administration funds to cover this shortfall for R/W and construction.	

#### Local Partnership Program - Competitive (LPP-C) Corrective Action Plans

Dist-PPNO

	Dist-PPNO					
No.	Co-Route	Title	Scope	Schedule	Cost	<b>Benefits</b>
23	08-1244	Ranchero Road Widening Project		Time extenstion was approved in June 2019 and time extension amendment was approved in June 2020. The agency has until Febuary 2021 to allocate.	Design revisions increased project cost and current day costs are higher than at time of application. Cost increases will be covered by local funds.	
24	08-1247	County Line Road Transportation Corridor		Time extenstion was approved in June 2019 and time extension amendment was approved in June 2020. The agency has until Febuary 2021 to allocate.		
25	08-1248	3rd Street / 5th Street Corridor Improvements		Ready to List is now June 2021 with construction start in September 2021. With the approved interim timely use of funds policy, allocation must take place by December 2020 or a time extension must be requested by December 2020.		
26	08-1249	Alder Avenue and Randall Avenue Improvements		Construction end date is now estimated to be October 2020.		
27	08-3004U	I-15/Railroad Canyon IC Project		Construction end date is now estimated to be August 2023.		
28	08-3010U	Rt 18 West End Widening - Phase 1 Apple Valley Road realignment		Project was allocated in May 2020. Construction start is scheduled for October 2020 and construction end date is now moved to November 2021.		
29	10-3414	State Route 99/ Fulkerth Rd Interchange Improvements		Construction started November 2018. Construction end is estimated to be September 2020.		
30	11-1333	Citricado Parkway Transportation Connections Project		Utility relocation by San Diego Gas and Electric will begin early 2021. City will be addressing encountered potential cultural findings prior to construction. With the approved interim timely use of funds policy, agency has until December 2020 to allocate this project, otherwise a time extension must be submitted.		

# State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP)

The SHOPP is a four-year portfolio of projects adopted by the California Transportation Commission (Commission). Projects included in the SHOPP are limited to improvements relative to the maintenance, safety, operation, and rehabilitation of state highways and bridges that do not add a new traffic lane to the system.



The SHOPP is funded with a mixture of federal and state funds, including funds from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA) created by Senate Bill 1 (SB 1). In general, only projects that improve the condition of pavement, bridges, culverts and Transportation Management System (TMS) elements will receive RMRA funding.

SB 1 requires that the Department measure progress toward achieving the following performance targets by 2027:

- Not less than 98% of state highway system pavement in good or fair condition
- Not less than 90% in the level of service achieved for maintenance of potholes, spalls, and cracks.
- Not less than 90% of culverts in good or fair condition
- Not less than 90% of TMS elements in good condition
- Fix not less than an additional 500 bridges

SHOPP Projects with RMRA Funding1:				
Total cost of all programmed projects (all funds)	\$17.4 Billion <sup>2</sup>			
Number of projects programmed	704			
Total programmed SHOPP funds	\$14.7 Billion <sup>3</sup>			
Number of projects with SHOPP allocations (any phase)	704			
Total SHOPP dollars allocated (all phases)	\$7.6 Billion <sup>3</sup>			
Number of awarded projects this period	59			
Total dollars awarded this period	\$1.9 Billion <sup>3</sup>			
Remaining SHOPP funds available for allocation	\$7.1 Billion			

<sup>1</sup>Does not include projects that have completed construction.

<sup>2</sup>Includes funds from the RMRA (SB 1) State Highway Account, Federal Trust Fund and some of the competitive programs.

<sup>3</sup>Includes funds from the RMRA (SB 1), State Highway Account, and Federal Trust Fund.



#### Overview

The Commission does not program SHOPP SB 1 funds. Instead, SHOPP SB 1 funding is determined at the time of allocation of each project phase. This progress report focuses on SHOPP projects that have received or are likely to receive RMRA funds.

### **Program Status**

As of June 30, 2020, 728 SHOPP projects have received RMRA funding, of which 18 completed construction in this reporting period and six completed construction during the prior reporting period. A total of 704 SHOPP projects with RMRA funding have been programmed and have not completed construction. A total of \$17.4 billion has been programmed from various fund sources, including funds from the SB 1 competitive programs. All 704 projects have received allocations totaling \$7.6 billion for certain phases of the projects. There is a total of \$7.1 billion of SHOPP funding remaining to be allocated to other phases of these projects. A total of 59 construction contracts worth \$1.9 billion were awarded during this reporting period.

#### **Program Infrastructure Benefits**

	for Cor	ed and Ready nstruction 6/30/20)	for Cor	l and Ready nstruction to 6/30/20)	to	ed and Open Traffic to 6/30/20)
Category of Benefits	Quantity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Unit of Measure	Quantity	Unit of Measure
Pavement	5,552.1	Lane-miles	818.7	Lane-miles	130.8	Lane-miles
Bridges	261	Bridges	43	Bridges	13	Bridges
Culverts	1,891	Culverts	387	Culverts	-	Culverts
Fiber Optic Cable	45	Miles	7.3	Miles	8.6	Miles
TMS Elements	3,035	Elements	762	Elements	6	Elements
Bridge Rail	38,006	Linear Feet	12,412	Linear Feet	1,135	Linear Feet

Expected benefits (outputs) for the SB 1 funded SHOPP projects are as follows:



The following benefits (outputs) were achieved by six projects that completed construction and were opened to traffic prior to the current reporting period:

	Completed and Open to Traffic (Prior to 1/1/20)		
Category of Benefits	Quantity	Unit of Measure	
Pavement	87	Lane-miles	
Bridges	11	Bridges	
Culverts	-	Culverts	
Fiber Optic Cable	-	Miles	
TMS Elements	-	Elements	
Bridge Rail	_	Linear Feet	

#### **Baseline Agreements**

Only projects with total SHOPP cost of \$40 million or greater require a baseline agreement as specified in the SHOPP Guidelines adopted at the June 2019 Commission meeting. As of June 30, 2020, a total of 68 baseline agreements have been approved and an estimated 83 will be approved at future Commission meetings.

#### **Construction Contract Awards**

As of June 30, 2020, a total of 130 construction contracts have been awarded totaling \$3.1 billion. A total of 71 construction contracts worth \$1.2 billion were awarded in the previous reporting period and an additional 59 construction contracts worth \$1.9 billion were awarded this reporting period.

#### **Completion Reports**

Completion Reports are required within six months of construction completion, but only for projects with approved baseline agreements. No completion reports were required this period.

#### **Final Delivery Reports**

Final Delivery Reports are required within six months of final expenditures, but only for projects with approved baseline agreements. No final delivery reports were required this period.



#### Summarize changes to the scope, cost, schedule and expected benefits

Attachments 2 and 4 contain corrective action plans for projects with potential risks in scope, schedule, cost or benefits. The Corrective Action Plan for SB 1 funded projects lists 10 projects with identified risk to scope, cost, or benefits. However, only one project is considered high risk and the Department is monitoring this project closely.

 Alameda 680 Pavement Rehabilitation, from north of Route 84 to Alcosta Boulevard in Alameda County. The project is being highlighted for having a schedule risk. Caltrans is proposing to split this project into northbound and southbound segments and combine the southbound segment with a locally funded express lane project. An amendment request to split the project is expected to go before the Commission at the October 2020 meeting; and an allocation request for the southbound project at the December 2021 meeting.

#### Projects reported in the CAP with actions since the end of the reporting period

• Niles Canyon Safety Project – This project experienced delays in right of way certification and ready to list status by over one year. The project reached ready to list status in August 2020.

#### Attachments

- 1. <u>SHOPP Project List is located on the SB 1 Portal.</u>
- 2. SHOPP Corrective Action Plans for SB 1 funded projects with baseline agreements.
- 3. <u>SHOPP Project List for projects with baseline agreements, but not SB 1 funded.</u>
- 4. SHOPP Corrective Action Plans for projects with a baseline agreement but not SB 1 funded.



#### State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP) Corrective Action Plans - SB 1 Funded (RMRA) projects with Baseline Agreements

<u>No.</u> 1	Dist-PPNO 01-2439	<u>EA</u> 0F820	<u>Title</u> TRINIDAD CAPM	<u>Scope</u>	Schedule Milestones were moved out to incorporate complete streets elements of work into the project. Funding has not changed and is still within the programmed fiscal year.	<u>Cost</u>
2	03-6250	3H330	US 50 ICM Infrastructure		The project will utilize specialized software that is currently in development and has been delayed. No time extension required.	
3	04-0084B	16030	NILES CANYON ALAMEDA CREEK BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECT		Marine Fisheries, CA Fish & Wildlife, Army Corp	purchase required mitigation credit environmental impacts and will be the District Right of Way allocation
4	04-0481P	0J620	REHABILITATE PAVEMENT		Project will be combined with a locally sponsored express lanes project. Combining the projects will minimize throwaway work, minimize contractor conflicts, reduce contract administration costs, and reduce impact to motorists. The project received a 20-month allocation time extension for Construction Support and Construction Capital at the June 2020 CTC Meeting.	
5	04-0587D	3G620	Alemany Circle UC Deck Replacement			Construction Support will be managed and the managed statement of the m
6	06-6681	0Q920	Union Ave to White Lane 2R Rehab			Construction Support will be mana, 12 capacity.
7	06-6800	0U420	Selma to Fowler Rehab			

# **ATTACHMENT 2**

#### **Benefits**

is needed to edits to address be funded from ion for FY20/21.

anaged within G-

anaged within G-

Performance measure was adjusted due to a discrepancy in the calculation.

#### State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP) Corrective Action Plans - SB 1 Funded (RMRA) projects with Baseline Agreements

<u>No.</u>	Dist-PPNC	<u>EA</u>	<u>Title</u>	Scope	Schedule	Cost	Benefits
8	07-4712	30390	LANE REPLACEMENT	Scope of work was adjusted minimally to reduce project cost and prevent overlapping from future LA-Metro projects. Recent field investigations discovered that some slabs did not need to be replaced. In addition, HOV improvements at ingress and egress locations will be removed from the project because HOV lanes will be converted to express lanes in future LA-Metro projects. The items removed include concrete barriers, median lighting and overhead signs.			Based on the 2018 Caltrans Pavement Program Summary Report (PaveM), the new performance measure output has increased from 50.4 to 54.95 Lane Miles.
9	07-4979	32030	Pavement Rehabiliation			project may be combined at vote with EA 33890	Based on asset management considerations this project may be combined at vote with EA 33890 and EA 32150. The benefits of all three projects will be combined.
10	07-4998	32160	Cold Plane and Overlay AC	Scope revisions include addition of Complete Street elements such as bike lanes, bus pads, bus shelters, continental crosswalks and new drainage structures. Impact evaluation for cost and schedule for additional work is on-going.	Revised scope impacts to schedule are currently under evaluation.	Revised scope impacts to cost are currently under evaluation.	
11	07-5013	32340	I-5 Pavement Rehab		The original schedule did not provide sufficient time to advertise and award the project, therefore, it is anticipated that begin construction will be delayed.	Right of way expenditures are expected to be higher than anticipated due to an underground utility. The utility was previously identified as needing repair, however, further investigation revealed the utility needs to be replaced.	
12	07-5034	32580	Cold Plane and Overlay AC	Scope revisions include Complete Street elements such as bike lanes, bus pads, bus shelters, continental crosswalks and new drainage structures. Impact evaluation is on- going.	Revised scope impacts to schedule are currently under evaluation.	Revised scope impacts to cost are currently under evaluation.	
13	09-2633	36740	Rosamond-Mojave Rehab		Begin construction has been delayed due to increased time given to contractors during advertisement and bid review.		

# ATTACHMENT 2

#### State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP) Corrective Action Plans - SB 1 Funded (NON-RMRA) projects with Baseline Agreements

<u>No.</u> 1	<u>Dist-PPNO</u> 01-3124	<u>EA</u> 2982U	<u>Title</u> LAK-29 COMBINED	<u>Scope</u>	<u>Schedule</u>	<u>Cost</u> District plans to reduce the estir completion for Construction Sup allocated amount.
2	03-9819	4F380	Yuba 70 Safety Segment 4 & 5 SHOPP		The project has been delayed due to extensive utility relocation and right of way acquisitions. The project received an 18-month allocation time extension for Construction Support and Construction Capital at the June 2020 CTC Meeting.	
3	04-0076B	2A332	NILES CANYON SAFETY PROJECT (MEDIUM TERM IMPROVEMENTS)		Project schedule was delayed due to additional time needed to secure environmental permits from National Marine Fisheries, CA Fish & Wildlife, Army Corp of Engineers, and Regional Water Quality Control Board. The project received a 20-month allocation time extension for Construction Support and Construction Capital at the June 2019 CTC Meeting.	Construction capital estimate at expected to be consistent with amount. Workplan will be upda
4	04-0738	0G680	SON-121 WIDENING, RUMBLE STRIPS, & CURVE CORRECTION		Utility relocations plans and right of way acquisitions have been delayed. The project received a 20-month allocation time extension for Construction Support and Construction Capital at the June 2020 CTC Meeting.	
5	08-3003N	0E33U	SBD 60 ADD WB AUX LANE, ADD EB DECELERATION LANE		Project has been granted a 6-month allocation time extension for Construction Support and Construction Capital due to construction conflict with another project (EA 08-0Q75U). Construction Support and Construction Capital will be allocated at the December 2020 CTC Meeting.	Construction Capital increased of Corps Permit over the channel to bridge in a different strategy to the channel. The final cost of pr over the programmed amount. will be requested to address the at the time of allocation.
6	10-0280	OP921	Ferguson Slide Rock Shed Construction			This Construction Manager/Gen (CMGC) project is only program RW Support. A supplemental fu- be prepared for PS&E for the De CTC Meeting. RW Support will I within G-12 capacity. The cost of is currently between \$175 M-\$2 PS&E is anticipated for Novemb Construction funds have not be for this project.

# **ATTACHMENT 4**

#### **Benefits**

stimate at Support below the

e at complete th programmed pdated.

ed due to Army el to widen the to avoid getting in project is 15% nt. Additional funds the cost increase

General Contractor ammed for PSE and al funds request will be December 2020 vill be managed st of the Rock Shed -\$200 M. 60% mber 2020. been programmed

# Active Transportation Program (ATP)

The ATP was established in 2013 to encourage increased use of active modes of transportation, such as biking and walking. After the passage of SB 1, the California Transportation Commission (Commission) adopted an amendment to the 2017 ATP Guidelines for the augmentation of projects to the 2017 ATP. SB 1



provides the ATP an additional \$100 million annually, of which \$4 million is directed to the California Conservation Corps (CCC) over a five-year period for active transportation projects to be developed and implemented by the CCC and certified Local Community Conservation Corps. The 2019 ATP (Cycle 4) programmed a total of \$199.3 million in SB 1 funding over a two-year period 2019-20 to 2020-21.

ATP at a Glance:	
Total ATP dollars programmed	\$1.6 Billion
Number of programmed ATP projects	809
Total cost of all programmed projects	\$2.9 Billion
Number of project phases allocated	1,338
Total dollars allocated	\$886 Million
Number of project contracts awarded	517
Total dollars awarded	\$523.6 Million
Funds available for programming	\$445.5 Million

#### **Program Status**

The 2021 Cycle 5 solicitation opened in March 2020 with \$445.5 million available for programming. Electronic applications are due to Caltrans by September 15, 2020. Cycle 5 will direct \$4 million to the CCC for 2021-22 programming. Cycle 5 also introduces a Quick-Build Pilot Program and applications were due July 15, 2020, with up to \$7 million in funding. Quick-build projects are interim capital improvement projects that further the goals of the ATP.

As of June 30, 2020, 1,338 project phases have received allocations totaling \$886 million. As of the last reporting period, 1,264 project phases had been allocated totaling \$788.5 million. This reporting period, an additional 74 project phases received allocations totaling \$97.5 million.



In June 2019 the Commission adopted the 2019 CCC program with a total \$3.4 million in funding. The 2019 CCC program will fund projects that benefit disadvantaged communities and Certified Local Community Conservation Corps projects.

### Active Transportation Resource Center

The Active Transportation Resource Center's (ATRC) mission is to provide resources, technical assistance, and training to transportation partners across California to increase opportunity for the success of active transportation projects. The ATRC is funded by the ATP and managed by Caltrans Division of Local Assistance. The ATRC utilizes a combination of subject matter experts from state agencies, universities, and consultants to provide active transportation training, tools, and technical assistance that support the ATP.

	ATRC Funding Summary (1,000s)					
Cycle	Programmed	Under Contract	Allocated & Remaining	Unallocated		
1	\$1,875	\$1,875	\$0	\$0		
2	\$3,570	\$3,570	\$0	\$0		
3	\$5,058	\$2,064	\$465	\$2,529		
4	\$4,630	\$0	\$0	\$4,630		

#### Program Benefits Status

Benefits are categorized into infrastructure outputs and non-infrastructure outcomes. Infrastructure outputs for 618 projects that include capital improvements are summarized in the table below.

INFRASTRUCTURE OUTPUTS									
		s Adopted ogram	Delivered of for Cons	- 1					
Benefits Category - Outputs	Miles	Each	Miles	Each	Miles	Each			
Bike Lanes - Class 1	274	-	41	-	61.5	-			
Bike Lanes - Class 2	585	-	128.8	-	194	-			
Bike Lanes - Class 3	210	-	12	-	77	-			
Bike Lanes - Class 4	52	-	1.1	-	-	-			
Sidewalks - New	296	-	58.3	-	77.8	-			
Sidewalks - Enhancements	43.2	-	4.2	-	4.8	-			
Multi-Use Trails - New	88	-	5.9	-	-	-			
Multi-Use Trails - Enhancements	9.2	-	0.8	-	0.2	-			
Crosswalks - New	-	10,332		447	-	9150			
Crosswalks - Enhancements	-	1587		191	-	110			



Non-infrastructure outcomes include programs to deliver education, encouragement, and evaluation activities that further the goals of the ATP. The noninfrastructure outcomes also include developing plans for community wide bicycle, pedestrian, safe routes to school and active transportation. The following table represents the number of non-infrastructure outcomes expected when the 246 projects including non-infrastructure elements are completed.

NON	I-INFRASTRUCTURE OUTC	OMES
Category	Each	Delivered
Programs	138	41
Plans	83	26

### **Baseline Agreements Status**

Sixteen of the 809 programmed projects require a baseline agreement due to the dollar threshold. Baseline agreements are required for projects with a total project cost of \$25 million or greater or a total programmed amount of \$10 million or greater adopted in the 2017 ATP Augmentation and subsequent cycles. As of June 30, 2020, eight baseline agreements have been approved. The remaining eight are anticipated to be approved at a future Commission meeting.

### **Construction and Consultant Contract Awards**

As of June 30, 2020, 517 construction and consultant contracts have been awarded. In the last reporting period, 489 construction and consultant contracts were awarded and an additional 28 were awarded this reporting period.

### **Completion Reports**

As of June 30, 2020, 208 completion reports were received. As of the last reporting period, 164 completion reports were received and an additional 44 were received this reporting period. A list of the completion reports is included as Attachment 4.

### **Final Delivery Reports**

As of June 30, 2020, 42 final delivery reports were received. As of the last reporting period, 25 final delivery reports were received and an additional 17 were received this reporting period.



### Summarize changes to the scope, cost, schedule and expected benefits

As of June 30, 2020, there are two projects with potential risk to the scope, cost or schedule as noted on Attachment 2. These risks are not considered substantial enough to impact the delivery of the currently approved projects.

### Scope Change Requests

Туре	Approved	Denied	Pending
Minor	74	1	1
Major	6	2	0
TBD	0	0	2
Total	80	3	3

### **Time Extension Trends**

Time Extension Trends offers a comparison of the number of programmed phases against the number of extended phases in each program cycle, and as a percentage. The following figures are as of June 30, 2020.

Cycle	1	2	3	4
Phases Programmed	505	525	506	274
Phases Extended	164	146	72	0
Extensions (%)	32%	28%	14%	0%

### Attachments:

- 1. ATP SB1 Funded Project List is located on the SB 1 Portal
- 2. ATP Corrective Action Plan for SB 1 funded projects
- 3. ATP Non-SB 1 Funded Project List
- 4. ATP Completed Project List
- 5. ATP Success Stories



### ATTACHMENT 2

### Active Transportation Program (ATP) Corrective Action Plans - SB 1 Funded (RMRA) projects

Dist-PPNO

<u>No.</u>	<u>Co-Route</u>	Title	Scope	<u>Schedule</u>	Cost	<u>Benefits</u>
1	07-5452 LA	Glendora Urban Trail and Greenway Network		The project schedule is currently impacted because the locally funded PS&E phase is not yet complete. The ATP construction funding is programmed in the 2019-20 fiscal year and is in jeopardy of lapsing (June 30, 2020) if the City is unsuccessful in securing additional funding.	The City has encountered substantial challenges with two of the three proposed Class 1 multi-use trails. During the environmental phase, the City discovered significant Right of Way issues including utility relocation and poperty acquisition that has a direct impact on the project budget. The City is currently exploring all possible funding options to augment the project budget as well as cost-saving opportunities associated with scope adjustments that offer low or no impacts to project benefits.	
2	04-2146A		The City has a requested a major scope change that is pending approval by the CTC.			

Cycle	District	PPNO IF	PPNO NI Agency Name	Project Name	Total ATP Programmed	Total Project Cost	Bike Lanes Class 1 (miles)	- Bike Lanes - Class 2 (miles)	Bike Lanes - Class 3 (miles)	Bike Lanes - Class 4 (miles)	Sidewalks - New (miles)	Sidewalks - Enhancements (miles)	Multi-Use Trails - New (miles)	Multi-Use Trails - Enhancement s (miles)	Crosswalks - New (each)	Crosswalks - Enhancement s (each)	NI Programs	s Plans
1	11	0598	Westmorland, City of	Improve Center Street Pedestrian Facility	985	1,113					1.5							
1	9	0651	Tehachapi, City of	City of Tehachapi - Valley Boulevard Bikeway Facilities Project Phase 11	1,292	1,292	0.3											
2	9	0668	Kern County - D9	Mojave Pedestrian Improvement Project	896	1,246					3.6							
2	12	1001	Brea, City of	The Tracks at Brea - Segment 6	652	1,603	1.2				0.0							
2	12	1006	Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA)	Orange County Active Transportation Plan	280	350												1
2	12	1007	San Clemente, City of	Concordia Elementary School Safe Routes to School Pedestrian and Bicycle Lane Improvement Project	986	987					0.3				8			
2	12	1008	San Clemente, City of	Shorecliffs Middle School Safe Routes to School Pedestrian Improvement Project	869	878					0.1							
1	12	1012	San Juan Capistrano, City of	San Juan Capistrano Bikeway Gap Closure Project	437	553	0.4	0.2	1.5									
1	3	1016	Biggs, City of	City of Biggs - Safe Routes to School Project, Sidewalk Improvements	860	860					0.6							
1	3	1017	Paradise, Town of	Maxwell Drive Safe Routes to School Project	968	968		1.1			0.2							
1	3	1018	Paradise, Town of	Pearson Road Safe Routes to School Connectivity Project	1,388	1,388		4.1			0.8							
1	4	1040C	San Mateo, City of	City of San Mateo Safe Routes to School Program	2,515	2,515					0.6							
1	1	1106	Del Norte Local Transportation	Del Norte Walk and Roll to School Encouragement Program	60	134											1	
1	8	1145	Commission San Bernardino Associated Governments	San Bernardino Association of Government Safe Routes to School	400	400												1
1	8	1146	(SANBAG) San Jacinto, City of	Plan Safe and Active San Jacinto - Safe Routes to School Project	989	989	0.6				0.9	0.1					1	
1	8	1140	San Bernardino	SANBAG Points of Interest Pedestrian Plan	400	400						0.1					-	1
	8	1147	(SANBAG) Barstow, City of	City of Barstow's Active Transportation Plan	300	300											1	
																	1	
1	8	1149	Riverside County	Riverside County Safe Routes to School Program, Perris	350	350											1	
1	11	1150	San Diego, City of	Linda Vista Safe Routes to School	500	500											1	
1	8	1150	Riverside County Chula Vista Elementary	Riverside County Safe Routes to School Program, City of Indio	500	500											1	<u> </u>
1	11	1151	School District	It's Cool to Walk to School Project	590	590											1	<u> </u>
1	8	1151	Riverside County	Avenida Rambla Sidewalk Safety Improvements	356	356					0.7							
1	11	1152	Coronado, City of	City of Coronado-Safe Routes to School Education	36	43											1	
1	8	1152	Riverside County	Clark Street Sidewalk and Intersection Safety Improvements	721	721					0.4							
1	11	1153	El Cajon, City of	Cajon Valley Union School District (City of El Cajon)	500	500											1	
1	8	1153	Riverside County	Grapefruit Boulevard and 4th Street Pedestrian and Roadway Safety Improvements	2,300	2,300					0.0							
1	11	1154	Imperial Beach, City of	Elm Avenue Traffic, Pedestrian and Cycling Safety and Mobility Improvement	709	500	0.2				0.5						1	
1	8	1154	Riverside County	Riverside County Safe Routes to School Program, Jurupa Valley	500	1,459												
1	8	1155	Western Riverside Cog	Western Riverside County Subregional Active Transportation Program	333	333												1
1	11	1156	National City, City of	National City 18th Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Enhancements	1,225	1,164			0.8									
1	8	1156	Ontario, City of	Safe Routes to School Active Transportation at Four Elementary Schools	1,164	1,225					1.1							
1	8	1157	Colton, City of	City of Colton - Active Transportation Program Plan	265	265												1
1	11	1157	La Mesa, City of	King Street Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements	940	1,050			1.5		0.6				7			
1	8	1159	Riverside County	Troth Street Safe Routes to School Improvements	627	689					0.7							
1	11	1159	Vista, City of	Vista Master Safe Routes to School Plan	120	120												1
1	11	1160	Vista, City of	Maryland Elementary Pedestrian Mobility Improvement	712	732					0.6							
1	8	1160	Riverside County	Pyrite Street Safe Routes to School Improvements	665	792					0.2	0.3						
1	8	1161	Perris, City of	Murrieta Road Pedestrian Improvements - City of Perris	1,100	1,100	0.3	1.3					<u> </u>					
1	8	1163	Coachella, City of	Active Transportation Program Improvements	1,764	1,764		5.0	0.7		6.2				8			
1	8	1164	Rialto, City of	City of Rialto Safe Routes to School Program	1,450	1,450											1	
1	8	1166	San Bernardino County Transportation Authority	San Bernardino Association of Governments Metrolink Station	4,679	4,679		2.6			2.1				18			
1	8	1169	(SBCTA) Fontana, City of	Accessibility Improvement Project City of Fontana - Safe Routes to Schools Project	1,624	1,624		2.2			1.3							
1	8	1100	San Bernardino	Rim of the World Active Transportation Program	285	285												1
	ð	11/0	Associated Governments (SANBAG)	Nin of the world Active Transportation Program	285	285												

Page 1 of 6

1	8	1171	Apple Valley, Town of	Apple Valley: Mojave Riverwalk South	923	963	2.8								
1	8			Apple Valley Safe Routes to Schools	1,095	1,095	1.9								
2	8			3rd Place Sidewalk and Roadway Safety Improvements	721	871	1.5			0.2					
	_									0.2					
2	8			Riverside County Safe Routes to School, Eastside Riverside	500	628								1	
1	8			City of Riverside - Wells and Arlanza Sidewalk Improvements	1,782	1,961				0.9					
2	8	1191	Banning, City of	Bicycle and Safe Routes to School Improvements	1,082	1,082		3.6	2.7	0.1			8800		
2	8	1192	Grand Terrace, City of	Active Transportation Program Planning	295	295									1
2	8	1194	Jurupa Valley, City of	Jurupa Valley High School Safe Routes to School	1,252	1,467				0.4			1		
2	8	1196		In-Fill Sidewalks, Curbs and Gutters Improvement Project	252	484				1.8					
2	8	1197		Safe Routes to School Infrastructure Improvement Project- El Camino Elementary School	368	400				0.0					
2	8	1198A 1198B	Riverside County	Mecca Sidewalk and Roadway Safety Improvements	851	945				0.8			1		
2	8	1200A 1200B	Riverside County	Thousand Palms Sidewalk Safety Improvements	775	1,085				1.0					
2	8	1205	Wildomar, City of	Grand Avenue Multi-Use Trail Improvement Project	1,223	1,541	3.4								
1	8	1206	Yucaipa, City of	Safe Routes to Calimesa and Wildwood Elementary Schools	872	1,027				2.3					
3A	8	1208	Victorville, City of	Bear Valley Road Class 1 Bike Path Connector	792	999	0.3								
1	3	1218	El Dorado County	Sawmill Bike Trail Safe Access	750	2,694	0.2				<u> </u>				
1	3	1219	I ransportation	El Dorado County Western Slope Bicycle Travel Opportunities Map	50	57								1	
2	11		El Centro, City of	Establishment of Safe Routes to School Program and Bicycle Route Improvements	524	524									
2	11	1229A 1229B	La Mesa, City of	West La Mesa Pedestrian and Bicycle Connectivity Project	1,919	2,772		1.3	3.5	1.3			9		
3A	12	1271C	Buena Park, City of	Go Human Event: Explore Beach Boulevard	200	220								1	
ЗA	11	1317A 1317B	Chula Vista, City of	Chula Vista Rides to School!	202	202								1	
2	3	1417	Truckee, Town of	Glenshire Drive Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvement Project	905	1,131	0.1	3.0							
1	3	1425	Truckee, Town of	Trout Creek Trail, Phase 2	1,520	2,300	0.8								
1	3	1522	Roseville, City of	Downtown Roseville Class I Trails	1,236	2,547	0.0								
1	3	1523	Colfax, City of	North Main Street Bike Route	264	299			0.3						
2	3	1525	Loomis, Town of	Loomis Town Center Implementation Plan - Phase 2	1,436	1,786		0.9		0.2					
1	3	1678	Nacramento ( ounty	Sacramento County - Howe Avenue Sidewalk Infill and Bike Lane	1,853	1,853		0.5		0.4					
1	3	1670	San Juan Unified School	Improvements Safe Routes to School	250	250								1	
1	3		District Galt, City of	South Galt Safe Routes to Schools	1,800	2,150		1.1		1.1					
1	3		Sacramento County	Sacramento County - El Camino Avenue Phase 2 - Street and	1,692	1,923		1.5		1.5					
2	3			Sidewalk Improvements Stone Creek Community Bike Trail Pedestrian Signal Safety	442	500				0.0					
	_			Project	368	393									
1	3			Franklin Road Improvements						0.3				1	
	3			City of Davis - Safe Routes to School Program	562	562								1	
1	3			2014 Safe Routes to School	539	539		40.5						Ţ	
	3			City of West Sacramento West Capitol Avenue Cycle Track	87	645		10.2							
1	3			Safe Routes to School Project and Programs	489	489							4		
1	3			Ella Elementary School Safe Routes to School Project Seventh Avenue Bicycle Path and Pedestrian Route	1,195	1,350		1.6		0.9					
2	3		Yuba County	Seventh Avenue Bicycle Path and Pedestrian Route Improvements	930	960		2.2		0.6					
1	4	2023A	of Public Health	San Francisco Safe Routes to School Non-Infrastructure Project	990	990								1	
1	4	2023C	Transportation Agency	San Francisco Safer Streets: Increasing Bicycling and Walking in San Francisco	2,000	2,000								1	
1	4	2023D	Transportation Agency	San Francisco Citywide Bicycle Wayfinding Project	792	1,145									
2	4	2023F		San Francisco Safe Routes to School 2017-2019 Non- Infrastructure Project	2,411	2,931								1	
1	4	2122A		Riverside Avenue Pedestrian Crossing	2,000	4,885	0.1								
1	4	2122C		Port Chicago Highway and Willow Pass Road Bike and Pedestrian Project	800	1,613		0.3		0.3					
1	4	2122D		Contra Costa Boulevard Improvement Project (Beth Drive to Harriet Drive)	1,556	3,153				0.4					

2	4	2124A	2124B Contra Costa County	Rio Vista Elementary School Pedestrian Connection Project	600	905		0.1		0.1						1	
2	4	2128F	Marin County Transit	Pedestrian Access and Safety Improvements for the Downtown	989	3,255				0.1							
2		2140X	District San Mateo County	Novato Bus Transit Facility Redwood City 2020 Sustainable Transportation Encouragement	966	966										1	
	4			Project (STEP) Santa Clara Co. VTA's Central and South County Bicycle Corridor												1	
1	4	2150B	Transportation Authority	Plan	443	500											1
1	4	2150C		Gilroy Moves	1,876	1,876										1	
1	12	2170A		City of Anaheim - Anaheim Coves Northern Extension	832	832	0.9										
1	12	2170B	Brea, City of	City of Brea - Tracks at Brea Segment 4	2,484	3,026	0.6			0.6							
1	12	2170C	Brea, City of	The Tracks at Brea Trail Segments 2 and 3	2,557	2,889	0.3										
1	12	2170E	Cypress, City of	City of Cypress - Cerritos Avenue Bike Corridor Improvement	632	714											
1	12	2170F	Garden Grove, City of	Harbor and Twintree HAWK	160	160											
1	12	2170G	Irvine, City of Orange County	Citywide Bicycle, Pedestrian Motorist Safety Program	500	500										1	
1	12	2170J		Orange County Sidewalk Inventory	163	185											1
1	12	2170L	Orange County	Lambert Road Bikeway Project	394	445		1.2									
1	12	2170P	Santa Ana, City of	Safe Routes to School Enhancements for Monte Vista Elementary	430	430											
1	12	2170Q	Santa Ana, City of	Maple Bicycle Trail Enhancements	1,101	1,101											
1	12	2170R	Anaheim, City of	South Street Sidewalk Gap Closure	796	796				0.1							
1	12	21705	Anaheim, City of	Western Avenue Pedestrian Signal	400	400									1		
1	12	2170T	Anaheim, City of	City of Anaheim: Cerritos Avenue Sidewalk Gap Closure	1,209	1,209				0.1							
1	12	2170U	Santa Ana, City of	Bishop Pacific - Shelton Bike Boulevards	950	950	18.7	79.4	21.1								
1	12	2170V	Santa Ana, City of	City of Santa Ana - Newhope - Civic Center-Grand Class 11 Bike Lanes Project	272	272		2.6									
1	12	2170W	Santa Ana, City of	Complete Streets Plan	300	300											1
1	12	2170X	Santa Ana, City of	Safe Routes to School Enhancements for King Elementary	500	500											
1	12	2170Y	Santa Ana, City of	Safe Routes to School Enhancements for Heninger Elementary	480	480											
1	12	2170Z	Santa Ana, City of	Safe Routes to School Enhancements for Washington Elementary	780	780											
1	4	2190E	Alameda, City of	Cross Alameda Trail	2,231	2,520	0.7				0.0						
1	4	2190F		Be Oakland, Be Active: A Comprehensive Safe Routes to School Program	988	988										1	
1	4	2190H	Livermore, City of	Marylin Avenue Elementary Safe Routes to Schools	358	359				0.1					11		
1	4	2190K	Alameda County	Safe Routes to Schools - Alameda County Unincorporated Areas	668	668										1	
1	4	2190L	Oakland, City of	City of Oakland Improvements for Safe Routes to School	1,236	1,496		0.4						6			
1	4	2190M	Oakland, City of	High Street - Courtland Avenue - Ygnacio Avenue Intersection Improvements for Safe Routes to School	1,128	1,278				0.3							
1	4	2190N	Alameda County	Ashland Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Safe Routes to School Project	708	910		2.6		0.6							
1	4	2190P		Hillside Elementary School Safe Routes to School Project	858	970				0.4							
1	4	2230A	Solano Transportation Authority	Solano County Safe Routes to School - Ingraining Walking and Rolling into the School Culture	388	388										1	
1	5	2296	Monterey County	Castroville Bicycle and Pedestrian Path and Railroad Crossing Project	913	8,931	0.7					<u> </u>			1		
1	4	2300A	Napa County Transportation Planning	Napa Vine Trail Phase 2 - Gap Closure	3,600	7,600	3.3			0.3							
3A	4	2310	Agency Contra Costa County	Pacheco Boulevard Sidewalk Gap Closure Phase 3	619	1,239		0.0		0.0							
3A	4	2314	Woodside, Town of	Woodside Elementary School Student Pathway Project Phase III	528	745							0.2				
1	1	2401	Humboldt County	Redwood Mobility Education Program	600	600										1	<u> </u>
1	1	2402	Humboldt County	Lafayette Elementary School Safe Routes Improvement Project	800	800											
1	1	2404	Arcata, City of	Humboldt Bay Trail: Arcata Rail with Trail	3,100	5,366	3.0										
1	1	2405	2405B Fortuna, City of	Fortuna Safe Routes to School Project 2014	917	917				0.0	0.3			2	3		
1	1	2406	Eureka, City of	Eureka Waterfront Trail	2,448	4,382	1.2										
1	2	2571		Shasta Safe Routes to School	500	500										1	
1	2	2572		City of Redding - Placer Street Improvement Project	2,296	5,004		1.2		1.5							
2	2	2574		GoShasta Active Transportation Plan	250	313											1
	_	_ <i>~ ,</i> -T			230	515											-

Page 3 of 6

2	2	2575		Redding, City of	Quartz Hill Road Active Transportation Project	3,177	3,528	2.2				0.5						
					Cacique and Soledad Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridges and Corridor													
	5	2599		Santa Barbara, City of	Improvements	2,703	3,703	0.1				0.1						
1	5	2602		Santa Barbara, City of	Lower Milpas Pedestrian Improvement	1,097	1,097					0.3						
1	5	2603		Santa Barbara, City of	Montecito - Yanonali Street Bridge Replacement and Pedestrian Improvement	3,442	3,875					0.3	 					
1	5	2607		Agency	Safe Routes to School Education and Encouragement in Santa Cruz County	447	447										1	
1	5	2608		Transportation Agency for Monterey County	Via Salinas Valley: Pathways to Health through Active Transportation	4,662	4,666		2.5			1.5						
1	5	2609		Lompoc, City of	City of Lompoc - Sidewalk Infill and Curb Ramp Project	442	442					0.8						
1	5	2612		University of California - Santa Cruz	Great Meadow Bike Path Safety Improvements Project	383	433	0.2				0.2						
1	9	2614		Tehachapi, City of	Safe Routes to School Gap Closure Project	900	900					0.9						
2	9	2615		Mammoth Lakes, Town of	Mammoth Creek Gap Closure Project	847	926	0.2										
2	5	2669A	2669B	Santa Cruz, City of	Santa Cruz Citywide Safe Routes to School Crossing Improvement Program	1,404	1,404										1	
2	5	2670		Seaside, City of	West Broadway Urban Village Infrastructure Improvements	3,694	7,832		1.2			0.6						
2	5	2672		The Regents of the University of California	University of California Santa Barbara, North Campus Open Space Multi-Modal Trail Project	2,449	2,895	1.2				0.1						
2	5	2675		Santa Barbara, City of	Safe Routes to School Carpinteria at Voluntario Pedestrian	632	645					0.1						
2	5	2677			Improvements Project San Luis Obispo Regional Rideshare Active Transportation Safety	295	437										1	
2	5	2691		Governments Santa Cruz, City of	and Encouragement Campaign Branciforte Creek Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge	1,800	2,600		0.4			0.4						
1		3095			City of Ceres - Safe Routes to School on Don Pedro Road and	373	373		0.4						21			
	10			Ceres, City of	Nadine Avenue (Multiple Schools)							0.0			21			
1	10	3097			Safe Routes to School Plan	350	350											1
1	10	3098		Stockton, City of	Bicycle Master Plan Update	550	550											1
1	10	3105		Lathrop,City of	5th Street sidewalk Improvements	640	1,177											
1	1	3105		Clearlake, City of	City of Clearlake, Phillips Avenue Class II Bicycle Lanes	564	640		2.0									
1	10	3126		Merced, City of	State Highway 59 and BNSF RR Multi - Use Pathway Crossing	945	945	0.1										
1	10	3160		Tracy, City of	Mt. Diablo, Mt. Oso and C. Street Improvement	760	1,166					1.1						
2	10	3172		Ceres, City of	Ceres Canal Bike and Pedestrian Path, Phase IV	658	980	0.4										
2	10	3178		Plymouth, City of	Plymouth Main Street and Shenandoah Road Safe Routes to School Project	1,081	1,099		0.8			0.2	0.2					
2	10	3179		Merced County	Walnut Avenue Complete Street Upgrade, Segment 2	1,845	1,845		1.7			0.5						
2	10	3181		Merced, City of	City of Merced Active Transportation and Safe Routes to School Plan	135	135											1
1	10	3187		Stockton, City of	McKinley Elementary Safe Routes to School	374	453											
1	10	3188		Hughson, City of	Fox Road Pedestrian Improvements	408	408					0.3						
1	10	3210		Ripon, City of	River Road Sidewalk and Intersection	475	1,227					0.3						
3A	10	3279		Patterson, City of	Ninth Street Improvements	907	907					0.4						
3A	10	3290		San Joaquin County	San Joaquin County - Elmwood Elementary School Access	1,137	1,772			1.1		1.2						
1	7	3565J		Santa Paula, City of	Improvements Santa Paula 10th Street (SR-150) Bicycle and Pedestrian	577	635					0.1						
1	,7	4309		Los Angeles, City of	Improvements Beverly Boulevard Active Transportation Improvements	992	1,374									2		
	-								0.0	0.2						2		
	-	4528		Covina, City of	Covina Bicycle Network Phase 2	839	1,048		8.8	0.2								
	7	4538		Los Angeles County Mendocino Council of	Florence Metro Blue Line Station Bikeway Access Improvements Mendocino County Health and Human Services Agency (HSSA)	1,188	1,624		2.8	0.8								
	1	4611		Governments	Safe Routes to School Project City of Fort Bragg - Chestnut St Multi Use Facility and Safe Routes	871	871										1	
1	1	4612		Fort Bragg, City of	City of Fort Bragg - Chestnut St Multi Use Facility and Safe Routes to School Program	259	1,051	0.9							19			
2	1	4633		Ukiah, City of	Northwestern Pacific Rail Trail Phase II	1,831	1,850					0.0	 0.8					
3	1	4680		Fort Bragg, City of	Fort Bragg Coastal Trail Phase II	766	1,009	0.1				0.2	1.3		1			
1	7	4865		Simi Valley, City of	Arroyo Simi Greenway Bike Trail Phase 3	1,197	1,330	0.9										
1	7	4869		Los Angeles, City of	Expo Line Bundy Station First Last Mile Improvements	3,053	3,450					0.3				14		
1	7	4870		Los Angeles, City of	Eastside Active Transportation Linkages Phase II	2,237	3,651					0.8				40		
1	7	4874		Los Angeles, City of	Expo Line Pedestrian Improvements, Crenshaw to City Limit - City of Los Angeles	2,311	2,890					0.9						
1	7	4875		Southern California Association of	SCAG Regional Active Transportation Safety and Encouragement Campaign	2,333	2,333						 				1	
				Governments				L			1	1	l	I	<u>I</u>	I		

Page 4 of 6

1	7	4877		Yale Street Pedestrian Linkages Phase I, College Street and Alpine Street	690	690						0.0	7			
1	7	4879		City of Palmdale - Active Transportation Program Plan	595	595										1
1	7	4891	Cudahy, City of	Cudahy Citywide Safe Routes to School Improvement	1,271	1,271							12	34		
1	7	4892	Ventura, City of	Ventura Westside Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements	1,500	1,500			0.3	0.3						
1	7	4898	Vernon, City of	City of Vernon Bicycle Master Plan	53	60										1
1	7	4907	Glendale, City of	City of Glendale Safe Routes to School Improvements	1,642	1,642				0.1						
1	7	4909	Los Angeles County	Unincorporated Los Angeles County Pedestrian Plans and	1,445	1,498									1	1
1	,	4912	Santa Monica, City of	Programs 4th Street Walking and Biking Upgrades for Expo Station,	600	750					0.0				-	-
1	7			Downtown and Civic Center						0.2	0.0		2			
	/			East Los Angeles Community Safe Routes to School Program Durfee - Thompson Elementary Emerald Necklace Walking	810	925				0.2						
1	7	4918	El Monte, City of	School Bus Randolph Street Shared Use Bike and Trail Rails to Trails Project	604	692									1	
1	7	4936	Huntington Park, City of	Study	400	400									1	
1	7	4959	4960 Los Angeles County	Florence - Firestone Community Safe Routes to School Program	960	1,092				0.6						
1	10	5001	Stockton, City of	Fremont Square Sidewalk Reconstruction	728	728				0.2						
1	10	5003	Merced County Los Angeles County	Walnut Avenue Complete Street Upgrade	1,781	2,179		0.3								
1	7	5020		Metro Blue Line First and Last Mile Plan	280	280										1
1	7	5053	Pomona, City of	Priority Implementation for Downtown Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements	2,010	2,010	7.5	31.7	26.4				134			
2	7	5132	Torrance, City of	Downtown Torrance Active Transportation Improvement Project	2,027	2,533		1.0	5.0		4.1					
2	7	5138	Bellflower, City of	Bellflower and Paramount Joint Active Transportation Plan	100	125										1
2	7	5152A	5152B Ventura County	Rio Real Elementary School - Pedestrian and Street Improvements Project	462	462				0.3						
1	7	5182	Southern California Association of Governments	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments - Regional Active Transportation Planning Initiative	643	643									1	
1	7	5186	Baldwin Park, City of	Maine Avenue Corridor Complete Streets Improvements	2,201	3,651				2.0			13			
ЗA	7	5460	Ventura County	Potrero Road Bike Lanes - Phase I	467	2,530		1.5								
1	10	6001	Ceres, City of	Safe Routes to School on Hacket and Kinser Road (Sinclear Elementary School and Blaker - Kinser Junior High School)	818	818				0.0						
1	10	6002	Modesto, City of	Modesto Junior College Class I Bicycle Path (Phase II)	630	700	2.2			0.0						
1	6	6747	Kern County - D6	Highland Elementary Pedestrian Improvements	275	330				0.1						
1	6	6748	Kern County - D6	County of Kern - Horace Mann Pedestrian Improvements	310	372				0.2						
1	6	6750	Wasco, City of	Palm Avenue Elementary School Pedestrian Infrastructure Improvements	458	458							19			
1	6	6751	Wasco, City of	Teresa Burke Elementary School Bike and Pedestrian Infrastructure Improvements	1,794	1,794	0.7						15			
1	6	6752	Tulare County	Tulare County Safe Routes to School Plan	110	110										1
1	6	6753	Chowchilla, City of	Robertson Boulevard and State Route 233 and 11 Street	550	550				0.4						
1	6	6754	Clovis, City of	Pedestrian Safety Improvements Enterprise Canal Trail and Pedestrian over State Route 168 Plan	168	190										1
1	6	6755		City of Coalinga Active Transportation Plan	240	240										1
1	6	6756		Merced Street Pedestrian Facilities from 3rd Street to 5th Street	240	302				0.1						
	-	6756		Butler Avenue Bicycle Lane from Hazelwood Avenue to Peach	197	274		0.4		0.1						
	6		Fresho, City of	Avenue				0.4								
	6	6758		City of Fresno Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan Update Install Traffic Signals at Hamilton Elementary School (Clinton and	221	250										
1	6	6760	Fresno, City of	Thorne)	424	477										
1	6	6761		Fresno Pedestrian Bike Safety Education Program ADA Path on Grove and Jensen Avenues from Ninth Street to	250	255									1	
1	6	6762	Fresno County	ADA Path on Grove and Jensen Avenues from Ninth Street to Cedar	448	448				0.0						<b> </b>
1	6	6763	Fresno County	Dunlap - Lighted Crosswalk	162	162				0.1						<b> </b>
1	6	6764	Fresno County	Mt. Whitney Paved Pedestrian Bikeway from Grantland to Garfield	141	141				0.5						<b> </b>
1	6	6765	Fresno County	Riverdale Pedestrian Path Bikeway Hazel from Mt. Whitney to Stathem	503	503				0.2						
1	6	6766	Kerman, City of	Pedestrian Safety Improvements at Various Locations	250	250				0.2						
1	6	6767	Mendota, City of	Mendota Elementary School Pedestrian Improvements	254	254				0.1						
1	6	6770	Bakersfield, City of	Safe Route to School Improvements-Frank West Elementary	312	312				0.4						
1	6	6771	Kern County - D6	County of Kern - Stiern Middle School Pedestrian Improvements	125	150				0.1						

<u>г т</u>		1							1	1	1	1	1	1	r	r		
1	9	6772		Kern County - D9	Mojave Pedestrian Improvements	249	640					0.0						
1	6	6774		Wasco (ity of	Clemens and Jefferson Schools Bike and Pedestrian Improvements	306	306					0.1			18	3		
1	6	6775		Wasco, City of	JL Prueitt Pedestrian Improvement	473	473		1.0			0.1						
1	6	6776		Wasco, City of	Highway 43 Pedestrian Lighting	593	593											
1	6	6777		Dinuba, City of	Class II and Class III Bike Lanes	261	344		3.2	11.2							1	
1	6	6778		Farmersville, City of	Farmersville Comprehensive Active Transportation Initiative	261	350		1.0			3.6						
1	6	6780		Tulare County	Tooleville Sidewalk Improvement	379	414					0.1						
1	6	6781		Tulare County	Terra Bella Sidewalk Improvements	397	417					0.2						
1	6	6782		Visalia, City of	Mill Creek Trail Downtown Corridor Project	141	454	0.2										
1	6	6783		Woodlake, City of	City of Woodlake Safe Routes to School Improvements	245	289		0.2			0.4			7			
2	6	6821		Woodlake, City of	North Valencia Safe Routes to School Improvements	895	1,310					0.2						
2	6	6829			Construct trail along State Route 168 Shepherd Avenue to DeWolf Avenue	591	852	1.6										
2	6	6833		Fresno County	Biola Sidewalk Project	638	638					0.2						
2	6	6835		Orange Cove, City of	2015 Orange Cove School Crossing Safety Improvements	247	247					0.1			5			
2	6	6836A	6836B		Safety for an Active Selma School Community (Install various pedestrian safety facilities in the City of Selma)	468	469								9			
2	6	6838		Coalinga, City of	Sidewalk Gap Closure and Pedestrian Improvement #2	323	393					2.0						
2	6	6839		Selma, City of	Active Transportation Plan-Selma	88	100											1
2	6	6842		Tulare County	Traver Jacob Street Improvements	1,790	1,790					0.4						
2	6	6843			Kern Avenue Elementary Safe Routes to School Connectivity Project 1	293	293					0.3						
2	6	6844		Bakersfield, City of	"A" Street Improvements	1,055	1,110					1.6						
2	6	6845		Kern Council of Governments	Kern Region Active Transportation Program Plan	250	250											1
2	6	6846		Kern County - D6	Lamont Pedestrian Improvement Project	1,430	1,980					5.6						
2	6	6849		Sanger, City of	Sanger Safe Routes to School	513	580					0.7			4			
3A	6	6937		Bakersfield, City of	Downtown Bakersfield Pedestrian Connectivity Project	825	1,032					0.7				1		
3A	9	6939		Tehachapi, City of	Cherry Lane Southside Sidewalk Project	462	512					0.4						
3A	6	7012		Tulare County	Woodville Sidewalk Improvements along Road 168	832	837					1.0						
1	11	7421Y		•	Encinitas Coastal Rail Trail Chesterfield to Santa Fe Undercrossing Western Alignment	1,025	6,419	1.3	3.2			0.0						

Page 6 of 6

# Attachment 5 – Active Transportation Success Stories



# **BIKE/PED BRIDGE & SIDEWALK**

### INFRASTRUCTURE | ATP CY 1

Applicant: City of Santa Barbara



### PROJECT SUMMARY

ATP PROJECT COST: \$2,703,000

The project is located in the heart of the Eastside neighborhood, which has one of the highest concentrations of active transportation commuters within the City of Santa Barbara.

The project replaces a 4-foot wide wooden pedestrian bridge on Cacique Street with a 12-foot wide bridge and a new 12-foot wide bridge along Soledad Street, connecting two neighborhoods that have been separated by Sycamore Creek. The project also enhances access to Franklin, Adelante and Cleveland Elementary Schools, Franklin Neighborhood Community Center, Eastside Library and several neighborhood parks. The project also includes new sidewalk and safety lighting.



### CACIQUE & SOLEDAD PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE BRIDGES & SIDEWALKS



#### Project Summary

The city conducted outreach with Eastside residents during the planning process. The community desired opportunities for increased walking and bicycling and improved neighborhood lighting. Although the initial plan was to remove and replace the Cacique Street pedestrian bridge, the community identified a need for a new bridge along Soledad Street as a priority to provide more direct access to elementary schools and library. Both Soledad and Cacique Streets are cul-de-sacs with very low vehicle volumes, which is ideal for a safe and peaceful walking and biking experience.



Quick Facts

2 NUMBER OF NEW BRIDGES **600** FEET OF NEW SIDEWALKS ADDED

7.7% percentage increase in pedestrian usage

100%

PERCENTAGE OF ATP FUNDS SPENT

WITHIN A DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITY

.85 MILES OF CLASS II BIKEWAY ADDED 9 NUMBER OF CROSSWALK IMPROVEMENTS

**IMPROVEMENTS** The two-and-a-half year design phase had a number of challenges including the coordination of eight separate utility companies and significant relocation efforts, incorporation of adequate storm water treatment, and numerous Traffic Engineering reviews to ensure bicycle and pedestrian safety was incorporated. All of this was done while keeping the entire project within city right of way to prevent impacting any private properties. City staff engaged the community early and often throughout the entire project to keep stakeholders informed. As a result, the community was very supportive and cooperative.





# SAN FRANCISCO SRTS

NON-INFRASTRUCTURE | ATP CY 2 Applicant: San Francisco Department of Public Health



### **PROJECT SUMMARY**

#### ATP PROJECT COST: \$2,411,000

Being such a densely populated city, San Francisco faces unique challenges and obstacles. The city is geographically small, highly concentrated, urban, and in 2014 was ranked the second most densely populated city in the United States. San Francisco Safe Routes to School (SF–SRTS) recognizes the value of direct community engagement to address these challenges. SF–SRTS focuses on community engagement, relationship building, and providing tools and resources to parents about active and sustainable transportation options at 35 schools within San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD). San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH) provided leadership on behalf of San Francisco Safe Routes to School Partnership to implement a pilot proposal that includes innovative educational, encouragement, and evaluation activities and deliverables from school years 2017-2019. SF-SRTS promotes walking, bicycling, public transit and carpooling through safety education and encouragement activities for school aged students. The purpose of these programs are to go beyond classroom activities, provide opportunities for families to try walking or biking, and promote a culture of active and sustainable travel to school.



## SAN FRANCISCO SRTS 2017-2019 NI PROJECT



### Activities

Elementary school students at **29 schools** engaged in walking school buses, neighborhood hubs, neighborhood biking activities, how to ride a bike, proper helmet fitting, on-bike safety skills, and how to ride in urban traffic.

Middle school students at **4 schools** participated in after school bike clubs where they learned to safely navigate through neighborhoods and city streets by bicycle as a group, and gained valuable team building, leadership, and community engagement skills.

High school students at **2 schools** learned bicycle maintenance, traffic laws, and safe riding skills in a Bike Shop Program. By the end of the Program, students understood health, social, and environmental benefits and were able to complete a safety check/fix problems on their bikes.

Both middle and high school students had a PE program, a series of ten 1-hour lessons that teach youth bicycle safety and laws to help them become safer cyclists. PE staff were enabled through this to incorporate more SRTS curriculum with confidence.

In addition to engagement with schools, this project created Neighborhood Task Forces and Neighborhood Bike Events in car-free settings bringing communities together to learn about, enjoy, and share in the love of bicycling as recreation and transportation.

Overall, the number of registered schools for Walk and Roll to School Day has **more than tripled** from October 2010 to October 2018 and when comparing SF-SRTS schools to non-SF-SRTS schools, SF-SRTS consistently represent a **higher proportion** of walkers, bikers, transit commuters, and a smaller proportion of single-family vehicle trips compared to non-SF-SRTS sites from 2014-2016.



# 32,000

Number of students & parents engaged throughout the program

6

NUMBER OF

PE CLASSES

RECEIVING

BIKE & PED

INSTRUCTION

### NI Elements

8

NUMBER OF

BIKE

RODEOS

CONDUCTED

33

NUMBER OF

BIKE & PED

FOCUSED

AFTER

SCHOOL

PROGRAMS

NUMBER OF

SCHOOLS

WITHIN THE

PROJECT IN

SFUSD



#### Outcomes & Benefits

98

NUMBER

TABLING

EVENTS

84

NUMBER OF

SRTS

ENCOURAGE

MENT DAYS

- 1. Increase in physical activity and air quality
- 2. Increase in social cohesion and school volunteerism
- 3. Decrease in absenteeism and tardiness

4. Decrease in traffic injuries and death as well as traffic congestion

5. 55.6% increase in bike trips to school

6. **7.7% decrease** in single-family vehicle trips to school

Active Transportation Resource Center