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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Overview

Caltrans District 6 is geographically diverse district and the third largest of the 12 Districts statewide, stretching from the southernmost part of Yosemite National Park in the north to the Mojave Desert. It includes Madera, Fresno, Tulare, Kings and Kern counties. District 6 maintains and operates of 476 miles of freeway and 1,554 miles of rural and urban highway. The District has the largest portion of road miles to maintain in the state highway system with 2,030 miles.

The Mobility Performance quarterly analysis compares information with over a year ago and over last quarter in the following performance measures:

- Vehicle Miles of Travel (VMT))
- Vehicle Hours of Delay (VHD)
- Lost Lane Miles (equivalent lost productivity)
- Detector Health

This information is based on the continuous data collected by automated vehicle detector stations deployed on urban-area freeways with recurrent congestion. The MPR presents congestion delay information at two speed thresholds: delay from vehicles traveling below 35 miles per hour ( mph ), and delay from vehicles traveling below 60 mph . The delay at the 35 mph threshold represents severe congestion while delay at 60 mph represents all congestion. These thresholds are set by Caltrans and are based on engineering experience and District input.

## FINDINGS

In the third quarter of 2017, the total delay equaled 263 thousands Vehicle Hours of Delay (VHD) at the 35 mph speed threshold, and 1,364 thousands VHD at the 60 mph threshold. The average weekday delay experienced in this quarter was approximately 3,059 thousands VHD at 35 mph , and 17 thousand VHD at 60 mph .

The VHD in this quarter experienced an overall decrease of $20.9 \%$ compared to the previous quarter. However, the delay analysis for each route showed up or down variations. The increased VHD on Interstate 5 in Kern County is due to several malfunctioning detectors during the second quarter were back on-line in this quarter. The increased VHD on Interstate 5 in Fresno County could be related several construction projects on the freeway and is expected to improve after completion of the projects in the area. The malfunctioning of the several detectors provided very low VHD data on the State Route 46 in Kern County. The district is working to improve the health of the detectors in the area.

There was one bottleneck location reported within the District 6 Highway system. The bottleneck reported include bottleneck location that was active on at least 20 percent of all weekdays during the quarter, persisted for at least 15 minutes on average, and caused more than 100 vehicle hours of delay (VHD) per weekday.

| Location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bottleneck Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VDS | Name | түре | Shift | Fwy | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Abs} \\ \mathrm{~Pb} \end{gathered}$ | CA PM | Latitude | Longitude | Days Active | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Avg } \\ \text { Extent } \\ \text { (Miles) } \end{array}$ | Avg Delay (veh-hrs) |  |
| 619983 | 5 OF CALIFORNIA AvE | ML | PM | $\begin{aligned} & 5 R 99 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 26.131 | 25.309 | 35.378002 | -119.044655 | 19 | 1.2 | 102.9 | 91.3 |

There were several roadway construction in third quarter around State Route 99 \& State Route 58 within the City of Bakersfield. The Southbound State Route 99 to Eastbound State Route 58 connector traffic was backed up which may have affected the State Route 99 mainline traffic.

Quarterly Mobility Statistics

| Measure | Graph |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Measure | Graph | Percentage Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Congestion by Route |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Route | County | Vehicle Hours of Delay at 35 mph |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Difference } \\ 2017 \text { Q3-2016 Q3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Difference } \\ 2017 \text { Q3-2017 Q2 } \end{gathered}$ |  | Rank |  |  |
|  |  | 2016 Q3 | 2017 Q2 | 2017 Q3 | Absolute | Percentage | Absolute | Percentage | 2016 Q3 | 2017 Q2 | 2017 Q3 |
| I5 | Kern | 24:120 | 21.515 | 65.652 | 41.532 | 1722\% | 44:137 | 205.1\% | 5 | 8 | 1 |
| SR99 | Fresno | 30,747 | 41,345 | 31.593 | 846 | 2.8\% | -9.752 | -23.6\% | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| I5 | Fresno | 14,115 | 92.203 | 30,305 | 16,190 | 114.7\% | -61.898 | -67.1\% | 7 | 1 | 3 |
| SR99 | Madera | 36,467 | 31.475 | 28,182 | -8.285 | -22.7\% | -3.294 | -10.5\% | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| SR99 | Kern | 31,266 | 29:114 | 27:818 | -3,448 | -11.0\% | -1.296 | 4.5\% | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| SR41 | Fresno | 19.575 | 23.470 | 27.149 | 7.574 | 38.7\% | 3.679 | 15.7\% | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| SR99 | Tulare | 6,154 | 21,648 | 14.545 | 8.391 | 136.3\% | -7,103 | -32.8\% | 9 | 7 | 7 |
| SR46 | Kern | 39,827 | 51,246 | 12,984 | -26:843 | -67.4\% | -38:262 | -74.7\% | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| SR58 | Kern | 1.707 | 5.415 | 5806 | 4.099 | 240.1\% | 391 | 7.2\% | 12 | 11 | 9 |
| SR180 | Fresno | 10,681 | 10.997 | 4,785 | -5.895 | -552\% | $-6.211$ | -56.5\% | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| SR168S | Fresno | 2,291 | 7526 | 4:186 | 1:895 | 82.7\% | -3,340 | 44.4\% | 11 | 10 | 11 |
| SR41 | Kings | 2,471 | 2.088 | 3,200 | 730 | 29.5\% | 1:113 | 53.3\% | 10 | 12 | 12 |
| SR180S | Fresno | 0 | 0 | 3,019 | 3,019 |  | 3.019 |  |  |  | 13 |
| SR198 | Kings | 1,453 | 1:104 | 2,069 | 616 | 42.4\% | 965 | 87.4\% | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| 15 | Kings | 919 | 1,675 | 887 | -32 | -3.5\% | -788 | 47.1\% | 14 | 13 | 15 |
| SR198 | Tulare | 447 | 311 | 754 | 307 | 68.6\% | 443 | 142.5\% | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| SR178 | Kern | 0 | 77 | 174 | 174 |  | 98 | 127.5\% |  | 17 | 17 |
| SR152 | Madera | 1 | 32 | 2 | 2 | 360.0\% | -29 | -92.7\% | 17 | 18 | 18 |
| SR168 | Fresno | 83 | 0 | 0 | -83 | -100.0\% | 0 |  | 16 |  |  |
| SR41 | Madera | 0 | 296 | 0 | 0 | -100.0\% | -296 | -100.0\% | 18 | 16 |  |
| TOTALS |  | 222,324 | 341,534 | 263,110 | 40,786 | 18.3\% | -78,424 | -23.0\% |  |  |  |

Vehicle Hours of Delay is in Hours (Thousand)
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