



Expires – June 30, 2010

District Comments on DLA Office Bulletins

District 3 – “I think the concept of the DLA OB is a good idea and is long over due.”

District 5 – “The concept sounds okay. The KEY TO THE WHOLE THING will be in how effective such Bulletins are communicated with the many potential recipients - i.e. who are the "appropriate stakeholders" for a given issue?

1. Timely posting on the internet is critical
2. Once LPP's are in place, timely cancellation of Bulletins in lieu of the newly adopted LPP is critical
3. Proper archiving of Bulletins once no longer "in place" , with effective dates, is also crucial
4. Letting potential recipients know that something is in the works is also critical
5. Following up on No. 3 above, I do not support HQ merely notifying DLAE's and directing us to forward to all "appropriate stakeholders"
6. Timely approval by Office Chief of Bulletins is crucial ; if it takes too long, we may as well wait and just expedite the LPP
7. I'm a little worried that because there are so many current changes not in the LAPM or LAPG, that we may need many Bulletins just to catch up
8. With this "Bulletin" concept, there is an increased burden to encompass everything, in that if there is no LPP and no Bulletin, it may be more difficult to enforce.
9. How does FHWA figure in? Would they need some sort of approval role? If so, I withdraw my support for the concept.”

District 9 – “likes this format and agrees with the need for the bulletins to provide timely updates to programs. Thanks for your efforts.”

District 12 – “I have no objections to the adoption of this proposal. However, I am not enthusiastic.”