

# MEETING SUMMARY - ACTION TAKEN REPORT

January 13, 2010 - Sacramento, California

**Wednesday, January 13, 2010**

<u>1/13/10 TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
1	Roll Call	1.1	<b>All present except Commissioners Alvarado, Assemi, and Ghielmetti. Assembly Member Eng was also present.</b>
2 <b>8 Ayes</b>	Resolution of Necessity – Appearance --Khosrow Roy Hifai, Trustee, etc., et al. 10-SJ-5-PM 22.6/23.0 <b>Resolution C-20250</b>	2.4a.(2)	<b>Approved.</b>
3 <b>8 Ayes</b>	Resolution of Necessity – Appearance --Ryder Truck Rental, Inc., a Florida Corporation 07-LA-5-PM 2.14 <b>Resolution C-20249</b>	2.4a.(1)	<b>Appearance by letter. Resolution of Necessity approved.</b>
4	Approval of Minutes for December 9-10, 2009	1.2	<b>Approved.</b>
5	Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation	1.5	<b>Approved, as modified at the meeting.</b>
6	Commission Reports	1.4	<b>No reports.</b>
7	Executive Director's Report	1.3	<b>Executive Director Rhinehart indicated that the CTC 2009 Annual Report to the Legislature has been published—copies to stakeholders and Legislature will be distributed this week. CTC and Department staff are working to develop an agenda for the 2010 Transportation Funding meeting to be held late January, early February. Some of the topics may include Stimulus II-strategies for implementation; the State budget and impacts of proposed gas tax swap; the TCIF shortfall; and the federal re-authorization. A list of priorities (needs) will be prepared at the state level that can be used for discussion in Washington DC as California prepares for the next re-authorization. Ms. Rhinehart also reported that the Commissions of the three West Coast states – Washington, Oregon, and California – have been working together to articulate shared interests and taking a system-wide and corridor-wide approach to addressing the challenges of the West Coast's shared transportation network.</b>
8	Report by Agency Secretary and/or Deputy Secretary	1.6	<b>No report.</b>
9	Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director	1.7	<b>Information only. Cindy McKim, Chief Deputy Director, announced the appointment of Steven Keck as</b>



# MEETING SUMMARY - ACTION TAKEN REPORT

January 13, 2010 - Sacramento, California

1/13/10 TAB #	ITEM DESCRIPTION	REF. #	STATUS
			<p>the new Budget Officer for the Department. She also discussed the challenges of the new proposed “jobs package” that was passed by the House on December 16. The Senate is expected to take up the bill at the end of the month—it is likely that they will introduce their own version. The House bill focuses on job creation, public sector improvements and extends some SAFETEA-LU programs through September 30, 2010. The provisions of the bill related to transportation include \$27.5 billion for highway infrastructure investments, \$8.4 billion for public transportation, \$800 million for Amtrak, and \$500 million for airport improvement projects. Ms. McKim also reported that the long-term repair of the Bay Bridge eyebar started in early December appears to be working. She also reported that Department recently settled a \$1.1 billion lawsuit with the ADA community. The settlement will be stretched out over 30 years and will come out of the SHOPP.</p> <p>Additionally, depending on the outcome of the lawsuit related to furloughs there may be an impact on allocation capacity. If state dollars are needed to address the lawsuit there might not be enough funds available to match the federal funds that the State receives each year.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Finally,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ms. McKim reported on groundbreakings in San Diego (Route 76) and Contra Costa County (Caldecott).</p>
10	Report by Federal Highway Administration Division Administrator	1.11	<p>Information only. Butch Waidelich, FHWA Division Administrator, presented the Department with two 2009 Environmental Excellence Awards. One for Excellence in Air Quality Improvement and Global Climate Change and one for Excellence in Environmental Research relating to Quiet Pavement Research. He also discussed the 90 Day to Award requirement proposed in the House approved 2010 stimulus</p>



# MEETING SUMMARY - ACTION TAKEN REPORT

January 13, 2010 - Sacramento, California

<u>1/13/10 TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
			bill. To help with this, federal TIPs are being amended to program projects.
11	Report by Regional Agencies Moderator	1.8	Information only. Topics included: Concerns regarding Governor's Budget proposal; Project Initiation Documents (PIDs)-shifts costs for preparing PIDs from State to local; Proposition 1B Bond Priorities and the possibility of getting new projects underway; RTIPs submittals; concerns with proposed Stimulus II requirement of 90 days to award.
12	Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair	1.9	Information only. Topics included: Initiating a survey to describe some of the unique challenges of the rural agencies.
13	Report by Self-Help Counties Coalition Chair	1.10	Information only. Topics included: PID process – will oppose this year as well, cost sharing would be a better approach since many of the projects will benefit the State as a whole; Gas Tax Swap as part of Governor's 2010 Proposed Budget – taking an "Oppose" position.
14	Presentation of the Governor's FY 2010-11 Budget	4.9	<p>Information only. Mr. Keck reported that the proposed Governor's 2010 Budget eliminates a portion of sales tax on gasoline and diesel, increases excise tax, and distributes to TDSF (Debt repayment), SHA (STIP) and locals. Also eliminates STA and spillovers--all future commitments of the PTA will be funded from General Fund.</p> <p>Overall, fewer revenues for transportation projects. Transportation Investment Fund for STIP will be funded from State Highway Account. Mr. Keck also reported that the proposed Budget does not include any Tribal Gaming revenues for the SHOPP and includes \$83 million for TCRP.</p> <p>Will Kempton (OCTA) expressed concern over the proposed Budget that eliminates State Transit Assistance. Operating assistance is vital to maintaining transit systems. The proposed cut will reduce the Transit system in OCTA by</p>



# MEETING SUMMARY - ACTION TAKEN REPORT

January 13, 2010 - Sacramento, California

<u>1/13/10 TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
			<p>approximate one-third. David Yale, LACMTA, also expressed concern with Governor's Proposed 2010 Budget and the huge impacts to their bus expansion program. Between reduced sales tax receipt and proposed budget cuts, bus program greatly impacted, with a ripple affect throughout the County.</p> <p>Commissioner Chalker encouraged the local agencies to quantify the impacts to achieving AB 32 goals for 2020 as a result of the Governor's Proposed Budget and its impact on local transit operations.</p> <p>Assembly Member Eng thanked the speakers for their input. Legislature needs to build on AB 32 – what would be the impact on the agencies on their ability to meeting the mandates of AB 32?</p>
15	Proposition 1B Bond Allocation Priorities	4.5	Priorities approved.



# MEETING SUMMARY - ACTION TAKEN REPORT

January 13, 2010 - Sacramento, California

1/13/10 TAB #	ITEM DESCRIPTION	REF. #	STATUS
16	<p>Update on Projects Delivered But Not Yet Allocated (<i>Yellow Pink Handout</i>)</p> <p><b>Resolution FP-09-25</b> (4.6a.)</p> <p><b>Resolution FP-09-26</b> (4.6b.)</p> <p><b>Resolution CMIA-A-0910-002</b> (4.6c.)</p> <p><b>Resolution CMIA-A-0910-003/STIP1B-A-0910-001</b> (4.6d.)</p> <p><b>Resolution CMIA-A-0910-004</b> (4.6e.)</p> <p><b>Resolution R99-A-0910-002</b> (4.6f.)</p> <p><b>Resolution SHOP1B-A-0910-001</b> (4.6g.)</p> <p><b>Resolution TLS1B-A-0910-001</b> (4.6h.)</p> <p><b>Resolution GS1B-A-0910-002</b> (4.6i.)</p> <p><b>Resolution GS1B-A-0910-003</b> (4.6j.)</p> <p><b>Resolution ICR1B-A-0910-01</b> (4.6k.)</p> <p><b>Resolution SLP1B-A-0910-02</b> (4.6l.)</p> <p><b>Resolution STIP1B-A-0910-002</b> (4.6m.)</p>	4.6	<p>The Commission voted to approve \$6,521,000 in Transportation Investment Funds and \$346,469,000 in Proposition 1B Bond funds for 40 projects, as follows:</p> <p>Project 1A (PPNO 04-0645C)-\$5,611,000;            Project 49 (PPNO 10-0198)-\$910,000;            Project 55 (PPNO 02-3329)-\$22,902,000;            Project 56 (PPNO 04-0460C)-\$30,000,000;            Project 59 (PPNO 03-4107)-\$18,970,000;            Project 61 (PPNO 08-0137M)-\$20,848,000;            Project 62 (PPNO 08-1132L)-\$26,500,000;            Project 63 (PPNO 02-3326)-\$1,784,000;            Project 65 (PPNO 03-8361B)- \$65,140,000;            Project 66 (PPNO 06-6274A)- \$24,500,000;            Project 68 (PPNO 05-2300)- \$2,902,000;            Project 69 (PPNO 05-4025)- \$613,000;            Project 70 (PPNO 08-0137M)- \$2,329,000;            Project 71 (PPNO 11-0719)- \$4,020,000;            Project 72 (EA 0L2124)- \$2,862,000;            Project 73 (EA 925575L)- \$475,000;            Project 74 (EA 925574L)- \$310,000;            Project 75 (EA 074714L)- \$1,000,000            Project 76A (EA 925545L)- \$5,723,000            Project 78 (EA 4U4174L)- \$440,000;            Project 79 (EA 4U4264L)- \$1,798,000;            Project 80 (EA 4U4284L)- \$613,000;            Project 81 (EA 4U4344L)- \$7,221,000;            Project 84 (EA 402814L)- \$1,450,000;            Project 85 (PPNO 06-8700)- \$9,926,000;            Project 86 (EA H003BA)- \$9,000,000;            Project 87 (EA R986BA)- \$3,146,000;            Project 88 (EA 0L2154)- \$750,000;            Project 92 (EA 0L2224)- \$226,000;            Project 93 (EA 0L2144)- \$1,000,000;            Project 94 (EA 0L2134)- \$988,000;            Project 95 (EA T231GA)- \$1,200,000;            Project 96 (EA R898GJ)- \$31,275,000;            Project 97 (EA R898GK)- \$23,158,000;            Project 98 (EA 930249L)- \$1,000,000;            Project 101 (EA 4C2874)- \$600,000;            Project 102 (EA 4C2834)- \$250,000;            Project 103 (EA 4C2914)- \$150,000;            Project 106 (EA 4A3254)- \$800,000;            Project 117 (EA R893SA)- \$20,600,000.</p>
17	<p>Proposition 1B State-Local Partnership Program Amendment to add a 2009-10 project</p> <p><b>Resolution SLP1B-P-0910-05</b></p>	4.4	<b>Approved.</b>
18	Update on Senate Bill 184 Requests	4.3	<b>Information only.</b>
19	Overview of 2010 SHOPP	4.8	<b>Information only.</b>
20	Proposition 1A Draft High-Speed Passenger Train Bond Program Guidelines	4.2	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Information only.</b></p> <p>Joanna Capelle (Southern California Regional Rail Authority) spoke in support of using state funds to match state funds and the use of funds for pre-construction activities, and consider safety and rehabilitation as elements of connectivity. Patricia Chen (Los Angeles County Metropolitan</p>



# MEETING SUMMARY - ACTION TAKEN REPORT

January 13, 2010 - Sacramento, California

1/13/10 TAB #	ITEM DESCRIPTION	REF. #	STATUS
			<p>Transportation Authority) spoke in support of flexibility for use of funds for phases other than construction and would like the Commission to consider the use of Letter of No Prejudices' for the program; Steve Schnaidt (High Speed Rail Authority) would like to see more defined language (<u>will be</u> versus <u>expect</u>) regarding operable segments. He also encouraged the Commission to make connectivity a priority. Consider order for uses of the funds.</p> <p>Several Commissioners expressed concern over using State funds to match other State funds. Would like to see local make a contribution.</p> <p>Commissioner Chalker would like to see some level of funds for pre-construction.</p> <p>Commissioner Dunn recommended that the agencies work "in consultation" with the High Speed Rail Authority before submitting applications.</p>
21	State and Federal Legislative Matters	4.1	<p>Ken De Crescenzo presented an overview of House Resolution 2847 (Stimulus II). He reported that this is a Jobs bill and that California funding levels are about the same as Recovery Act level. For highways, 30 percent will be apportioned within the State by STP formula (as before), 3 percent set aside for Transportation Enhancement, and 67 percent to the State. Several differences include no large discretionary programs such as TIGER and Intercity and High Speed Rail, and a 90 day award limit.</p>
22	Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding: 02 – Tehama County Construct new bridge at McCoy Road over the North Fork Dibble Creek near the city of Red Bluff. (MND) (PPNO 2331) <b>Resolution E-10-01</b>	2.2c.(1)	<p>The Commission accepted the environmental document and approved for the project for future consideration of funding.</p>



# MEETING SUMMARY - ACTION TAKEN REPORT

January 13, 2010 - Sacramento, California

1/13/10 TAB #	ITEM DESCRIPTION	REF. #	STATUS
23	Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding: 02 – Tehama County Replace Bowman Road Bridge over the South Fork Cottonwood Creek located approximately 11 miles west of the community of Cottonwood. (MND) (LBSR) (PPNO 2148) <b>Resolution E-10-02</b>	2.2c.(2)	<b>The Commission accepted the environmental document and approved for the project for future consideration of funding.</b>
24	Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding: 02 – Tehama County Replace Jelly's Ferry Road Bridge over the Sacramento River, within Northern Tehama County (MND) (LBSR) (PPNO 2378) <b>Resolution E-10-03</b>	2.2c.(3)	<b>The Commission accepted the environmental document and approved for the project for future consideration of funding.</b>
25	Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding: 10 – Merced County Widen Parsons Avenue from a two lane roadway to a four lane arterial with a middle dual turn lane and other improvements (FEIR) (SLPP) <b>Resolution E-10-04</b>	2.2c.(4)	<b>The Commission accepted the environmental document, findings, and statement of overriding consideration, and approved the project for future consideration of funding.</b>
26	Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding: 12-Ora-5, PM 8.58/9.35 Route 5 in Orange County. Roadway improvements including lane widening and interchange reconfiguration on Route 5 in San Juan Capistrano. (PPNO 2615) <b>Resolution E-10-05</b>	2.2c.(5)	<b>The Commission accepted the environmental document and approved for the project for future consideration of funding.</b>
27 IC	<b><u>Informational Reports on Allocations Under Delegated Authority</u></b> -- Emergency G-11 Allocations (2.5f.(1)): \$545,000 for two projects. -- Minor G-05-05 Allocations (2.5f.(4)): \$5,977,000 for ten projects.	2.5f.	Information only.
28 IC	Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action	3.1	Information only.
29 IC	Monthly Status of Construction Contract Award for State Highway Projects, per Resolution G-06-08	3.2a.	Information only.
30 IC	Monthly Status of Construction Contract Award for Local Assistance STIP Projects, per Resolution G-06-08	3.2b.	Information only.
31 IC	Staff Report of Comments to Draft Environmental Documents and Notices of Preparation	4.10	Information only.
32 CC	The Riverside County Transportation Commission proposes to amend the 2008 STIP to reprogram \$2,000,000 RIP from the SR-91/SR-71 Interchange and Connectors project (PPNO 0077G) to a new SR-91 Corridor Improvement project (PPNO 0077J) in Riverside County. <b>STIP Amendment 08S-058</b>	2.1a.(1)	<b>Approved.</b>



# MEETING SUMMARY - ACTION TAKEN REPORT

January 13, 2010 - Sacramento, California

1/13/10 TAB #	ITEM DESCRIPTION	REF. #	STATUS
33 CC	The Department and the Tehama County Transportation Commission propose to amend the State Route 99 Corridor baseline agreement and the 2008 STIP for the Los Molinos project (PPNO 3326) in Tehama County to split construction into phases, include a bike and pedestrian Transportation Enhancement (TE) element, a landscape TE element, update the project schedule, and program the bike/pedestrian TE element in FY 2009-10. <b>Resolution R99-PA-0910-006</b> <b>STIP Amendment 08S-060</b>	2.1c.(2)	Approved.
34 CC	The Department and the San Diego Association of Governments propose to amend the Trade Corridor Improvement Fund baseline agreement and the 2008 STIP for the State Route 11 and Otay Mesa Port of Entry (POE) project (PPNO 0999) to program \$4,900,000 of Federal Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) Border Infrastructure Program funds to PA&ED in FY 2009-10, and update the project description, schedule and funding plan. <b>Resolution TCIF-P-0910-04</b> <b>STIP Amendment 08S-059</b>	2.1c.(5)	Approved.
35 CC	One New Public Road Connection to State Route 101 at 05-SLO-101 PM 6.4 (Willow Road) in the community of Nipomo, in the county of San Luis Obispo. <b>Resolution S-742</b>	2.3b.	Approved.
36 CC	Three Relinquishment Resolutions -- 1-Lak-20-PM 12.85 Right of Way along Route 20 in the town of Nice, county of Lake, at Collier Avenue. <b>Resolution R-3750</b> -- 7-LA-405-PM 38.9/39.0 Right of Way adjacent to Route 405 in the city of Los Angeles at Dickens Street. <b>Resolution R-3751</b> -- 12-Ora-5-PM 31.9/32.4 Right of Way along Route 5 in the city of Santa Ana between Santa Ana Boulevard and Seventeenth Street. <b>Resolution R-3752</b>	2.3c.	Approved.
37 CC 8 Ayes	<del>28</del> 27 Resolutions of Necessity <b>Resolutions C-20251, and C20253 through C-20278</b> ➤ <i>Resolution C-20252 withdrawn prior to CTC Meeting.</i>	2.4b.	Approved.
38 CC	Director's Deeds Items 1 through 9 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$419,300 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.	Approved.
39 CC	Financial Allocation (2.5a.): \$2,663,000 for five District Minor Projects. <b>Resolution FP-09-20</b>	2.5a.	Approved.



# MEETING SUMMARY - ACTION TAKEN REPORT

**January 13, 2010 - Sacramento, California**

<u>1/13/10 TAB #</u>	<u>ITEM DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>REF. #</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
<b>40 CC</b>	Technical correction to Resolution FP-08-52, originally approved on June 11, 2009, to revise the fund source for Project 2 - Newman Downtown Plaza Improvement project (PPNO 0218) in Stanislaus County from STIP to Recovery Act and add <b>Resolution FS-09-18.</b> <i>(Yellow handout.)</i>	2.9	<b>Withdrawn from Consent Calendar for discussion. Approved, as modified.</b>
<b>41</b>	Approval of State Route 84 Local Alternative Transportation Improvement Program in Southern Alameda County	5.2	<b>Approved.</b>
<b>42</b>	Update on Implementation of the Recovery Act of 2009	3.4	<b>Information only.</b> Earl Seaberg, Recovery Act Program Chief, reported that California leads the Country with respect to total obligations of transportation funds with \$3.366 billion, as of December 31, 2009. As of November 30, 2009, the Department and local agencies have completed 79 Recovery Act highway projects with an awarded value of over \$121 million, including \$81.9 million of Recovery Act funds. Mr. Seaberg also indicated that through the end of November 2009, approximately 32,825 jobs were created on state and local projects with an award value of \$1.2 billion. With regard to transit programs, approximately \$884 million as been awarded in the 5307 Program and \$14.6 million in the 5307 Flex Programs.
<b>43</b>	The County of Trinity proposes to amend the 2008 STIP to add the Hyampom Road Retaining Walls project (PPNO 2140C) and update the funding plan of the Hyampom Road Reconstruction Segment 3 project (PPNO 2140). STIP Amendment 08S-061	2.1b.(1)	<b>Information only.</b> <b>Proposed for Consent Calendar at the February 2010 meeting.</b>
<b>44</b>	The Department and the Imperial Valley Association of Governments propose to amend the 2008 STIP and the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund baseline agreement for the Brawley Bypass (Stage 3) project (PPNO 0021G) in Imperial County to program \$1,909,000 of Federal Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) Border Infrastructure Program funds for Right of Way. Resolution TCIF-P-0910-07 STIP Amendment 08S-064	2.1b.(2)	<b>Information only.</b> <b>Proposed for Consent Calendar at the February 2010 meeting.</b>



# MEETING SUMMARY - ACTION TAKEN REPORT

January 13, 2010 - Sacramento, California

1/13/10 TAB #	ITEM DESCRIPTION	REF. #	STATUS
45	The Department and the Transportation Authority of Marin propose to amend the Corridor Mobility Improvement Account baseline agreement for the Westbound I-580 to Northbound US 101 Connector Improvements project (PPNO 0342M) to reprogram \$300,000 CMIA funds from Construction Capital to Construction Support and update the project schedule to delay some delivery milestones. <b>Resolution CMIA-PA-0910-015</b>	2.1c.(1a)	<b>Deferred.</b>
46	The Department and the San Diego Association of Governments propose to amend the Corridor Mobility Improvement Account Program baseline agreement for the Route 5/805 North Coast Corridor – Stage 1A Project – Unit 2 (PPNO 0716) project to replace CMIA funds with regional funds from the Recovery Act. <b>Resolution CMIA-PA-0910-016</b>	2.1c.(1b)	<b>Approved.</b>
47	Proposition 1B Letters of No Prejudice <b>Resolution LONP1B-A-0910-01</b>	2.1c.(10)	<b>Approved.</b>
48	Financial Allocation (2.5b.(1)): <del>\$86,938,000</del> <b>\$86,425,000</b> for <del>33</del> <b>32</b> SHOPP projects, as follows: -- \$73,509,000 for 18 projects programmed in the 2008 SHOPP. -- <del>\$13,429,000</del> <b>\$12,916,000</b> for <del>45</del> <b>14</b> projects amended into the SHOPP by departmental action. <b>Resolution FP-09-21</b> ➤ <i>Project 32 (EA 0L0201) withdrawn prior to CTC Meeting. (Vote List and Book Item Attachment edits for Project 8.)</i>	2.5b.	<b>Approved, as modified.</b>
49	Financial Allocation (2.5c.(1)): \$17,569,000 for four state administered STIP projects on the State Highway, as follows: -- \$16,969,000 for three STIP projects; and -- \$600,000 for one STIP Transportation Enhancement project on Route 210 in the city of Rancho Cucamonga. <b>Resolution FP-09-22</b> <i>(Vote List and Book Item Attachment edits – Projects 3 and 4.)</i>	2.5c.(1)	<b>Approved, as modified.</b>
50	Financial Allocation (2.5c.(3)): \$79,000 for three locally administered STIP projects off the State Highway System, as follows: -- \$39,000 for two STIP Transportation Enhancement projects. Contributions from other sources: \$29,000; and -- \$40,000 for one STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring project. <b>Resolution FP-09-23</b>	2.5c.(3)	<b>Approved.</b>



# MEETING SUMMARY - ACTION TAKEN REPORT

January 13, 2010 - Sacramento, California

1/13/10 TAB #	ITEM DESCRIPTION	REF. #	STATUS
51	Financial Allocation (2.5c.(4)): \$1,764,000 for one state administered multi-program Transportation Enhancement project on Route 205 near Tracy in San Joaquin County funded from the Recovery Act and the STIP. <b>Resolution FS-09-15</b> <b>Resolution FP-09-24</b>	2.5c.(4)	<b>Approved.</b>
52	Financial Allocation (2.5c.(5)): \$1,444,000 for one state-administered Transportation Enhancement project on Route 41 in the city of Fresno funded from the Recovery Act. <b>Resolution FS-09-16</b>	2.5c.(5)	<b>Approved.</b>
53	Financial Allocation Amendment (2.5c.(6)): Amend Resolution FS-09-13, originally approved December 10, 2009, for \$1,925,000 in State Recovery Act funds for the Route 99 Bikeway project (PPNO 3187) in Butte County, to increase the allocation by \$500,000, to \$2,425,000, to fund landscaping and other transportation enhancement work. <b>Resolution FS-09-17</b> , Amending Resolution FS-09-13 <i>(Vote List and Book Item Attachment edits.)</i>	2.5c.(6)	<b>Approved, as modified.</b>
54	Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for two SHOPP projects for \$176,599,000, per Resolution G-06-08. <b>Waiver-10-02</b>	2.8b.	<b>Approved.</b>
55	Request to extend the period of Project Completion for the Alameda-Contra Costa Transit Maintenance Facilities and Equipment Upgrade project (PPNO 2009A), per STIP Guidelines. <b>Waiver-10-03</b>	2.8c.	<b>Approved.</b>
56	Request to extend the period of Project Development Expenditure for the PA&ED phase of the Wildwood Road Reconstruction project (PPNO 2421) in Trinity County, per STIP Guidelines. <b>Waiver-10-01</b>	2.8d.	<b>Approved for 12 months only.</b>

### Highway Financial Matters

\$ <del>89,604,000</del>	<b>\$ 86,088,000</b>	Total SHOPP/Minor Requested <b>Approved</b> for Allocation
\$ <del>18,031,000</del>	\$ 18,031,000	Total STIP Requested <b>Approved</b> for Allocation
\$ <del>5,250,000</del>	<b>\$ 3,325,000</b>	Total STIP Requested <b>Approved</b> for Allocation – Recovery Act
\$ <del>0</del>	<b>\$ 6,521,000</b>	<b><u>Total STIP Allocated from the Delivered List</u></b>
\$ <del>0</del>	<b>\$ 346,469,000</b>	<b><u>Total Proposition 1B Allocated from the Delivered List</u></b>
\$ <del>112,882,000</del>	<b>\$ 463,434,000</b>	Sub-Total Project Funds Requested <b>Approved</b> for Allocation
\$ <del>6,522,000</del>	\$ 6,522,000	Delegated Allocations
\$ <del>119,404,000</del>	<b>\$ 469,956,000</b>	Sub-Total, Highway Project Allocations
\$ <del>529,000</del>	<b>\$ 29,000</b>	Contributions from Other Sources
\$ <del>119,933,000</del>	<b>\$ 469,985,000</b>	Total Value

